

\$0.30

**ANSWER
TO
WHY**

by

ALFRED B. GLASER

ANSWER TO WHY

by

ALFRED B. GLASER

Copyright © 1964 by Alfred B. Glaser

All Rights Reserved

Contents not to be used without written permission
of author, except for title: Answer to Why

A.T.W. P. O. Box 3232, Riverside, California

This work is dedicated to those persons that asked
questions and received no answer, and then died
in fear, but gave the world we have today

Foreword

This work is a challenge. If you do not like to be disturbed, do not read it. It is not for the faint-hearted. Once read, your life can not be the same. There will be no going back.

This work proves that all *bases* of all societies of this world are *wrong*. That they are built on fear and domination, and that religions unknowingly — in some cases — further that domination, and that to think this structure can ever produce its promises is madness.

The structure must change. It is changing. The convulsions tear at heart and mind every waking moment, but you are lost, because there has been no point of *recognition*. This work brings that recognition. Once you know, you can act, without effort, without fanfare. Not violently, not hurriedly, but thoroughly.

This work *explains*, but does not *blame*, as everything is part of the all, and necessary to the all.

The author lays no claim to *Learned Accreditations*. For the author has had to *Unlearn* every *Learned Code*. It is in the very Attrition in Knowledge that the key has been found. There *has* to be a *reason why* two men can face each other, each having spent a lifetime in *learned* study, the one saying *dogmatically*: there is a God — and the other saying *dogmatically*: there is *no God*.

I spent a lifetime asking and finding the answer to *why*?

Both sexes are one in this work under the single word — *man*.

Nor does this work go *beyond* this material world. Not even to answer the 'miracles' of the bibles.

Contents

	page
Chapter 1	
First Records	5
Chapter 2	
Reasoning	25
Chapter 3	
Mind and Matter	43
Chapter 4	
Knowledge	57
Chapter 5	
Good and Evil: <i>Sinfear</i>	79
Chapter 6	
Unit Value of Dominance: <i>Manfear</i>	103
Chapter 7	
Strange Power?	117
Chapter 8	
There Is No Why	137
Chapter 9	
Summation	157

Chapter 1

First Records

Egotist, am I not? Freud, long ago, reached into the mind of man and came up with some cliches. To these findings he put names. Under the names he put conditions. Then he tied all these findings into one bundle. A thousand years from now, if his works stand, man will be still searching for the hidden meaning of the bundle.

Today, in still another field, we find man searching in yet another way for what is called, for want of a better name, his soul. True, this search has gone on since — but that is the meat of this matter. Just how long has man been searching for his soul?

In this search, man had first used simple things, depending on his teachers for anything that regarded understanding. As so-called learning developed, he reached hesitantly into his own mind. He found his *teachers* sadly lacking in many self witnessed occurrence. The man found that his *teachers* were usually dull, stodgy men who learned from the ancient writings of others, and never, but never, questioned these writings. Since these writings were of the past, and ancient, the writer could never be-confronted. It stands to reason, also, that the writer could never be corrected.

When we have an *established fact* that cannot be believed, that cannot be corrected, then we must either accept this fact, or renounce this fact. The man who tried to correct the fact became a heretic, or dissenter. A great many jumped away and became atheists, believing in no gods at all, but yet tenaciously trying to believe in the good of man and his continuance. From this belief, or maybe even before *any* beliefs at all, developed the agnostic, or person who states flatly that nothing can be known about god, anything pertaining to god, or about anything except things within human experience.

To further confound today's seekers, all of these people wrote their beliefs, or preached their beliefs, and attained followers who carried, what in time, became *ancient* writings forward, and refused, and still refuse, to accept anything different from these *ancient* writings. Again the writer or preacher could not be confronted. And, of course, cannot be corrected *within* the field.

So again, we have atheists among the atheists, agnostics among the agnostics. We have a total potpourri — or boiling stew — of pragmatic teachings and writings and preachments, and are constantly being pulled this way and that way by one group or another, until the whole boiling mess isn't worth the paper the ancients used to promulgate their confoundings.

Yet in the thousands of years of this boiling stew, we have had with us the *different* persons.

These persons followed no set rules in search of man's soul, nor of god, but accepted god in simplicity and in *factual* confrontations.

These persons were small in number, totally ridiculed, accepted or denied according to how they conducted themselves and according to whom, on human level, they paid their allegiance. If they paid allegiance to a church, they became *saints* of that church. If they paid allegiance to another group, they became *witches* and were summarily done to horrible death. Needless to say, the witches were the ones who spoke out against organized pragmatic teachings of their day, so therefore were on the side of *the devil*, and were demoniacs. They were possessed of evil *spirits*. But they persisted, even in death, their death being the same as the *martyr's* in accepted religions.

So we have a diversity of *religions*, and we can name them in order: from the Ages of Kings, we have Judaism. Their Bible, or the Old Testament, was first put in comprehensive written form about 400 years before Christ. Before this, it was scattered according to the twelve tribes, and existed as *teachings*, in that it was handed down by word of mouth. At the time of Moses, when the Age of Kings started its long decline, Judaism became a *central* type religion. Yet in the approximately 1200 years that elapsed between Moses and the comprehensive writing of the Old Testament, the religion was carried in man's memory, diluted by man's individual concepts and the mores of the passing societies that

phased, one after another, across the sweeps of almost barren land. Scattered documents attest to the wide diversity of interests among this religious sect. They compromised between astrology and heresy, between teachings and *happenings*, but they held fast to one central theme: there was and is but one god.

It might be well to mention here that during this period, while the Age of Kings was phasing into the Age of Ability Rule, there rose and fell many *religions*, some so fantastic as to place man's genitals as godhead, woman as an oven for his sperm. This Phallus religion among the Greeks reached the highlight when the scientists of the day decided to do away with women altogether. They constructed an *oven* and placed man's sperm in it. A slave carefully tended the fire and controlled the heat for nine months. When the *scientists* of that day opened the oven after this nine month period, they fully expected to find a human baby hatched. How utterly preposterous that seems today. I wonder how our scientists will look thousands of years from now. So let's not laugh too loud.

Suffice it to say, that of all the religions, Judaism emerged strong and complete, until the coming of One they foretold. Bear in mind that this foretelling dated back to *Moses*, as did the Commandment on the stone. Between His time and the arrival of Jesus, there is reported no great *unearthly* happening. There are great deeds of valor in war recorded: the slingshot, the jawbone of an ass, and so forth.

But these are doings of *men* of the instance. As far as the slingshot episode is concerned, desert tribes in that area can and still do bring down a horse with the same weapon. As far as *super strength* is concerned, we have carnivals and circuses where humans do fabulous things for less than a hundred dollars a week. There is the recorded fact that a French-Canadian placed a 1000 pound load on his back and walked almost a mile across a bridge. When the people seemed skeptical, he had the load re-weighed, then walked back across with it.

As to the many *flying saucer* type of happenings told, such as the chariot flying into the heavens, this was also in the time of Moses, or shortly thereafter. Remember what has been written. Refresh yourself if in doubt. This work is not going to give verse and chapter, nor refer to page so on and so forth in the book of Herodotus which, by the way, was also written at about the time of the Jewish Bible — 400 years before Christ. In this book is mention made of the origin of the Pyramids, reputedly 5000 years of folklore later! Today, not two thousand years later, over half the world doubts the time of the Savior, and has the knowledge so confused that an argument can be had on any street corner. No, if you want a lot of reference material to double-check, go to your library and dig it up yourself. I promise this: after you *dig* for the confusing facts for days, and maybe years, it will confirm everything stated in this work. May even throw more light in dark corners, which is welcomed. Because this work will

give you direction. You will know exactly what you are seeking.

We come to the time of Christ, and the Great Confusion.

Here we have man progressed to individual intelligence. We have the Age of Kings in wane, and the Age of Ability coming in strong. Romans ruled the known world. They gained this great victory because of some unknown cobbler. He discovered that by placing a piece of leather on the heel of the legion's sandals, they could walk twenty miles a day and still fight. That piece of leather, in its day, was greater than our atomic bombs of today. It is sufficient to say that not we, nor does any nation, rule the world as of now.

At the time of Christ, while many versions are given, one absolute material fact is known: The lawless and godless legions and rulers of the world at the time — the Romans — were so impressed that they caused a division of recorded history to take place at this time. They started the progression of a new calendar from his birthdate. To the doubter, to the scoffers, to the worldly wise, let me ask a humble question: name anyone in the history of man that has had this singular honor, including Moses, outside of Mohammed. If the learned men of this great Roman Nation, who saw and witnessed the actual power of this lone and crucified Being, and recorded it in their histories and acts, who do you think you are to doubt them? In the opening of this

work, I accused myself of being an egotist. Before this work is completed, many a snide ego will crumple, as any bastion of loneliness must crumble before singular truth. And in the crumbling, as mine crumbled, a new area will come forth. No, I alone will not be the originator of this area. It has been *originating* since the time of Moses.

So at the time of Christ, we had the beginning of intellect applied to the betterment of man. Since all movements attract many kinds of men, all the western world jumped on the bandwagon, each with his own version. From the manlife of this one Being, sprang two distinct churches: Roman Catholic and Greek Orthodox Catholic. From these two beginnings come all the rest of the potpourri known today. Each with its own version, its own rules and regulations, something that was unknown to the gentle Jesus in his teaching, and was the only thing that caused him anger while a Being of this planet. He constantly broke the *rules and regulations* of his church in his day, and ended up crucified because of it! No truth can be simpler than that one. While he spoke the word of the whole man, none could touch his confounding truth, but when he went against the Great Church — ah! Now, according to their man-made law in *interpretation* of their folklore writings, they could put the scoundrel to death. He accepted this death to show that rules and regulations are not the work of god, but of man, and confounded the appliers of these rules and regulations, by coming back to life, in the flesh, witnessed

by thousands while roaming the countryside. Again I must say to the egotists: Did all these people, including the hardy Roman warriors, have hallucinations? It is disquieting, isn't it, that your *wise* atomic bombs, your jet planes, your rockets of today might be looked upon in some future time as *hallucinations* of misguided men. Since you accept the fallacy of the *hallucinations* at the time of Christ, then you must accept yourself being thought of as *hallucinated* by your own progeny.

Now during this time, the western world was only a small part of this world. A fact almost constantly forgotten by lay preachers. What was the other part of the world believing? Or was it believing at all? We accept the beginnings of our culture as being around the Mediterranean Sea. Where, then, does the rest of the world believe its culture came from? This is indeed a very moot question, if one toils wearily through all the translated works of intriguing tongues, written in languages that predated Moses, and some of the papyri actually in existence today.

What do we find in these religions? Mysticism. That's all. An account that reads like a fairy tale, so wild is it to our own pedantic and unimagining minds. Things of unseen. Man going from body to body. Forces of each emotion represented by *gods* of total power. But dear egotist, these were hallucinations! Written by ancient men scientists, such as you are today. So don't believe your own kind, which leaves you to believe nor know nothing at all. Every-

thing is apparently a hallucination. While you may laugh at Bibles, you want to laugh at history? Look to these histories, see how they parallel the Bible in their recordings. The Talmud has the birthdate of Jesus recorded in its dry, musty pages. Sure, the egotists believe that those *things* were added by the Catholic Church, just as you believe the church is re-writing your history for you today. Of course they are . . . I weary of this accumulation of supposed *scientific* rubbish. By their own code, in that anything that is 80 percent actual is factual and therefore scientific, they confound themselves. Because over eighty percent of this world believes in life after death, in one form or another. So the preponderance of belief is actual and therefore should be factual . . . and putting the same yardstick of *belief* to your scientific discovery of the atom, you further prove yourselves merely hopped up egotists. You *believed* the atom was there, then went ahead to prove it was there, because it had to be there. Never mind. You are in the beginning of the new age — not new world, as so many want to believe should come — and are applying your intellects more and more to the use for which they were meant.

Now let's see: religion starts in the Age of the Kings. What, then, went on in the Age of the Kings? We do know one thing, for a very, very startling factual beginning:

The Age of the Kings left remnants that could only have resulted from the use of a great and powerful intellect. It also confirms an intellect that

was used by a *very few*. Let's bring this into perspective.

Let's give Einstein and the men who developed the atomic bomb a bow made of yew, a string made of the entrails of an animal or vine, a straight stick that had its tip hardened by burning in fire, and turn them loose in a primeval land. Now let's tell them to make an atomic bomb with these tools, using the men of the land who used these rudimentary tools as tools. These *scientists* would be utterly shocked, and if actually subjected to this test, utterly confounded.

Yet something in this order happened. Searchers of the past find skulls. Bones. Man has been present for millions of years. Today these *scientists* are utterly confused. They named periods of supposed progress among these *primates*, only to constantly revise the order of this progress, finally arriving at no *progress* whatsoever, until about ten thousand years ago. Then the straight line of no progress turns upward suddenly, drastically, rises to unprecedented heights, and keeps on climbing, like a jet out of one of the modern rocket factories.

This brings up another *religion*. Since Darwin, who yelled loudest against religions, made his theories based on much less actual evidence than the religions he blasted as ridiculous, has in turn become a *religion*, let's look this thing over.

Darwin asks his followers to believe, on faith alone, that the world exploded into being from

nothing. His followers say that it is as easy to believe this as it is to believe that someone called *god* made it. They use as evidence such things as a disappearing appendix to prove that nature discards that which is not needed, and form other appendages to help the main appendage in new endeavors. They point to half fish and half land animals. To sea things with lungs, and land things with gills. To the dying out of species, but *not* to any new species after the old ones died out. All that we have has been with us since *time* began. Some of these animals ceased to be? Did they change? Why didn't they just change and adapt themselves to the new conditions?

That's what evolution says. Nothing *evolves* that dies out. Now if land animals evolved, and sea animals evolved, why did some evolve as half and half? Why didn't man do the same? Shouldn't we find man living in the water as well as land? Better yet, why shouldn't there be more people living in the water than on land, as that was the most likely place, according to them, since part of their evolution scheme had this earth all water at one time. So some of the animals, including man, crawled out onto the drying land? Let's say they did. But *all* of them crawled out? You say they did. I'm not going to. Nature has proven herself ambivalent, or two-sided. So this being the case, we should have some human fish still abhorring land. But we can push this thing around forever. Let's don't. Evolutionists say that as nature doesn't need a part, she just lets that part dry up and cease to be. Right?

Then how come man has had, and continues to have three-fifths of *useless* brain in his head? Oh. It is still developing into something he lost? Or it is slowly becoming smaller due to lack of use? But, dear people, the skull measurements of the found men of a million years ago point to a much smaller brain capacity — and right up to around ten thousand years ago. And since *recorded* history man's brain has been the same as today: not used much. Then take the grim faced scientists of today, like the grim-faced scientists of ancient Greece and the Phallus with their hatching oven. Today the grim-faced scientists capture micro-organisms that have a few minutes life span. They house these things and force breed them. Watch eagerly for them to start *evolving*. They condition them, alert them, subject them to *promptings*, hoping that they can induce evolution. After a few trillion trillion billion lifetimes, they still have the same micro-organisms. They do the same with mice, rats, guinea pigs, and the lord knows what else. Yet they have found nothing that *evolves*. And about the appendixes: ask any doctor if humans still have them.

Now before I stop belittling the scientists, let me say this: I admire them. They have given this world many second minds to ease the toil, do the work, feed and warm others and myself. On the material side, there has never been, never will be anything of greater importance to the material part of the human being. My only disagreement with them comes on the matter of them fighting any

situation that they cannot touch, nor feel, nor understand. If they wish to call this two-sided phase of life believing stupid, I wish to remind them that every coin has two sides, and it's about time my side of the coin started calling them stupid. It might jog them into thinking, instead of planning. While I'm being fair, I want them to tell me what anti-matter is. They sent a rocket out into space and found out it's present. Now they are holding their breath. If there is anti-matter — and they have just proved there is — then everything, but *everything*, has its *anti* side. Horrors! They might end up proving man's soul after all. As the good book tells us, it's the unseen part of man — or the anti part of man. So tell me, scientists, what, please, is anti-matter? I know, but I want you to know, also. From the world that crumples around your feet as your beliefs die hard will arise a new world. Fear it not.

Now we come to a religion that isn't a religion. At least, not in the context of the meaning of the word. It is not handed down, but is ever present. This condition has been called many things, all unexplainable to the lay man. It's about time that this *religion* is lumped into one context, fastened there, for all to understand. I'm lumping it. Right now.

Extra Sensory Perception. ESP.

History and bibles are full of it. People around you are full of it. Scratch a human being and out pops *unexplainable* happenings they have personally witnessed. They whisper this to you, as if they are

afraid. Well, they are afraid. But do not know why. That's understandable. They don't want to be laughed at. As if a few extra senses in this most times senseless world were something to be ashamed of! That is all it is. Extra senses.

Why should this be so damnable? People are born with extra toes, extra heads, two bodies joined together, without eyes, ears and noses. Also feet, legs, arms and sexual organs. Also babies are born without being fathered. What then is so unnatural as people being born with extra senses? Not common, but certainly not uncommon. Since scientists have taken to studying this phenomenon, supported by government funds — your funds — it's about time you started looking this gift in the face and admiring it, instead of hanging your head in shame.

ESP is an established fact. Let us treat it as such. Psychic happenings occur every day somewhere in the world. By this I mean *published* psychic happenings. Instead of all the questioning and ridiculing of these happenings, why not seek to understand them? If 1/1000th of the money spent on national defense were applied to this endeavor, within ten years man's earth could indeed become a paradise. I have no doubt, as time flies by, that the surging awakening that is now in progress will actually *push* people into the new field. So why not give all the help you can. Right now.

Most of this confusion over ESP is caused by *publications* and groups, both here and in the East,

that have truth and evidence. I must grant that it is very annoying to an intelligent person to read this type publication and come to such a passage as this: . . . more spirits would visit the ones left behind, but their appearance would be too frightening . . . Well, the intelligent person thinks, rightly: Automobiles frightened people. But as more were produced, the fear lessened, until today the *lack* of automobiles would frighten them!

As I *said*, the printed material is very poor in logic, very *dreamy* in context, very *money hungry* in tone. And all of them have a whipping boy. Either a rich man is no good, or a church is no good, or society is no good, or everyone that does not think as the author does is no good. Perhaps you will instantly think: Hah—this author has the scientist as whipping boys. If I gave that impression, go back and read over the part you skipped by with your own prejudices in mind. I did not say they were *no good*, nor did I say that they were evil, that they would be punished. Quite the opposite. I paid them the tribute of saying that all this civilization has in the way of *material* comforts is owed to them. Nor did I even intimate that they would be punished for this. Quite the contrary. Since their minds are being used totally, in what they think is the material betterment of man, they are being true to themselves and their belief. No higher conduct than this can be asked of a human. To be utterly true to one's self is the highest tribute that can be paid to the anti-human, or image. For we can only be what we

know. We can blame teachers for our knowledge, we can blame conditions, but not ourselves. The mold into which we are born is the one we must live in. In attempting to break this mold, we are being untrue to ourselves and all others. But we have wide latitude in this mold. As you read, this will come very clear.

And while I am on the subject of clarification, let me say I did not condemn any religion or belief. I only explained them. If it were not for religions, their teachings, their persistence, their writings, I would be of very poor knowledge today, I would be of very poor human experience — and so would the world. In the same breath, if it were not for the forces opposed to these very religions, our knowledge would be only *half* of what it is today. So each is part, and to each the world's gratitude.

Now, before I go into a very startling clarification, let me present my view of man's intellect as of today.

If you will visualize man's knowledge as a wheel, you can place all the religions, teachings and writings of his past as almost a complete circle forming the *rim*, or outer edge of consciousness, with only ESP reaching in feebly as the *spokes* of that wheel seeking the *hub*, or buried consciousness. Before reading further, please go over this paragraph. Get the picture clearly in your mind. Realize the full significance of this wheel of knowledge. First, the rim of the wheel carries the unit forward,

the spikes push the wheel, and the hub supports the vehicle in travel. Without the hub, there can be no spokes held in position, and of course, no rim or continuity of movement. I could go into deep analysis, into the continuity of matter cycle, the curve of light merging into a curve of infinity, the relativity of all to each and each to all, but I am sure I would lose practically *all* readers before I reached the point of turn from the rim of anti-matter or opposite forces. So this wheel is as practical an appliance as can be visualized easily.

Man's knowledge need not be complex. It is only the seeking of that knowledge that breeds the complexities. Answers are always simple, once found. We see evidence of this every day. Most people, on finding a large boulder in a spot they want to make into a garden, go into fits of hard thinking for weeks. Let's see — they can break it up. They can paint it and use it as an ornament. But they don't want an ornament. They can call a trucking outfit and have it carted away. This will cost quite a bit of money. They could use dynamite and blow it apart. But too many windows would be shattered — and they would need a permit. And so on, ad infinitum.

A simple answer is: dig a hole and topple it in. The excess dirt is easily moved. They need only the tools they now have.

Another example of twisted thinking is used constantly by most knowledgeable people. First

they think up all the reasons why it *can't* be done. After allaying all their fears about it *not* being possible, they start thinking how it *might* be possible. After this they start thinking on *how* it can be done. They should only think of the *last* and forget the other two.

In this first chapter, we have been exploring, in as short a space as possible, man's progress. We have not attempted a why, nor an origin, and we have looked only at the material side of man.

I could, like many a knowledgeable person, continue for another fifty thousand words or so, to further impress you with my searched for and found knowledge. I could start quotes to back up my findings. I could list an index so that all verses, chapters, books, places, colleges, professors, people, ministers, priests and preachers, soldier battalions, regiments, divisions and armies; teachers, sinners, saints, prostitutes and winos could be contacted (if living) and then you could spend your lifetime finding the things I have found.

I believe the truth of the subject is self-contained in the expression of that truth.

If the truth needs a crutch to lean on, then it is not a whole truth, just as a body with a crutch to support it is not a whole body. No doubt many can find statements to deny some of what I have written in this chapter. For instance, a nit-picker could say that our calendar is *not* a Roman calendar, but a

Gregorian calendar, devised in the fifteenth century. I simply answer that the moot root of the calendar is that if the Romans hadn't started it, Gregory could not have monkeyed with it fifteen hundred years later, much to our present day woe. Man's brain capacity as found by archaeologists in their diggings can of course be nit-picked to pieces. It is the same with everything else I have written in this chapter, except the above statement: truth is truth if the subject is self-contained in the expression of that truth.

My life has been much like the old, old joke that depicts a crazy (?) soldier: this man went around shaking his head, picking up every piece of paper he could find, then muttering no-no! Until at last the learned and wise commanding officers called him before the headshrinker board. They decided, with total vote, that he was nuts. Then they handed him his discharge. He took one look and yelled: "That's the paper I've been looking for."

The final analysis often determines just who was crazy.

Chapter 2

Reasoning

Man is always looking for the beginning. Why then does he ignore completely factual evidence? I believe it is because of his reasoning processes mentioned before. At the present moment, mankind, on this subject, is still on the *can't* process of his thinking. He is trying to prove that what is thought can't be so. This is evidenced in the constant use of the word *why*. Scratch any thoughtful person with a new concept, and the first reaction is *why*. It makes no difference if the person be a Master of Psychology, or the local meatmarket owner.

In order to start a person on his or her own way of thinking, it is always best to answer this why of theirs with another why. My first question is: why did the most colossal monuments, located in three different parts of the world, come into being at the same time that man's intellect started on the upward curve? The monuments being pyramids, located in Egypt, China on the Manchurian border (just recently made public) and in the isthmus part of the Americas. What lasting monuments do we have before this? What lasting signs of great intellect remain of any other civilization before them? These pyramids are of geometric design, with basics of building processes, that in one instance, confounds engineers today. It is apparent that most

of them, in each location, are copies of the original. But these copies still use geometry, still use the unknown principle of balance. These monuments happened in three different places in the world, all built on the same engineering design.

Yet communication, over any distance was unknown to the aborigines of this planet at this time.

The point of balance principle of the pyramids is unknown today.

The stairway leading to the *top* of some pyramids is a puzzle.

The finish and fitting together of these pyramids are still a puzzle today,—that is, of a *few* of these pyramids.

The races of man sprang up around these pyramids. With one exception. This exception leads to a confounding way of proving all that is said in this work.

The Nordic pyramids are still hidden, I believe somewhere in the wastes of snow and ice, in the vicinity bounded by Moscow, the North Pole, Greenland, and the site that was known (?) as Atlantis.

You will immediately recognize that I have placed the lost land continent in the *North* Atlantic, instead of the *South*. Logic, and logic alone points to this location. Plus the fact that man has searched

futilely for this so-called lost continent. A good answer is that he has been searching the wrong area. Also the origin of the races and their locations.

Encyclopedias now give as obsolete the idea of Races, because scientists — those factual boys with actual proneness — say there is no foundation in fact to this *theory*. They go further. They prove there is no difference in blood chemistry. Then confound themselves by the heredity principle, and RH factors.

The mixing of races, like the mixing of colors for an artists palette came about when movement and communications were established. About the time of the pyramids.

What were, to the best of man's ability, the original race colors? And features?

We have the yellow, or saffron skinned, with wide cheekbones, squat bodies, mongoloid characteristics. This is all of the Chinas, the land masses that begin in the *middle* of today's India, go south into the Pacific Islands east to Hawaii, north to Siberia. We find, in the exact middle of this complex, the confusing character differences of the Manchus.

We also find the pyramids there.

The Manchus are tall, yellow but fair. Highly intelligent. Of strong physique. Marked with an

inner beauty, reflected in their arts and sciences. And religion.

We have the dark race, which goes from brown into black. Originally bounded on the North by Siberia and the Old Russia, on the East by the Middle India, on the South by the African Continent, on the West by Spain, then up the Atlantic coast, the indistinct line running through middle France, Old Russia-Siberia line. Right again in the middle of this huge sprawl we again find a tall, intelligent, fair peoples, with deep religious convictions, high art appreciation and sciences. Also the pyramid clusters.

Now we jump the water divisions. In the middle of the Americas, we find a *heartcenter* of the Red-man. We find a high art culture, a well formed governing setup, a deep religious conviction. We also find pyramids.

We have never found the *heartcenter* of the White — or, as some say, the Grey Race. Turning to encyclopedias, we find confusion, and almost a point of agreement. It is known that the White Race came *down* from the *north*. Exactly what part of the north is given in various books and works as Scandinavia, Finland, Norway, but always a certain amount of confusion exists. We have found no lasting works of theirs, nor do we find any exact type of intelligence or art and sciences. We do find a queer sort of roof to their dwellings and places of worship. It seems to be on the geometric pattern

of the pyramid structure, but altered to fit the climatic conditions of heavy snows. The *roof* is of higher point, the corners rounded to offer less **resistance** to wind, rain and snow. But the basic of geometric arch support is the same as found in China, Egypt, Central America, and points to a more rudimentary intelligence than existed in these places. One conclusion, in logic, can be reached. The *heartcenter* of the White Race vanished under the waters of the North Atlantic.

In the quest for knowledge concerning the period ten thousand years ago, one finds that certain movements of peoples started, an ebb and flow of humanities at the rim of these Races, or where the lines overlapped. This ebb and flow grew greater, pushed ever deeper in each others boundaries, and the boiling stew produced mixed breeds, not only in body structure, but also in religious practises. The *picture* of the human race starts to cloud rapidly.

But one form, or practise, remained constant. This may come as a shock, but this practise is the same today. All of these great landmasses, with the total lack of communications, had one sameness in common.

The proof of the sameness is present today in Australia. Here we find a landmass that was *not* among the centers of intelligence ten thousand years ago. Here we find the true aborigine as he was since time began, buried in the heart of the great,

arid island. And here we find the one sameness that still characterizes the intelligent world totally different.

In all the rest of the known world, with the exception of the true aborigines — and bear in mind I am speaking of majority sameness, not isolated instances — the code of punishment applied to social mores of the time is isolation and confinement. Seldom is the death penalty imposed, percentage-wise. While with the true aborigine, a breach of the social mores, without any degree of black and white guilt, is death. The death can be dealt them, or they can leave all behind, go into the bush utterly alone and let nature take its sure course. There is no reprieve and no mercy. This is the same *instinctive* code of *all* animals. The true aborigine, like all of nature's children, does not progress, even when he is exposed to intelligent advances made by modern civilization. The only way any *true* advances are made by aborigines is by *inbreeding* with the intelligent part of the human race. This happens marginally at first.

A lot of decent people will enjoy shock. They immediately picture a pure white man going to bed with a true, utterly innocent aborigine. While in very small instances in today's world, this happened continually before the time of Christ. Study reveals that in early times, a racial color difference was a *challenge*, not a disgrace, to man. Many instances in the bible and in history are recorded. The Cleopatra romance is the best known. What many fail

to realize is that Cleopatra was a colored gal. So the social mores have changed, but not to the advancement of the aborigine.

However, since practically all of this world is tainted with the intelligence that first came about ten thousand years ago, progress is literally exploding today. If one needs confirmation, look to the African continent. Have you ever wondered, while looking at a picture of today's leaders there, how come the man looks, talks, and in most cases, acts like the *grey* race of peoples? Name any highly intelligent, advanced member of the aborigines today, and you will find intelligent inbreeding in their heritage. Sometimes they are more *white* than dark.

Now I am not setting the so-called *white* man up as the intelligent race. Far from it. But if you take all colors, from pure white to pure black, and mix them, the resultant color is a grey, if all colors were of true proportions. However, varying the color proportions can result in a dirty tan, or brown. So that is what has happened in these past ten thousand years. We are progressing, if you like it or not, into a one color race of peoples. Maybe you will say retrogressing. But history does not prove that contention. And this very fact is why the scientists today will not accept the foundation that Races, or true heritage groups, ever existed. Yet the aborigines sit in the heartland of Australia and smirk at them with dirty faces. But not for long. Oil has been found in the land around them, Australia is very, very short on females of any kind,

and oil boom towns have to take what is offered. One great stumbling block remains among the in-breeds. Yet it shouldn't because *all* of us are in-breeds today. Some of us are fortunate, in that all our parents were able to have *caucasian* stamped on their birth certificates, and we live in a *white* community or nation. We would be *unfortunate* if we happened to be born in a *colored* community or nation. The shoes fit either foot. And as time moves along, all of us will be just wearing shoes. Ethnic ancestors will probably be able to smile at our prejudices *then*.

Now we come, in this work based on logic, to the other sameness among all men, yet to a difference that still separates the aborigine from the intelligent breeds.

War. Or maybe a better word would be: lack of peace.

Brains have always proved a very poor weapon. The aborigine does not try to use them. He merely goes after what he *wants*, depending on physical prowess to get it. The intelligent man goes after what he *thinks* he wants, trying to think all the while he is doing it, and in the end, after he has it, he doesn't know what to do with it. The aborigine gets what he wants, and uses it accordingly. Then he forgets all about it. Not so the intelligent spoilers.

They have to improve everybody. But not themselves. In this is the genus of search for perfection. A righting of an inherent wrong. The original sin. The false theory of "I will make a

better person of you, even if I have to kill you to do it" type reasoning. Yet these most savage of competitions has produced the best of civilizations. Man is reaching the point of self-understood futility in the use of self-chastisement. He is coming aware of his true *original* sin, but the acceptance is tenuous.

Science tries to confound the ancient writings. The Bibles. The *infantile* sort of preachments contained there in. What they can't disapprove factually — and that is practically everything — they attribute to *myth* or hallucinations from eating moldy wheat. What they fail to realize in their belittlements is that all these things were handed down to us from the *most* advanced peoples of their times. Only the highly educated, the cream of those societies, had *book learning*, and the ability to write. If you doubt this, I want you to tell me what the aborigines of Australia are thinking today. Right now. You have no idea? Of course you don't. They cannot *communicate*. Yet they have existed as long as any other *race* on this earth. Why didn't they *develop* writing thousands of years ago? Especially if you want to believe Darwin.. And the *cooling earth* theory of advancement. These aborigines were subjected to *everything* that happened to all of us. So say the evolvers. Yet they remain continually the same. And they are not a *myth* that can be shrugged off.

So logic points to *two* Adams. Now the Bible searchers have re-read Genesis. So ancient is this writing. So child-like. So trusting. So insulting to an *adult's* mind today! But horrors! Hidden

among the childish works, in a simple sentence, is the double *creation* of man. And placing this time — by folklore, the method of communication before writing, or after writing vanished for a few thousand years — about four thousand years before Christ. We find this folklore, in the works of Herodotus — called the father of history — placing the origin of the pyramids at about five thousand years ago. Yet due to translation, or to lost parts of the work, there is a continuous line of descendants, from eleven thousand three hundred years before Christ — when he is told by the priests of Egypt the last god lived on this earth, and man began, named after the first non-god Ruler Men, who built the Temple of Vulcan — and in this continuity of men-rulers, none did anything of importance until Moeris, who built the Labyrinth, in which he Herodus, said he visited, and in this Labyrinth were great pyramids. Yet he than descends to Ramses in this line of continuity, and says he built Cheops. I have reread this until I know it by heart, and nowhere does Herodotus say in his writings that this was the *first* pyramid. Since somewhere around the fourth century before Christ written records and *compilations* of the Bible began, and since *time* was based on no set rules, but on moon cycles, star appearances, and the Egyptian sun-stick shadow findings, wide *miscalculations* are very possible. Because while these *scientists* were concerned with the Western world, and had no idea of ancient Greek culture, nor of Oriental culture, they wrote of what they

heard, while these other cultures, especially the Oriental, were actually in possession of writings that predated their time estimates. Before we become smug, it has only been a few years ago that the western world was made aware of the China Valley Pyramids. Today, while you are reading this, really nothing is known of them, except that they are there.

When I read Genesis, a dreamy picture comes to mind. I see an elderly man, sitting in the shade of a tree, with many small children around him, and they have just asked this man of wisdom: where did man come from?

What is he going to tell them? Even if he knew that man came from the opposite of nothing, he could not tell them this. You can't tell adults this today . . . so he sat and pondered. Children always love to make things of mud. Perhaps a child even then is playing with the sticky stuff. What better way to tell the whole truth, yet in a simple, understanding way, to use the earth, and sky, and water? To combine these things and breathe life into them. To ask them to try to do the same. To fashion mud figures, and breathe on them. When they failed to come to *life*, what better way to impress upon childish minds the awe of the power of the Being that could and did do this very thing?

And then they wanted to know of the world, and how it began. Here we have the lead. The old man probably chuckled and spied them a yarn of whole cloth, but in terms that they could visualize.

This isn't too hard to understand. Nor does it diminish the power of the story, nor perhaps the truth contained in it. How many of you remember the *stories* your parents told you guilelessly, when you asked them questions that demanded understanding beyond your years? Yet they did not lie, but merely made the story attractive, and mostly neutral. They said neither *yes* nor *no* to your in-born inquisitiveness.

So now we come to *awareness*.

That word is hardly adequate. But it has to do. You either know something, are *aware* of something, or know nothing.

A rabbit is aware of a dog. A dog is aware of a man. A man is aware — of what?

Aware means a knowledge of, a warning of, but not an understanding of the object. Man is only aware of things beyond him, but knows all about a dog's ability. A dog does not know about man, but he is aware of him. The same dog knows all about rabbits. This being *conscious* of something without knowing nor understanding the something is a base emotion of all animate life of this planet. Since man is the highest level of animate life, logic tells him that since *life* form is progressive in thinking ability, there has to be a higher intelligence; but man has had about as much success as most dogs with their masters. So now enters one of the basic reasoning processes, one that is given not to man alone on this planet, but to all forms of animate life.

Man has been better able to use it, due to something that happened here approximately ten thousand years ago. This time is used repeatedly because the growth of man's intelligence took place about this time, according to all research done on the subject. Lump all the godless thinking of scientists, the god fearing thinking of bibles and the factual, dry writing of historians together, and it all points to this division of growth of intellect beginning then. This beginning of growth can be attributed to one sense.

Logic.

Logic is the ability to take two known facts and arrive at a third unknown fact. Then using the two known facts, proceed to prove the third fact, because it *has* to be. Right now many will sadly shake their heads and sigh the word *theory*, so let's get straight on this complex word. This lone word can be used to make an explanation based on thought alone, or on observation and reasoning, principles and methods of a science rather than its practice, abstract knowledge — and here we take a sharp diversion — or thought and fancy as *opposed* to fact or practise.

So the word *theory* is redundant. It does not mean logic, and it can be used to mean the *opposite* of logic, and that meaning is becoming more popular by the year. You have but to pause one moment and consider the staggering growth of religions since World War II. People cast aside one religion after another because the basic *theory* of this religion differs with theirs, or confounds *logic*, and they

proceed from religion to religion, bible-hopping in their frantic search for truth. What they totally miss is that all bibles are basically true, but the *theories* concerning the administration of the bibles or truths are sometimes wild beyond imagination, or have been corrupted because of word change and meaning over the past centuries, plus the fact that the bible was used for fifteen hundred years to bolster the sagging rule of the Age of Kings. In so doing, the canny Rulers mixed spiritual rule with Roman law, the same as the Pharaohs did after losing their godhead at the time of Moses, when they mixed physical punishment with spiritual punishment to insure dominion over all their subjects. It is a very clever idea of complete rule. Since the eyes of Rulers' enforcers could not be continually on all subjects, they could use the eyes of a *god*, conditioning their subjects to believe, even though they could not be seen by mortal eyes, that they were being watched carefully even while they dreamed. Thus was the *conscience* of man developed.

And the conscience of man started having troubles just as soon as he started mixing physical boundary lines, and Rulers died and were replaced by ones of different theory, because this left intelligent man with but one defense. He snarled that when a stone struck water, the water splashes. If the water does not splash, the stone could not be a true stone, or the water could not be true water. And still snarling in his helpless beginning of the

sense of *logic*, he turned from the lair weavers. But by rejecting all, he turned only to complete emptiness.

This man needed help beyond the power of mortals. The help was given in a confounding way with the advent of Moses. It waxed strong then waned until the time of Christ. The momentum of Christ has carried him forward for almost two thousand years, while Moses carried forward only fifteen hundred years. Both these Beings had a power beyond earth witness. It proved factually that there exists a higher intelligence than man. While not being able to understand this phenomenon — just like the dog cannot understand why a rabbit drops dead when he brings it near his master, even though he hears the loud noise and sees his master point a stick — he could and did, like the dog, accept the physical evidence of his eyes. That was enough for the man who saw the happening. But we are not so fortunate. The bible tells us to believe on faith alone, but our developing sense of logic confounds us, because we do not know this beginning, nor can we prove the end in concrete form. Man wails that there is nothing he can put his very physical finger upon, while his awareness is continually confused by theory contrary to logic. His acceptance of higher intelligence is continually confounded by *isms* and *can'ts* combined with limited access to worldwide knowledge that has been compiled for untold centuries. Then totally confused by mixed languages and lack of communication.

We have broken the barrier of communications. We are breaking the barrier of language. We are exploding in the use of pure logic. We are at the *beginning of the end* of the beginning, and the understanding thereof. As in War II, in the words of the leader, the *end of the beginning* was a very short time distance away from his statement of the *beginning of the end*. The *end* was very fast in arriving.

We have taken man from his beginning of understanding, through his first seeking of understanding, through the whys of religions and their laws, the development of his conscience, the rejection of theory, and his beginning acceptance of logic, after the recognition of his sense of logic. You must bear in mind that I am referring to the *mass* of mankind, not the few.

I am looking at a newspaper column. Its title *Science* and among other trivia, is a small paragraph titled *No Rush*. A Smithsonian scientist apparently is sitting among the scattered bones of diggings, his folded hands hanging between his knobby knees, a puzzled, blank frown on his weary, baffled features, while his eyeglasses hang dejectedly on his flapping ear. According to the newspaper column, he took bone and rebuilt the *pre-human* skulls of two Neanderthal Men, the *man* that predated modern (?) man by some 60,000 (?) years (the question marks are the scientists, not mine) and he has come to a very confounding, factual conclusion, but one that does not *fit* his theory of evolution. It seems that these

two skulls *lived* 15,000 years apart, yet nothing, absolutely nothing, evolved or changed in this great time lapse. Yet these same skulls were found in the same cave. The Shanidar cave in Northern Iraq. Yet the poor fellow shouldn't be confused. And he — like thousands of others of his kind — is not really confused. Only stubborn.

The poor devils take false *theories* and try to fit *logical* facts to them, instead of taking logical facts and fitting truths to these facts. In short, they take square wheels and mount them on modern automobiles, and then are puzzled because the auto will not move, at least not the way they want it to move. When they get it going, finally, it snaps, pops, rattles, sways, bucks and bounces until the whole contraption falls apart. Then they stubbornly rebuild it, using square wheels again. If a modern engineer did this with an automobile, they would immediately put him in an auto with round wheels and forward him without return address to a padded cell.

So keeping the mass of mankind in mind, let us turn to the mass of lower animal species. We find that logic has developed here, in line with the logic of man. No?

Why then are rats used in mazes, using logic to find food among the traps? This logic goes beyond instinct, as any researcher who has watched will grudgingly admit. It is the same with dogs. Any person who is fond of dogs can tell you some amazing

instances of *reasoning* of logic of their own animals. And jump to those amazing sea animals, the porpoise.

Man is finding that they can talk!

Probably by the time you read this work, man will have devised a way of conversing with a mere fish. It will be a *voice* of high squeals, almost supersonic sound, but it will be a true communication between intelligent minds — maybe on the seven year old level, or maybe not — but intelligent.

In this work, all that you read has meaning. There is nothing written that will not fall into pattern and place *logically* before you turn the last confounding page.

You also have my permission, at this time, to call me nuttier than a fruitcake. But now, like the private searching for that piece of paper in the army, we go before the Board of Decisions.

Chapter 3

Mind and Matter

What is energy? This is a word used casually everyday. Yet in context, misunderstood everyday. In its broadest acceptance, it is defined as capacity *for doing work*. Now that is a very small definition, until you realize that it is all of the universes combined. There is nothing — absolutely nothing — that is not energy in one form or another. It is the all of what is. Each tiny particle is part and parcel of the most immense galaxy, just as each galaxy is part and parcel of each tiny fragment. Modern man has not found the smallest particle, just as he has not found the largest, because he is still working on the *material* level.

He must put his finger on it before he will admit that it exists. A very, very physical and material finger, while using the unknown, unmaterial mystical thing called *mind* in order to do so. The thing he does not know anything about tells him what material is, yet the very thing that tells him all he knows, the egotistical ass proudly yells does not exist.

This has always left me flabbergasted. It's like a man shaking my hand in Los Angeles and yelling at the top of his voice that he is eating Baked Alaska in Trinidad. So recognizing this sturdy hurdle, and admitting that it is a hurdle, does not solve the

hurdle. This total lack of logic must be recognized as a part pattern of logic itself.

So we come to mind and matter. It is one under the word energy.

Energy, using mind, converts matter to material that man uses. But only in second instance.

We have lost the use of first instance. That is what blundering man is seeking to regain, without knowing he is seeking it.

Man takes wood, converts it to fire, takes sand, places it in fire, converts it to glass. He is not creating, he is converting, or combining, matter to material to suit his use, because all matter is all present. Just as we lack the total ability to combine all matter, we lack the ability to combine all mind.

Mind, Matter, Energy — conversion — Material.

Hang on. We're going deep. Ready?

Man has only been duplicating his unknown mind in all that he has achieved. He has taken his mind, used energy, and converted by mind to material he can use.

Man could not travel, except by his own containment. This wasn't fast enough, because his mind could and did travel to all points of his knowledge in time average of no-time. He had to have

a vehicle to move his body there faster. The ultimate would be to think he was there and be there, physically and instantly. If this ability was not at one time his, where did the *awareness* come from? Why the constant search to reach this ultimate?

Man's search developed windpowers, by combining material to hold the wind to move contrived materials and thus carry his containment. This was slow, and hardly practical on land. He saw round objects that rolled. So before the windpower, he used things that rolled, combined with the power of lesser animals, and sometimes the power of less fortunate men, but in the use of windpower, he escaped the need of animate power, and used nature for the first time.

Next came the action of explosions. By combining certain matter, it exploded, He worked with this until he could control the explosion. Since the easiest way to get this explosion was by using fire under water to mount a vapor pressure, he put fire, water, steam together and got greater energy. He tied this energy to contrivances and put it to work for him. This contrivance was another extension of his mind in order to move his containment.

Note that all that has progressed so far has been made by man, with the use of his mind, to move material things his mind could not move directly for him. The mind, being not of material, used the material body of man as its tool, to further convenience itself.

As man advanced, he used the written word to record his progress. Thus by reading, a future man could acquire the knowledge of many men now dead in a very short time, then build from that point to further his progress. Now this is logical assumption, and should answer the theory of the *evolvers*. It does, as far as advancement for the *lay* or common mass of man is concerned.

But every man that has advanced civilization has been *born* with the advanced knowledge.

We need but look to the immediate names of the advancers to recognize a salient point. Curie, Einstein, Morse, Pasteur . . . but why list them? Each of these people were distinct, complete, different knowledges that *could* not have been learned from books, so radical to common knowledge were their performances. Yet each of these persons lived to prove their knowledge accurate, in the very face of the mass opposition. Using these exceptions, the *lay* masses build from their exceptional knowledge to carry man rapidly deeper into the very heart of material matter.

With us, yesterday as of today, are the *child wonders* who perform complete musical works before they can hardly talk, who confound college professors with mathematical equations beyond the knowledge of the professors themselves. In Louisville, Kentucky, in the mentally retarded ward of an institution, is a child of nine or ten years. This child cannot feed himself, nor take care of toilet

necessities, nor clothe himself. He reads, but no one can determine if he understands what he reads. He takes any book, in any language, reads the language *aloud* with perfect pronunciation and diction, in perfect rendition of the language of the book. Yet, as I stated, there is no way to determine if the child understands one word of what he has read letter perfect.

In the development of communications, one man pointed the way in mechanical sense, proved his point, and now the building progress on that point gives us television. At about the same time, another *man* was giving us the fundamental use of generated electricity. The confounding thing is, both of these *first* intellects in two widely divergent men were two faces of the same progress, and the progress is yet in its infancy.

Let us look at what has been done in the advancement of this knowledge, after the fundamental structure was given my men *born* with the knowledge, and other men built upon it, using their own material bodies as image.

Radio uses the vibrations produced by the human mouth to emit word sound, electricity picks up this vibration, holds it in sequence, and energizes it to great projection. A receiver, patterned after a material human ear, picks up this energized wave and reproduces the word sound. A television tube, using the basic pattern of eyesight — the basic of *seeing* being tiny sensory reflectors in back of the

human eye, attached to nerves that are in turn attached to Cortex — catches the image on the fine dark-light pattern sensors, converts these *shadow* individual points into a grid, the grid pattern goes to the *Cortex* of the transmitter, the transmitter energizes the grid and projects it. The receiver picks up the grid pattern, reconverts it to dark-light pattern sensors and you have the same picture. Yet nothing has been done that the human brain hasn't been doing since time began, and as yet, not near as perfect. In fact, it is very crude mechanical duplication of the *unmaterial* mind. If you think not, the human eye takes in what is in front of it, converts dark-light pattern to sensory perception, sends it to the Cortex, assimilates it to — what? Then sends it to — what? and you see. Sure, the Thalamus, two large oblong masses of grey thalami in midbrain for optical purposes, does the ultimate conversion, but how?

In recent research, using tiny electrical attachments to send tiny shock waves into various parts of the brain, man has been able to stimulate these centers with amazing results. He has the results, but not the *actions*. He has highly enlarged effects from highly enlarged cause, but what lies in between still has him pulling his unduplicatable hair out.

Since cause and effect now emerges, and some take these two *ends* and call them a complete understanding, we should take a logical glance at this redundancy. You spin a wheel, the cause being the pressure of your finger, the effect being the spin.

But take the wheel and lock it in a vise, and you cannot spin it, until you apply enough pressure to break it loose from the vise, or the vise loose from the holding. So between cause and effect we have something else, which is in turn cause and effect in *relation* to the first cause and effect. Einstein took this *relation* and worked it out, but in so doing, he left the masses so far behind in knowledge that it will take a few hundred years to even know slightly what he was trying to tell us.

So this great puzzle of *relation* comes between cause and effect. The relation can be simple, such as the vise on the wheel, which in turn, isn't simple at all, or it can be complex such as the gravitational field that holds the universe *fixed* in relation. Yet the wheel and vise, in last instance, is also part of the gravitational complex that holds the universe in *fix*. The wheel is in vise, the vise is fastened to table, gross weight is greater in ratio to the pressure of your finger, gross weight being gravity in relationship to this earth, and the earth being gross weight into relationship to the universe. When you place the wheel on a point of *inaccurate* balance in this great field of gravitational relationship, you can spin it, until the inaccurate balance, which is called friction, inertia and gravitational pull — according to who is talking about what — causes it to slow and stop. So Cause and Effect are mere ends, and nowhere near the solution of any problem. Your Darwinian seeks to find Cause, then immediately leaps to effect, not in the least wanting to go into understanding of the puzzle of relationship.

Oh sure, he uses Cause as Relationship — the receding waters *cause* sea animals to become land animals, they would have to *adapt*, wouldn't they? I ask *why* would they have to adapt? Einstein, being an evolutionist, went into this *adapt* theory with his Relationship, and died before he found an answer, and this is his own admission, so when man, in a few hundred years, is able to understand his reasoning, they will still not have an answer. Because he never found it. And *if* it is found, what do they have? Material evidence, converting from — what?

In trying to answer this, Freud took the first step into the *mind* of man, and today the Western world is in a tizzy trying to puzzle out the contradictions. Is sex man's driving force? Is feeling of power the driving force? Is material accomplishment the driving force? Without the *mind*, you could recognize *none* of these drives.

It's time that man turned to his *mind* — or senses — and forgot all about his *creature* drives. Because he has *creature* drives for a purpose, a Cause. They are neither good nor evil, but human. They change with the changes of society, and indeed, are different in different parts of the world at the same time. Right now plural wives are *society* in some parts, while single wives are *society* in other parts. Premarital relations are a must some places, while in others they are a *sin*, and the *sin* has been converted into a *crime* against *people*, forgetting all about what God has to say concerning it. So the device of controlling progeny is a very, very human

one, and has nothing to do with nature's laws. The Darwinians should scream about this. How can things change or evolve when the very *elements* of revolving are being monkeyed with by man? Evolution is surely coming to a controlled, grinding halt by man's own material hand.

I wonder. When will man tear down the bars of the prison he has built around his *mind*?

In all societies, intellect has been and is something to fear. When one man does something or says something another can not understand, immediately a barrier arises. A hostile barrier. The man with the intellect has stepped beyond the norm of his fellow man, and he is different. The intellect can and usually does upset the accepted smooth level of the present society, and will cause supposed intelligent men to revise their way of walled-in thinking, which is a great effort, or deny the new intellect in hostile reproof. It is very easy, at first, to deny the new intelligence. So in its present generation it is denied (with exception to the present time in one segment of the world) by all supposed intelligent men until they die.

After the death of the *old* school, the young school emerging has no intelligence to change, so they grasp this new intellect and build upon it. The fear factor is not present, so the intellect is accepted. The advancement of intellect has always had to wait upon the death of the living old. This is and has been a slow process.

But the one segment — the United States of America — has started crossing the generation barriers. Here the hostile approach is rapidly changing to acceptance. Here man is turning directly upon *mind* as a distinct thing separate from material. At long last, these people born of the utter freedom drive, are generating a fearlessness in relationship to what has been called the supernatural. Scientists of great learning are devoting themselves — albeit a bit hesitantly and still afraid of open challenge — to delving into psyche happenings.

Kinetics — the ability of being able to *move* an object by merely looking at it — is evidence of *direct* mental *material* conversion of mind into power over material.

Regardless of their learnings to the contrary, man must accept the evidence of his eyes. Under every conceivable handicap, with every bit of staging arranged by the doubting thomases, there exists men and women who can, by merely looking at a marble, roll it across a table, hop it into a cup, turn it around and move it at will, upon direction of the onlooking skeptics.

From this evidence that cannot be denied, fearfully the learned man has stepped into telecommunication. Again, beyond any doubt, under controlled conditions, they have seen it work.

Now they are turning to the great why.

Foremost Universities and the United States Government have set up laboratories to delve into

the *supernatural*. And I say here, what they are looking into is *not* supernatural, but *natural*. Just as an explosion caused by placing two hostile elements together was *supernatural* to the ignorant savage, just so are these at present unexplainable kinetic and tele-communication happenings *supernatural* to the unlearned in the field. With the coming of the *will* to believe, will come the knowledge to understand.

The good book tells us over and over again: ask and you shall receive. Until now, man has not understood that in its fullness, and will not completely understand it — amass — for sometime to come. But a leading few *do* understand it *now*, and as the *old school* dies out, the coming new school, not having to relearn, will accept it for fact and build upon it. But, you see, dear reader, it must start under hostile conditions first.

The lid must be lifted from the bushel basket of fear. The wish to see always leads to the ability to understand.

Once understood, the *new* thing is put to work for us. From the almost unknown German that discovered the breakdown of the atom in the 1880's, and left written word, to Goddard who put the first western rockets high into the desert skies in the early twenties, man has had to wait until a complete generation of *thinkers* died out before the new concept was accepted and worked upon. I used the word *thinkers* in contempt. Learned men always think of

themselves as *thinkers*, when really they are nothing but excellent copiers. They can follow a drawn line by another until they die, but once off that clearly drawn line of thought, they flounder helplessly, and instantly despise the person that has seen them flounder. The poor fellows are like a speaker that has had the soapbox kicked out from under his feet. He has nothing left to do but rage at the laughing crowd. Yet these learned men, by sticking to an old concept that some man had to starve and die for in his time, has moved the world forward in a fantastically short time. The only aside I take to them is their hostile rejection of all new thought. Their lack of tolerance has been abominable. From the time they crucified the Christ, until today when they stoutly yelled that man can not get into space while the Russians were cheerfully flying a man around up there, they have stood deeply rooted in their manure pile of opinated stupidity. The benefit of a doubt is completely foreign to them. If they merely ignored the new thought or concept, it would be a redeeming feature, but this is an impossibility for them. They must jump upon it and try to kick the living thought out of it publicly. When proven wrong, completely, they whine piteously that any man can be mistaken.

I say a true man never refused a helping hand to a beginner swimmer who reaches it up from the cold, hostile waters. And certainly no one can be called a man that kicked the reaching swimmer square in his hopeful teeth. For his own good

that is. Or the good of his soul, whatever that is supposed to mean. But it is heartening to see that this form of *chivalry* is dying quickly.

Now the right of challenge is taken truthfully, in open debate, with a will of each to understand the concept of each. When old and new ideas rub together, they produce a third, if both minds are seeking the truth and searching for it. The quest will go deep into strange waters, with man's fears a wall of solid steel to advancement.

Mind, Matter, Energy — Conversion — Materials.

How far is man away from the beginning? When will man reach Mind, Matter, Energy, Materials — without the product of his second instance?

Chapter 4

Knowledge

The scattered search for knowledge concerning man's brain is widespread and gaining momentum. But what is the approach? Again we find men taking the *Material* of the brain and dissecting it, much like a mechanic takes an engine apart. Until very recently, this was the only approach, and even Einstein's brain was taken after death. They weighed it, measured it, cut it up — searching for what? Did they expect to find moving pictures in it somewhere, since he *saw* all mathematical equations in picture form? As though someone, some place, was showing him a blackboard as if he were a student in school? Many men with *first* concepts have had this same phenomenal way of *thinking*, just as though they were sitting in a theater.

But recently, they have taken to fastening tiny electrodes on *living* brain. This acts just like a booster — or a stepping up of the amount of electrical charge this part of the brain receives — and weird results come forth.

More clearly than with hypnosis, the subject can remember complete episodes in detail, episodes the subject has completely forgotten. In many ways, these electrical charges tap the subjects hidden knowledge of this one life.

Since it is always better to understand the word with which one is dealing, let us see what the books call the word *knowledge*; in first sense, it is the lone word *know*. Then breaks this word into certain parts, i.e., — to be aware of, to learn of, to be sure of, to be certain; it redundances back to *know*, with the *knowing* accomplished. Here, in this word, we have cause and effect again. The beginning and the end, with the middle a big *unknown* blank. Just *how* does one know anything?

Most teachers say that knowledge is the accumulation of facts, and the facts are placed together to form a chain, which is in turn a theory, or a conviction. So in school, we are taught those things which have been proven true (or, at least, everyone *hopes* they have been proven true, but you only have to look at the past ten confounding years of progress to know that what was thought true yesterday is proven false today) and from these *facts* emerges one's way of life. For a pupil to question one of these *facts* is tantamount to failure, and the teacher's sure fury. Anyone who persists, as did Einstein, is finally kicked out of school. Einstein's parents hired private tutors, who in turn gave up in despair because of the child's stupidity. This same stupid person, while working as a night hotel clerk in a second rate flophouse in Switzerland, wrote down the formula for the releasing under control of the atom upon brown wrapping paper.

I cannot help asking the question: where would the world be today if the *stupid child's* teachers had given the child a chance to prove his assertions?

How many total years of this brilliant man's life were totally wasted by the intolerance of the *thinkers*? The same thinkers who prattle the word *knowledge* and know not the blankness of the word they use?

So let us look at the logic of this word. Man is *born* a reasoning creature. This is very dangerous to the ones already born and in control of the segment of the world in which they rule. For the child to grow up without *controlled* reasoning processes would be disastrous to them. So *knowledge* is imparted to the child in line with what the rulers want of the child, not giving a tinker about what the child wants. This is what the rulers called preserving their civilization. Of course, this included preserving them. That word should have remained hyphenated. Pre-serving. Because that has been the only *knowledge* taught from the time of the Age of Kings . . . to serve the ruler first, or *pre*, and themselves last. With the advent of religions, they taught that service to God was service to the ruler, also. So they still pre-served themselves as both gods and rulers.

As time passed, *knowledge* grew into the thing-word it is today, used easily and misunderstood completely. Right now intelligent people are hired and paid big money to think. Just sit and think. They are not told how to, what to, or when to think.

How are these *thinkers* thinking? Do they bounce one bit of knowledge against another bit of

knowledge and wait for a third bit of knowledge to result from the *marriage*? That is what all the *knowledgeable* professors *think* they do. But, as usual, the professors are wrong.

The paid thinkers — the ones with the originator minds — sit with mind blank and *catch* stray thoughts from nowhere! It is strange to their clod-bosses sitting in their overstuffed office chairs chewing their fingernails raw while trying to control their angry thoughts concerning these *do-nothing* thinkers. Why, four of those critters came up with the same bit of weird ideas at the same time! They're ganging up trying to impress me, the clod-boss growls to himself, reaching for the bottle of tranquilizers.

What the clod-bosso is thinking in anger is all too true. It is usual, rather than unusual, for the same idea to generate in different minds in different parts of the world at the same time. Yet the world at large is still laughing at anyone being able to *tune* in on their psyche. Yet the very ones laughing do it constantly and are not aware of this original knowledge. Because they have been taught by the knowledgeable controllers that this *psyche* is hog-wash. How many times have you opened the door and said — gosh, I'm glad you came. I've wanted to see you . . . and the visitor replies, I just happened to have nothing to do and thought I would come over . . .

Habit! yell the *thinkers* — the ones that teach and do not think, of course — and look at you as if

you lost your marbles. We are creatures of habit, they say harshly, and like a rabbit running its ring, must at time stop at familiar places. Oh? You answer blandly, but this person was one I met in Europe seven years ago, and I haven't had a word from him since, got our addresses mixed, you know . . . he looks at you and smirks: got your new address from his psyche, huh? he asks with thinly veiled sarcasm. The phonebook, you answer, grinning, after all, not every one is stupid . . .

While this has happened to me personally, the more common things of this sort happen to the people amass everyday, but in the same sphere of tele-communication.

The *knowledge habit* is a hard one to break. While reading Luther's original Bible — or trying to read the ancient German handschrift, which is like trying to read a graph of the Wall Street market fluctuations — in Schloss Herzberg right after War II, the nervous Keeper, being afraid I would damage the dried paper? of the pages in turning them, distracted my attention by challenging me to open any one of the five or six ancient trunks setting around the glass enclosed pedestal that held the heavy volume.

More to get him out of my hair than to prove anything, I asked for the keys, as each trunk had at least five heavy, brass and iron padlocks. He gave me a large, old keyring with about twenty club size keys on it. "One of them —" he smirked blandly — "should open some of those locks."

I tried every key on every lock, while he grinned happily. "Those ancient ones were smart," he said admiringly when I gave up. "Look, no lock keeps it closed — just this little catch," and he touched a bronze nailhead and the lid popped open on bent brass rods.

So knowledge tells us to look for the likely. I guess because that's the easiest way to fool any body.

In my searches in Europe — which, by the way, contain many, many dusty handwritten scrolls that no one is ever interested in — I learned that Hitler's SS had succeeded in fooling the Jews by joining a segment as — of all things — a Rabbi. Now, in order to do this, they had to study the Talmud. Since this is written in strange script — strange to a so-called Gentile, anyway — I deduced that somewhere, sometime someone must have translated it into German. They had. So I read it. Why not? And the same applies to the Torah. I also got my hands on the translated Koran, the Moslem Bible. According to it, I should be dead. Anyone but a very high Caliph is supposed to do just that — drop dead — after reading *all* of it. Of course, I wasn't nervous, just eager. After all, some wily Duetsche under Hitler had to translate it, didn't he? And the SS were not in the habit of dropping dead for nothing.

I bring these few boring paragraphs up merely to point out that the search for root knowledge leads

one down many strange paths, and at the end one runs into the same blank wall. Even in the ancient China-India scrolls one ends up flat against the blank wall of beginning. Because even then *knowledge* was channeled to the young to perpetuate *Rule*.

So I am against Rule? I am an Anarchist? Quite the contrary. But I believe that children — and adults — should be taught this section of learning is for society, this section of learning is for your own individual mind. Here is the all of what we know of society since the first written record — and here is the little we know of the mind: please build upon both.

The greatest word that led, at one time — even now slams doors loudly — to horrible death, is *Heresy*. Under this one word — which simply means you refuse to believe every blasted thing you are told, and would rather believe *here-say* instead — the knowledge of the world has been kept stereotyped and ironbound down to this present generation. If ever a word or its teaching needed to be stripped of its degrading power, heresy is that word.

It is the opposite of all true learning. When I hear a person use it in defense of his or her mouthings, of politeness I refrain from telling them to stop braying like a jackass. Instead, like a swimmer finding a dry pond, I walk off. Too many — far, far too many — innocent men, women and children have gone to horrible death and suffering on the

preachment of *faith* alone. They have a right to question it, a right of explanation, rather than a cold rebuke.

Faith is a beautiful word. It is a factual word. It is used every day by everyone. You have *faith* in your spouse. Your children. Your friends and neighbors. This faith is proven to you everyday, in every way, but sometimes it is broken, and even then you can see and probably understand the reason why.

Only in religions are you denied proof. Any religion that uses the Christian Bible as proof of its trueness as being the *one* church under god is blaspheming the very Bible they preach. Every religion is a garment that fits one person, but does not fit another. But the Bible — like a dress — is the whole garment for the western world. Some people like their dress loud, some like it drab, some like to mix it, but they all *dress*. To say a dress is not a dress because it is red instead of gray would be the ultimate in stupidity. Yet western religions — and Eastern — yell this stupidity at each other all day long and call themselves intelligent beings. They even have gone to the extreme of wholesale slaughter under the word heresy in the *intelligent* use of this stupidity. I could use the word ignorant in deference to the feelings of these stupid people, but ignorance is no disgrace, meaning that the person has had no chance to study or know about the subject, which is certainly not true in the context of what I have written.

Religion need not depend on faith alone. All around us is the result of the power of the word. But we must look at it in the light of the mass, not the individual. The founders of the United States were heretics. They sought refuge from tyranny in religious matters. Using their two hands and the faith in their hearts, doing good for their neighbors instead of evil in the name of religious tyranny, they built a nation on understanding and tolerance, never fearing to dare evil in all its guises. This nation, with eyes open and utter faith in the right of each individual's freedom of will and expression confounded other nations in the short span of one hundred and fifty years of search for the truth. Yet the *learned* of our time ask proof of faith, the faith that says every man is alone before god, the faith that says ask and you shall receive. They ask proof of this belief, of this preachment, while standing neck deep in the luxurious surrounding given because of this faith, and the way of its tolerance for every man, woman and child. They ask proof of this faith while taking the right of this faith. In short, they are using the liberty of this faith to voice their own opinion, because if it were not for this very faith, their voicing of a contrary opinion to the one of power under the old world system would mean death to them.

All that the United States has is owed to the lone man that died in torment upon a wooden pole almost two thousand years ago. It is His way of life that made this nation the greatest on earth today, and in turning from His way which it is surely doing,

this nation will eventually fade into the darkness of the tyranny it once escaped. We must stand on these principles of faith, must build upon them instead of denying them, because if we do not, our children will have no new world in which to find shelter from tyranny and the chance to try again.

The *learned* of this nation and the world ask proof of this faith, not because of the good it has done, but because they are afraid of the darkness of death. And this faith has not been able to prove that man lives again, that there is something beyond the veil. They point to the use of fear in the Bible, in the preachments of hell fire, the iron bound and iconoclastic dogmatism by which they rule in the name of heaven and the scourge of the Lake of Fire. Man's enlightening mind is lashing out against the Rule by Fear, which the churches try to disguise as *love*.

The learned say that love is not a destroyer. That no sane man would set his own child on fire, burn it alive, because it misbehaved. They point out, in truth, that not only does the God of the churches promise this very thing to His children for misbehavior, but threatens, in the name of love, to have His children *burned forever*, while the *loving* father burning his child would only induce pain for a few minutes, at most. They point out, justifiably, that if one lives long enough, fear turns into rage, and the rage is vented against the one formerly feared.

This is what is happening to religion. The fear is turning to rage against the teachers of fear. And religions, because they cannot prove life after death, stand defenseless before the cold, factual onslaught.

So they must change or perish. Just as they changed after Christ, when they found that His preachment of love was not strong enough to hold man to the faith, and they changed to rule by fear to keep the church alive. If they had not, we would not have the fruits of this great benevolence today. But today, in most of the world, man is willing and able to understand the greatness of love. It has been demonstrated as being the most powerful and lasting of all emotions, the most satisfying and fruitful. But learned man is not going to accept a two-headed God. Either God is all loving — or He is nothing to be proud of today. They can and will accept Him as all powerful, as the *something* that caused this universe to be, but they will not accept Him as a creature of hate that can destroy all that He caused to be because man is temporarily, like a child in its learning, rebelling against some tenet of religion that makes complete nonsense of facts.

So man is wallowing in his *knowledge*, not fully understanding the word itself, only cause and effect, with the great relationship unanswerable in-between.

You may ask what all this had to do with knowledge. If you haven't realized the point up until now, it is just as well that I spell it out.

Without religion, there would be no knowledge. Because religion educated the mass in spite of themselves. It was religion that taught the common masses, that built the first schools, that built the first colleges, that forced Rulers to back them in these efforts, until today education is taken for something that always was, yet the printed word is only as recent as 350 years, and what did Gutenberg first print? And schooling of the common mass is only as recent as *one hundred years!* And today is not a worldwide effort! Segmented schooling, for the privileged few, goes back to the Greeks, carries forward into the early nineteenth century, where private groups formed to teach children close in distance. It was at the beginning of this century — 1900 — that schooling started to reach out into the vast, wide rural sections with government support. Prior to this, all schooling was conducted by religious groups, upon monies given by the more fortunate, who wished to buy a place in *heaven* for themselves.

Self educated men of the common mass was a proud distinction at Lincoln's time. Today a self-educated man is looked upon as some sort of freak in the United States. That is the snobbery and snidery that was born and grew in a short one hundred years of man's common advancement.

So since schooling advanced from religious teachings, let us look at the source of this great knowledge that compels the recipients to scorn

their heritage, starting with the educated? Darwin *one hundred and five* short years ago. Charles Robert Darwin was born in 1809, died in 1882. He was *taught* by religionists, became a *minister*, then turned to naturalism because he got a good paying job on a newspaper, the *Beagle rag*. While he was being taught by the very persons he would deny, he was deeply interested in medicine, and, as we would say today, majored in this field. In 1859, he published his *Origin of the Species*, but he was merely copying from A. R. Wallace, who worked out a similar *theory* previously, but probably didn't have the backing (Sic!) to get his work published.

The knowledge that give Darwin and Wallace their chance of denial goes all the way back on religious principles to the Age of Kings. Around the then segmented and unknown world, a singular form of Rule came into being, without *known* communication between segments. All of these segments were ruled by one powerful and absolute man (?) or, as we know it today, King. While the word King is spoken different in the segments, the form of government was the same. Even today the word King is used to denote supreme power within a certain sphere, and carries with it a certain unwordly awe. It is singularly odd that the word King is found under the context of *Master*. While the singular use of the word King is used here, it also means Khan, Potentate, Mogul and the hundred or so other different words used in this melange of languages to denote the supreme of the segment.

What is more than odd, *all* of these singular forms of government came into being around ten thousand years ago, as if by spontaneous combustion, and in the same period, man's intelligence took a great, amazing leap forward. Up to this period, man on this planet was more of an animal, albeit the king of the animals. All the findings of the archeologists confirm a rudimentary man that lived simply and used whatever was at hand at the moment in the way of life. He did not have the ability to analyze a problem, other than relation to food animals and shelter.

At this same time, religions came into existence. Before this period, there is not *one* single bit of evidence that anything approaching a religious practice existed. No temples, no pictures, no pottery fragments with *other world* designs. Strange as it may seem now there is also no evidence that man prior to this period worshipped hurricanes, or the sun, or the snake out of fear.

Now, all at once, around ten thousand years ago, came the King and the God. Yes King *and* the God as one combined man. At a later time, there came the Buddha, Allah and many others — much too many to name — religious sects that the Kings set down as practices for their peoples. In order to get this practice to *all* the peoples, they had to have teachers, or instructors. Again we find a singular happening between widely separated segments and languages taking place around this same time, without *known* forms of communications over the vast

distances necessary in order to have this *happening* occur. While histories give the origin of Mohammed after Christ — about 500 years or so — where came the seed? It is known that Mohammed built upon another *religion*, and this religion still exists, but its beginning is unknown. Lamaism dates back to the fourteenth century. The Lama went to Tibet, but were the temples already there? Apparently, according to what the Western world has been able to find out. Again we have a *religion* that built upon a religion, much as the Mormons did in the early United States. As you dig into these lost in time beginnings, an awareness develops, and a truth asserts itself.

So for the first time, man started to impart knowledge to other men, started to teach him of things he knew nothing of, and probably cared even less. The aborigine's lazy days on this planet were definitely over, although the last of them in Australia have been fortunate (?) to date.

Here the chain started. A powerful man, teachers sent out, a form of government by will, force and coercion, collection of man in controlled centers. But this was not enough to hold any man, rudimentary or present civilized man, subject to another for long, without first gaining control of his *mind*.

Armies can control a man physically, and the army can be held true by granting these men special privileges, but even the army man must have a *conditioned* mind or he will eventually revolt.

So it took a *great* mind to devise such a system as was imposed on the aborigines.

Or a mind that had learned of the system previously. Or had been a part of another great system.

Because these Kings set up a system of physical and mental fear that has existed to this day.

Man was first granted knowledge in order to be easily controlled, but in so doing, his teachers imparted a new consciousness to his mind. He was taught that he had a *soul*.

Pause here for one minute. He was taught that he had a *Soul*.

From where did this knowledge come? Did he *evolve* the idea? The people who taught him this — did they evolve the ideas? Or did they *know*!

I said before that this *fear* and *soul* idea was used on man by the Age of Kings so that he could be effectively controlled, even while he slept unwatched; but I did not say how the Kings came to know about it.

You are now on thin and treacherous quicksand and you must hold an open mind if you truly seek to understand this very fine point of truth.

What can be, in man's world, more intangible than some unseen, unfelt, unknown, unproved and terribly foreign thing called soul? Yet so clearly

presented that it was utterly believed in the beginning. Did some rudimentary aborigine sit brooding in a cave, with water dripping on his tangled cockle-burred head and dream this absolutely anti-matter *thing* up? When this poor aborigine did not understand even the simplest thing of matter? You Darwinians, with all the knowledge given to you, can *not dream* up such a thing today. It is because you can not dream it up that you are evolutionists. Then you must give the aborigine credit for more imaginative powers than you have today. That puts you pretty far down on the evolutionary ladder, since imagination — called many names such as creativity, research, development, etc. — has been the spark that pushed man forward, according to Darwin himself.

The point of truth must have a foundation upon which to build, just as any machine must first have a function to be necessary. The learned are trying to believe the *function* came first and the *Soul* came later, or the machine came from the work it's doing.

So the King had work to do. That was the function. He built the *soul* machine, and fed energy to the aborigines in the form of religion pay. This would be the easiest pay to ever pay, because the aborigine got paid *after* his physical death, in goods that no physical man needed.

The function the Kings needed performed were beautiful cities they had never seen, performance to mathematical tables that they never knew existed.

the instructions to teachers of things they knew nothing about so the teachers could teach, the fashioning of armies to conquer peoples they had no way of knowing existed and in order to do these things they knew nothing about, they created a soul that no one knew anything about.

So who were the Kings? Certainly there exists no such persons today. Imagination has almost been strangled to death in this day of enlightenment. How come it did not *evolve* to universal heights by now?

All the knowledge we possess today was initiated by the teaching of religion to peoples for the betterment of man. The Kings were the tools that started the betterment of the mass, possibly with very selfish motives. The betterment has outlived the tools so the tools can not tell us the why of the advancement. The *human* tools passed from the picture, but their tools of harvest, of control, of storage and building remained. Today, in the Zagros Mountain area on the border between present-day Iran and Iraq, archeologists are digging deep to unearth a city. A city about 9000 years old, which would be about 1000 years after the birth of *intelligence* on this planet.

In this city, they find all the evidence of vegetable and animal husbandry, the understanding of stress and strain in the building of stone towers — towers which remain today, although buried under tons of residue earth — and, of all things — a sickle!

They do not say if this sickle was made of animal thighbone, shard slate, or of metal. If it was made of metal, then they are dazedly trying to pull the pieces of their evolutionist idea together, without much success.

Because a sudden jump from the rudimentary man of stone into metals even they can not explain by *evolving*. The commonest base metal on this planet is iron. Iron ore is a rusty looking mineral mixed with earth. After you know what it is, you must separate the earth from the mineral, then you must create a fire of at least 800 degrees Fahrenheit, melt this *powder* into a liquid, let it cool, and then you have base — or pig — iron, which is practically unworkable. To make it workable, it must be tempered with other chemicals and minerals, combined with properly applied heat and cooling. This is such a complicated process that not one man in 10,000 can do it today, with all the modern conveniences and books at his command. Further, 1/10,000 is a high ratio when taking in the entire habitation of the world. And 800 degrees Fahrenheit is almost, if not the bare minimum of heat required to melt iron ore. With this mount of heat, only tiny amounts could be liquidized at one time. To get even this amount of heat, certain types of semi-wood have to be used, such as mesquite, and then the fire must be mounted with force draft or *artificial* wind because of the extreme oxygen consumption. But let us assume the sickle is of natural element.

If it is of bone, shard slate, stone, whalebone or sharpened wood, it implies an understanding of leverage. Yet the Greeks, thousands of years — lost years — later, laughed at the man who said that he could move the moon if he had a long enough pole. If leverage was used and not even understood in the Zagros thousands of years before, why did not this simple effect devise *evolve* into common use long before? Further, according to these same theory boys, we find the mathematicians of Egypt, while *building* the pyramids, using sliding ramps to mount the huge stones, when leverage would have made the work simple, as far as lifting the stones from base to summit is concerned.

In writings many such instances of complete un-logic is either ignored, or *iffened* aside, under the theory that the missing link will turn up later. The missing link these learned men are looking for is with them right now.

The missing link is the egotism in their minds that causes them to rebel at logic, that causes them to be *specialists* in one field, brushing aside *specialists* in other fields.

The Zagros point this out clearly. Here they have found a *civilization* that started around the same time that other *civilizations* started in far distant China, under like circumstances, and without any known method of communication, and all they do is *puzzle* over the odd happenstance, offering conjecture and mumbling *missing link* nervously as they finger evidence that confounds them and their theories.

There is another far-out theory. This one is based on the evolutionist-biological exploding plant seeding theory. According to the physicist that developed this theorem, somewhere far out in space (?) something exploded and threw sperm or spores of *life entities* throughout the vast reaches of the universe. So in this theory we aren't even animal, but vegetable matter, or maybe we are animal, since maybe it was sperm that blew up . . . one wonders how far a learned man can go in denouncing a subconscious feeling he has concerning an afterlife. Why this terrible drive to make of himself absolutely nothing, to pull himself down to the level of mere existence? It has its root in fear of the unknown, so these persons just say the *unknown* isn't there, and try to plead they are not afraid of what is not there, all the while being terribly afraid that it is there. Sort of pushing at the threads of darkness with physical hands, threads of darkness that they can neither see, nor hear, nor feel, but know it is present because they fear it, and it is stupid to fear something that is not, so they use *it* to prove *it* isn't. This is equal to holding a red hot ember in the palm of the hand and loudly shouting the ember is dry ice, because the pain is the same.

What the world needs — and what I am trying to do in my own limited way—is to lump all evidence together for the purpose of arriving at a solution that answers pure logic. I am taking threads of fact and weaving them together logically to make

a whole cloth of truth. Once the truth is ascertained, man can start from the truth and go forward without fear. Once he starts forward, based on truth, the gain in knowledge will snowball into an avalanche. Good and evil will return to the reflection from whence it came. Because true knowledge is in the relationship, not *cause and effect*. One can not stand still on knowledge acquired and still be *knowledgeable*. Attrition in knowledge is constant, therefore *knowledge* becomes applied and progressive thought habits, based on awareness to what *is*, not to what *was*. Thus knowledge is a conditioned mind-thought of constant change, or it ceases to be function of progress. *Learning* then takes its proper place in perspective, and keeps what has been gained *functioning* in use for the mass.

Knowledge is the *seeking*, not the *gain*.

Chapter 5

Good and Evil: Sinfeare

When I mention my *own limited way* I am not referring to intelligence, nor the ability to find lost knowledge, but the communication problem that exists between each individual man. Going to the researchers again, they now mention *writing* in connection with the 9000 year old village they are digging up in Zagros. Yet there is a four to five thousand year lapse between this Zagros Mountain site and the next evidence of writing, including the Far East cultures, where *writing* predates the Western Culture.

There is and was only one reason for the written word. To communicate to the present, past and future generations of man. Until ten thousand years ago, apparently the rudimentary man had no reason whatever to do this. He was a living *present* creature, without past and without future. All at once, he found a *reason* and an intelligence to communicate, but for what purpose?

Since today man is aware that he makes mistakes, that there is a goal in life, this awareness must have a beginning. Since writing developed around ten thousand years or so ago, then the awareness beginning must have occurred then. If

not, why did these peoples attempt to leave messages for the future? It could only have been because something happened then that so impressed them that they did not want to lose the knowledge of it, nor did the originators want the knowledge of themselves lost to all time.

Basing this on man's selfish drive, he must have known that, if this knowledge was preserved, he could again find it when he came again. The ancient writings speak constantly of the return of man after death. It takes a form of insistence that is almost hypnotical in its presentation, as though the originators knew that it would be a thing almost impossible for a living man to understand or accept.

So upon this they based religious teachings. They founded *Good* and *Evil* so that man would be constantly torn between choices, and in this conflict, their writings would have to live forever. Without conflict, interest dies. When interest dies, man becomes a pointless wanderer. But given a reason, either pro or con, to make his life gainful, man will seek to prove his point, thereby building knowledge instead of letting it die.

What better way to preserve this conflict than to make all that man enjoys *Evil*, and all that he abhors *Good*. And to lay down a frame of enforced laws, enforced by *Physical* suffering, to keep man constantly embattled and embittered in consequence to his material surroundings? Right now many

of you readers will enjoy complete indignation, but please, don't burn this work, because, if you want to or not, you will now have to read all of it, and this means, after you fume and fuss for days or week, you will have to acquire another copy. How can you tear my presentation apart without knowing the all of it? As I just wrote, conflict drives man to seek, either pro or con, to prove his own mind state. And if you have felt indignation, please lay this book down and digest what you have read, because what comes now will be increasingly difficult to ignore, even though it boils your blood with impotency.

Sex is evil, anyway and anytime and at anyplace you look at it in history or today's countless volumes, unless:

It conforms to the mores of the present society, and the segment of this populous world in which the *more* exists.

Yet sex is man's most enjoyable creature experience. Without the free use of his sexual drives, man becomes bitter, hostile, ruthless, ornery and mean, pointless in his rages, and destructive in all he does, among his own family and the world. Bear in mind, I talk of the *mass* of peoples, not the select few among the countless many that can do without sex for a lifetime. Sex is a *sense* and like people born with more senses, there are people born with less senses. Genealogy proves that celibates follow family lines. A sexless person is born

that way, and chooses the life that will let the person live without the necessity of exposing that lack of sense. If you think not, make an appointment to talk with the *dean* of any of the foremost church universities of the world. This church only appoints celibates to its ministry. Of the many who enter these Universities, few make the full appointment, because they are not *true* celibates.

Some have themselves so well confused that they *think* they are celibates, only to have the sex drive finally overcome their vows, at times years after they have adopted the calling. Then a scandal erupts in the congregation.

Man can become intoxicated on bottled up sexual drive, but he can not become hostile because of too much use of this sexual drive. In most cases, abuse weakens his mental health, even though nature provides almost an impossible barrier to this ever happening. Complete satisfaction of man's sexual drive produces happy, well adjusted, affable men and women. Yet if man wants this satisfaction, he has to go *against* the teachings of all religious faiths! He has to compromise or be evil. He must indulge at stated times, or he must not indulge unless he wants a child, or he must remain childless if his *one* wife is without this *sense*, or incapable of bearing a child, and he must wait far beyond his ability in sexual matters before he can avail himself of those abilities, or he must *sin*, and the girl must sin, and this *sin* is not supposed to be against man, but God!

Yet man metes out the punishment, within confines of the social mores of the time.

Let's look at *Good* turning to *Evil*, and *Evil* turning to *Good* by flipping the pages of history and the Bible.

Following every great senseless war, when men were killed by the countless numbers, leaving ten, twenty, thirty fertile women to every male, plural marriages became *law*. Single girls with children were supported by the church-government, and protected from abuse. As soon as the male population came back strong, *plural* marriages became sin, a sin punishable by very physical punishment, the church-government not waiting for the long drawn out preached punishment that came in the form of heaven or hell *after* death. Indeed not! Just recently, in a populated section of our present world, plural wives became a *sin*, against the law of physical man and his spiritual God, but punishable immediately by material man in a very material way. Thus we have men being forced to abide by a sudden, changeable God administered by men he appointed to govern him. These men watched the face of *sin* change from black to white overnight.

Is it any wonder that sex has been a bone in the teeth of every government that ever existed? And that peoples of all times have stood in fear of this one natural, instinctive human part of their regenerative system? If not, why does God immediately clothe Adam and Eve in the nebulous

Garden? And why is this *wonderful* story told as soon as possible to every child as soon as it has awareness of any sense? If not to plant the first, base *seed* of so-called *sin*, from which most other *sins* of man's government of man by man is superimposed? And why is *murder*, the most destructive of man's drives, given 5th place—and, in many instances in the Bible and in governments — condoned as a necessary part of church and government? Is even today, in all civilized governments, incorporated as a very functional part of the government, but only under conditions *favorable* to the administration of the government? Need I explain this statement? Need I point out that the taking of a life is only murder under certain *conditions*, such conditions being made the *law* by man alone and the *laws* differing from government to government, sometimes being different under even one, singular government?

Murder is willful taking of a human life. There is no excuse, no abridgement of this hard fact. Man, by passing this power to appointed men, so the appointed men can do the killing, does not rid himself of the blame, but only spreads the blame thin, so that all need not suffer the *sin* too acutely. This is supposed to mitigate the condition of the sin.

Yet we all cry for vengeance upon a man that willfully murders as an individual, the vengeance cloaked under the guise that he is dangerous to society. One government settled this very satisfactorily, but today's enlightened call this cruelty.

This long ago Nation simply took a man who murdered, and realizing that he wanted to use this ability, turned him loose with another man who had this ability. They let the murderers kill each other, thereby not tainting their own hands with blood. Some of these murder type men had a go at killing off as high as thirty of their own kind before they came to that *better* man and got their own punishment. In other words, they let the sinners sin against each other, and settle with their God after they died. Today most Nations cringe at destroying this man. They pet and coax him back into society, where he immediately gets the gleam in his eye and kills again. Put him in a blackened room with another gleaming eyed killer, give them both clubs, then lock the door. Sooner or later they will have a go at it, out of fear if nothing else. Such is their way of *mind*.

Since man, in that long climb that started ten thousand years ago, has sought to build what he calls good and destroys, or seeks to destroy, what he thinks evil, is constantly *destroying* in order to do good, he should have had a very fundamental concept of what is really good. He has not had this fundamental, since he continued to *destroy*, under the name of evil — destroying being evil in itself — that which the more of his society *called* evil at the moment, of the *material world*.

The originators of this system which has prevailed for ten thousand years must have known this would happen, because they introduced conflict

into the very smallest detail of this concepted system. And they gave as a goal a promise that man could neither prove nor deny, namely, the saving of his soul. Yet the very fact that these originators could dream (?) up such an unknown and nebulous a thing points to — taken in their time and place and rudimentary man—the knowledge of *gods* themselves. The proof of this point is self-evident.

The secret in the base workings of this strange knowledge has not been found in ten thousand years of seeking. After ten thousand years, man can only beseech: have faith! This was man's first beseechment. Our progress has only been in the material part of the world. Yet even this progress is based upon the concept of mathematics that had its origin then.

Ask any mathematician — as Einstein was asked — to *explain* the workings of this extraterrestrial communication, and they *can not*.

Yet mathematicians, using merely symbols and formulas, can carry on a highly intelligent, weird communication conversation that lasts for hours, days, weeks and months, and to those that do not have this *sense*, all that is said is mere gibberish. And from this strange language conversion of second instant, energy springs forth, as with the most spectacular, the H-Bomb. And strangest of all, these men have no *concept* of good nor evil, but must respect the mores of the society in which they find themselves. Or die.

No. I am not a mathematician. I studied it, as one phase of all that I studied. No more, no less. I sat and talked with these type men, on electricity, on chemicals, on minerals, on gravity, on ion breakdown conversion, on waste effects of energy — on many more trite, seemingly dry subjects. But when I tried to bring their mathematical genius to bear on the transposition of man and his god, they became blank as fresh fallen snow. They have a high knowledge of good versus evil, but only on the *material* evidence of physical man, under the present more of the existing society.

To them man is only good or evil in the sense of how he reflects to the dogmatism of those around him. To put it harshly and bluntly, if a society *good* was the practising of rape on every girl seen sixteen years of age, then the man who *did not* rape the sixteen year old girl at first sight would be *evil*. In case you rebel at man even thinking of such a practice, may I politely point out that this was the habit and expectation of the Knights at one time in fairly recent history? Of course, this *nice* law had strings. The Knights only had the privilege of doing this to the common — or mass — people. A privilege which he put to very instant practice.

In the Ten Commandments — the first religion of the Western Culture — you find murder the fifth on the list of evils. Based on this evil, the lesser evils become lesser forms of murder. The concept of these Commandments is not hard to work out.

Bear in mind that these Commandments were written for the individual among the mass, but not for the Rulers — or government — of the mass. If the Ruler wished to murder — conquest is the snide word — a neighboring Nation, then he could direct his mass to the murdering of the neighbor, and call it what he chose. Since armies willfully kill, and that is the definition of murder, regardless of what it is called by the hell-bent Ruler, it still remains murder. The word mixers use self-defense of the soldier, i. e., if he doesn't kill his *enemy* his enemy will kill him. Yet this same instant, between two individuals in the same society, is *not* accepted in today's court of law. The judge will point out that you should have avoided this encounter, and if you have not done all that is legally possible, such as having the man arrested, having him reported, consciously and hopefully walking around him, ignored his abuse or had him arrested for various and numerous reasons pertaining to such abuses, then you are guilty in some degree of *willfully* taking this man's life, even though he jumped you on a dark night with a butcher knife in his hands. Your *defense* in this murder, to clear yourself of the charge, or at least mitigate it, will cost you \$25,000, according to the American Bar Association.

Let me present this case as it would appear to you as a juror. Mr. D. is on the stand. He admits he killed the man with a butcher knife, but says that he took it away from the slain man. Yes, it was dark. Yes, there were *no* witnesses. But the man

had been threatening him for years — no, he never reported it. No, he doubts if anyone could swear that they heard this man threaten him. No, the man had never struck him before . . . Witnesses take the stand: yes, they knew the men didn't *get along*; yes, they cussed each other once in awhile, but both seemed to be angry; yes, they just couldn't get along . . . Did you *think* these men would ever come — Objection: a witness is not allowed to tell what he *thinks* . . . Well then, did you ever have cause to believe a serious matter existed between these men? Witness: oh, no. People always argue.

I wouldn't want to be the accused, even if all the jurors were my lifelong friends. Yet in the army, I merely see the enemy and kill him. It's expected of me.

In the Ten Commandments, first we have the absolute recognition of God. The first two following further impress the absoluteness of God upon you. The next one demands that you honor your parents (I often wonder about this one — what if your parents were hung murderers?). The next one forbids you to kill, and the other five forbids those things, that in a close society, would lead up to the Fifth one very, very easily if they were broken. Yet the Rulers under this religion fought some of the most coveted wars in history, and one of their greatest triumphs was their escape from Egypt to build a mighty army (which they did) to conquer themselves a great Nation, which they did. They went to the trouble of crucifying the man

who was foretold by themselves as coming forth, because he talked against *killing*, instead of seizing a mighty sword and cutting the hated Romans in little pieces for them to sop up with their daily bread.

Could a more hypocritical document of absolute Rule be imagined or written? Is it any wonder that today's man is questioning such beliefs? That many, many churches are preaching that the Ten Commandments died with Christ on the cross?

It is evident today that good and evil are two coins which nations flip: heads you lose, tails I win.

In order to understand the price put on an individual's life, one must understand the value of that life to others, and the community as a whole. This *Unit* value will be discussed later, but right now, we must accept an individual living man or woman having a value as a Unit, or the murder concept in religion does not come clear.

One person does so much work for himself and so much work for others. The work he does for others is and always will be *profit* to the other. This gain of doing more than necessary for the one day of the person's life in that one day is used by others. Using this *gain* or *profit*, the person in *higher* authority eases his *workload* and can enjoy the things forbidden to the man who must use his time to *work*. Now merely to forbid the worker to do something else besides work is ridiculous, even today, so the worker's mind must be *conditioned* to

accept this use of his time in the service of others. Therefore, to work is *good* for a man, to be lazy is a *sin*, to be slothful is a *sin*, to eat too much is a *sin*, to copulate too much is a *sin* — in fact, anything that detracts from that man's ability to do a good day's work is a *sin*. From birth until death this curse of *work* is one of the punishments handed out by God in the nebulous Garden of Eden.

So man is conditioned to work for *others*, but the *others* always remain as nebulous as the Garden of Eden. It stands to logic that when a healthy man is killed, another man is needed to tend that acre of ground, or the ten cattle, or the hundred sheep. Since the *Unit Value* of this man is ten cattle a year, if this man is killed, there is a total loss to the community of ten cattle a year, which can not be replaced until another child grows to maturity.

If a Ruler had one thousand working men, and 200 of them killed each other, he would abruptly lose 2000 cattle. This is a hard fact, and no present day Ruler can ignore it, and it was impossible to ignore thousands of years ago, when communities were first founded. So the working man could not be allowed to kill anyone. If he was allowed to kill at will, why — horrors! — he might even kill the Ruler, then make the other workers work for him. As you have suddenly realized this happened all through history, and is happening today, in spite of all the mind *conditioning* that has developed in ten thousand years. The Ruler stood not only to lose a *unit value* of work, but if it got out of hand,

he would be lost also. So he made murder a dire thing, unless he wished to use it to further his own ends, then he called it patriotism. To control this urge in man, murder was made a *sin* punishable even after death by horrible things, compounded with the fact that it would be physically punishable while he lived also. No unit value was going to be lost if the Ruler could help it.

Today, a murder is as much loss to the community as it was ten thousand years ago. The *unit value* of a nation decreases with the individual unit loss. Some nations get around this *double* unit loss by making the murderer work twice as hard under controlled and enslaved conditions, sort of to make up for the man's work he cheated the Ruler out of.

As you have noticed by now, I have been looking at the *material* part of man and his motives in the past few pages, with respect to religion. I spoke of *conditioning* the *mind*. Why did the *mind* of man need conditioning in the first place?

If man was made to be a *work unit*, why wasn't his *mind* made to comply with this endeavor in the first instance? In short, not even today's intelligent intellectual would build a machine to spend its time playing, then start beating it with sledge hammers to get it to work for him instead. If he did, his pals would run for the straitjackets.

Man's mind has rebelled at work for others from the dawn of any known history. The only

thing that put him to work was a combination of religions, *sin* and physical force. *Sin* is the *mind* conditioning, and I need not explain the physical force method. Look to any *labor gang* or any labor nation and you need no explanation.

Yet man *likes* to work. When the work he does is enjoyable to him. But many forms of work that he enjoys are *sin*, or are forbidden by law, so what he rebels against is not work but the *forced* kind of work he must do. For his own good, of course. Many would think the *work* I put in on this writing an intolerable task, the thousands of books I've read the worst form of horror, the hundreds of rebuttal sessions I've held with men throughout nights, tangling into their minds with searching confoundedness for a point of truth an impossible hurdle, the interpreting of strange tongues a nuisance, the dusting of centuries old manuscripts a health menace, and the time I spent completely wasted. Yet all this has been of joy to me, even if this work is finally stored away to gather dust in my filing cabinet. For I have found peace with myself and my spirit, and death will be — not a thing of fear — but only a curious expectancy, like opening a door to the neighbor's house. The only *work* I have done in my life has been earning my daily bread. This *work* has been of the easiest to the hardest. From pick and shovel to Industrial Relations, from the handle of a broom to the master of machinery, from the mud-footed private to the bar bearing shoulder . . . Yet it has all been *work*, i.e., what I did not want to do.

So to love *work* is good. For whom? Since murder robs the Ruler of a Unit Value of Work, it is a *sin*, before God and man. Wrong. Murder is a negative because it denies fullness of life, is a needless change before God or government.

Without the regenerative system of sex, this world would cease to be. The control of sex is then the control of the world. To use punishment after death is an excellent form of control, because sex isn't practiced in the open normally, therefore is hard to police. So condition the mind, and the person polices himself. Since fear is man's greatest negative and love his greatest positive, set them in conflict to preserve a balance favorable to the Ruler. Call that which is not favorable to the Ruler *sin* and that which is favorable to the Ruler *grace*.

All the sins either pertain to the denial of God as being all powerful — which guarantees a great policeman of man's mind — or to his misuse of sex, which upsets the very Physicals Rulers scheme of things, or murder which cancels all.

Without the work unit, there would be no community, no Ruler. Without sex, no people. Without control of sex, there are too many *uncared for* children to feed, thereby cutting the *gain* or *profit* of the Ruler. So make every man take care of the result of his sex, either with one wife or a dozen, so the Ruler will not have to. Police single sex practices by *sin* fear when possible, with physical punishment fear otherwise. And blame not the curtailment of man's greatest pleasure on the Ruler,

but upon God, who can not be stabbed in the back on some dark night, but who surely can stab the offender any time he wants to. If the offender's mind is conditioned properly.

In the light of sin, look to the Ruler who preaches good and *evil*, then lay the wreath at his door, not God's. In the light of good and evil, look to man's freedom of will, *will* be used in place of *mind*, because it is man's mind that must eventually be free. Until man's mind is free of fear, there is no freedom. In this sense, all of *sin* is an evil imposed upon man's mind, because it is the very root of fear. Man is afraid to be natural because of sin against society, against his Ruler, or against his god. He is afraid to *think* even today, because thinking the *wrong* thought is *sin*. The *wrong thought* being called *wrong* by his government, his church, or the people around him, according to their needs at the time. The most recent proof of this is the glaring change about when man went into space while preachers stood on their pedestals and shouted that according to the Bible man would never get out of the *world* alive. It was a *sin* to believe these scientists, just as, according to the Tower of Babel in the Bible, it was then a *sin* to think that man could build a tower to *heaven*, and was cursed by *god* with the result that we have hundreds of strange tongues in the world today.

In the direction of government, look to War II, when all at once the people of the United States were asked to love Russia and hate Germany. Today we are asked to love Germany and hate Russia.

Anyone that speaks up for Russia is immediately a dirty name. Mention that you have read Karl Marx's works and eyebrows rise. Say that communism has some basic good and you are really sinning against the *thought conditioning* today. For the record, I would rather live under the Kingdom Rule than Communism today, because Communism reverts all the way back to the first owned and ruled communities 10,000 years ago. It is a dynasty of rule by one man, in the name of a *finding* principle that is changed to suit every whim of totalitarian power, with the basic use of *sin* reverting to completely physical fear. Under this rule, you are not even granted the privilege of escape to better things after death. 10,000 years ago, the Ruler deemed this escape principle so necessary to the working to death of man that he went to great lengths to pound the *mystic* principle into man's mind. Without this *religious* policing system Communism has the greatest hurdle the world has ever known to surmount. It can not do it. The seeds of its own destruction are being planted by the Rulers with their all out educational drive of the mass. The mass mind of Russia and China will break their physical shackles with the breakthrough of the New Age. We entered the New Age in 1920-23, are in the transition stage now, and should break through by the year 2000. But only if we can shake the sin fear from our minds, only if we challenge the powers that be of the material with the full power of our immaterial minds. We must recognize this power, call upon it, and use it. Dear Reader, look blankly

at the wall in front of you, tell your mind you fear it not, nor the thoughts it produces. Promise in your mind that you will police no thoughts that emerge in the next few moments, then let your thoughts dwell upon any subject at all. Do not be alarmed at the swift current of change and direction of your thoughts. Let the thoughts run free and wild, until you break out in a nervous sweat from looking at your true self. When you can no longer dwell in this region, laugh, smile, curse or turn to conscious act abruptly to break the current. Go into this region by short degrees, until you can dwell there unafraid. When you have the answer, and only then, start using it consciously. It will be better if you wait for this test until you have read all of this work, but if your doubts are accumulating, do it now, this instant. If you do not dare try yet, you are not ready. Wait patiently.

It was in the 20's that the fear principle started going out of sin. Without fear, sin can not exist. Without sin, man comes into his natural heritage. Even according to the Bible. According to the same Bible, what was the *original* sin? In the Garden of Eden? Most think that it was a sexual sin between Adam and Eve. That is what we are to believe. But — and a mighty but it is — the Bible says: . . . ate of the *fruit of knowledge!* Here is the first smug warning and protection device of the Ruler. In short, the Ruler is saying: Don't you dare try to know too much, or your punishment will be severe beyond the imagination. Believe only what I want you to believe and you will be safe.

Today we are told the same. Today we are in the land of plenty but dare not reach for the plenty lest we be *kicked* out of our society. Today we have a desire to possess that which is beyond our means, and in turn lose all material things we possess. Bankruptcy is the name of this loss, after we over-extend ourselves. So we live in the Garden yet, with a sometimes nagging wife who wants more than her man's ability to earn, and all is lost in the gratification of that whim by man.

So the three root sins are Knowledge, Sex and Murder. And all work in favor of whatever Ruler we have. If you can not control *Knowledge* of the mass by sex, then control it by Murder. The control method has turned, savagely, more and more to murder in the past century, until the word destruction has all but erased the word murder. It is the last rising tide of violence against *knowledge* of the mass. Knowledge of the mass of peoples will drown out violence like pouring water blankets a glowing ember. But first the *fear* of *sin* must die a wailing, mind-flogging, torturous death.

Good and *evil* must be cast to the reflection from whence it came, and man's mind set free to use his natural heritage. All men are equal, because all men have a *mind* of their own. Many millions of words have been written about man's freedom, but true freedom must come from within. To do this, man's mind must be rid of fear of the hereafter. Once this fear is gone, his mind will adjust easily to the overriding of fear imposed by man alone.

Because when he becomes at peace with death, other fears seem utterly ridiculous. To live again is a promise, not a threat as created by *sin*.

Let us here presume a carry-over. Noah, the good book tells us, escaped the flood, thereby carrying forward the *word* of the Jews concerning the beginning of what we have in *Christianity* today. From far flung research, it appears that some time this world turned over. Today it is wobbling fearsomely from the vast accumulation of ice at the South Pole. Should this happen again, this Church would be the peoples most likely to survive, since they are very high in mountain country, a natural barrier to the horrible whip and lash of completely wild waters.

This Church has as its beginning much the same history of the persecuted Jews, only they take their *religion* from the Great Christ, thereby dropping the Old Testament almost completely. If this Church became the only existing tribe left on this earth, it is not hard to imagine the old way of progress re-beginning, in a slightly different way, but with the *mores* of the religion being very adaptable to any change, it could survive easily, as did the Jewish Old Testament.

Using this root religion, it is not hard for any person, reading with objectivity, to immediately see the fearsome *mores* of *totalitarian sin*, with its vast accumulation of Rule and Wealth, being carried forward in cruel repetition if such a catastrophe

as *wild waters* were to happen again. And the prospect of this world turning over again, unless a Mental Breakthrough is achieved within this century, is not only possible but highly probable.

It is time that man looked *sin* in its manmade fearsome eye and opened his mind to the full powers that the mind possesses. The knowledge is at hand to make of the world what man wants, in the true heritage of good of all mankind and himself, because man's mind is an *instrument of energy change*, and not even the task of de-icing the South Pole is beyond it.

The full power of the mind is at hand. We must grasp it within the next fifty years, or wait again for thousands of years to pass in order for the next chance to come. You, as an individual, and only you, must make the decision.

You must cast fear of knowledge aside, go after it with sure purpose, ask your mind to cooperate, and walk forward with your mind and heart in the hand of the true god, *not* the god of many and the horror of the few, with love and hate in the same hand, the whip of cruelty and prejudice in the other. Not the god of the Ruler and the despoiler, but the god of man, his image. We are his image, and therefore he is us, if we but seek to find ourselves, we find him, in an understanding trueness, that at present, is still beyond complete communication by written or spoken word.

Merely ask *truthfully*, and you will *know*. But it is not easy to ask truthfully, and this work is written as a tool, to help you find the truth with which to ask. Shed sin of man from the Bibles and the true glory of what is becomes beautifully apparent. When you cast sin from the Bible, you cast the *complete negative* of the power of your mind aside.

Chapter 6

Unit Value of Dominance: Manfear

Localization of populations and nations, with tight rules of livelihood and morals indigenous to each locale, has kept the broad concept of direct rule a hazy, never understood thing of dread, while breeding a quiet acceptance; the quiet acceptance grew into a single word: destiny. Once this became the common rule of thumb, and whole populations shrugged off war, famine and slavery by the use of the word, the role of dominance became inbred and tolerated as "something" that cannot be changed.

Thus emerged the unit of power. Each locale or nation had to have their own standard, and this standard had to be such that other locales or nations could understand and respect this standard. In arriving at the unit, many things were tried and partially abandoned.

First to be tried was the base of all life, the very nourishment needed to keep the human body alive. Crops and cattle were the precious element, and the more a single individual had to call his own, the more of dominance he controlled, until his property became the greatest of the locale, and most of the locale became his help, and did what he said, or starved.

In order for this rule to be effective, the male had to have help. Natural law being the same, he could have but so many children by one woman, and these few children and this one woman could only tend enough land to keep food in his mouth. But if he could acquire another woman, and then another, his lands would increase along with the amount of his greater help. It must be remembered that land was free and unlimited at this time, the only part lacking was the help necessary to tend it.

As the male married and fathered child after child, his wealth and power grew, until such time as the free land available became non-existent. Now lands that touched other lands became "bordered" and the unit of power — the wife standard of dominance — had to change, while the base law of food remained the same.

In the wife standard of dominance, the fertility of the male was of the highest importance. His breeding power was the whole of his power, and the standards of his locale was established on this sole principle, and became the "religion" of his locale, with the varying climates and conditions taken into consideration. Due to natural climates and conditions varying greatly, the religions had also to vary greatly.

Now the free land was gone. Locale touched locale. Customs and peoples thought and acted different, but still held to the base of human need: food. But dominance was still the wish of each

locale, and since wives were useless without land to work, a stalemate existed. From this stalemate, a new unit of power emerged, placing the amount of wives in secondary importance, but still of importance.

This also necessitated a change in religions, and this change had to be superimposed on the old religions as the new unit of dominance emerged, but this unit of power became one that applied to other locales also, and on this principle a motivating force was highly important.

With the background of the religion of plural wives, all that was needed was to build on this base, to superimpose an added belief. It was logical to couple this with the fertility of the male, and so progress was the answer.

Now the unit of power became the soldier, backed by the plural wives and the amount of land. We now have three intermixed units, with their intermixed religions, all in one locale.

Now individual locales with the new unit of dominance, became captured or controlled, and the religions mixed, while the base of power remained the same. All must eat.

As the units of dominance grew into great areas, and distances necessarily great, it became impossible to transport the produce of these powers, and crops, even cattle, was of cyclic nature, varying with the seasons, so that the unit of dominance

changed and could not be relied upon. Thus emerged another unit, superimposed upon the other three.

The fourth unit must of necessity be carefully chosen. Land could be seen and felt and used, but not moved. Wives could die, could be duplicated. Soldiers could be killed and captured, controlled by others of dominance. But man could be controlled from without, not be made solely responsible to one unit of dominance, but also to the faith of that unit of dominance. This faith, or religion, would be something that he carried from the birth to the grave, and it would be that of his unit of dominance, so that wherever he might be, he would still owe his beliefs to his original unit. With all of his childhood in which to instill this religion, and with all the threats of punishment drilled into the heads of the children if they were to ever deny this religion, the ruler of the unit of dominance had a very powerful weapon.

So the fourth unit of dominance came and stayed, built strongly and superimposed upon the other three, intermixed and stirred deeply into one overall conviction; religion.

Thus the unit of dominance was at all times a carefully balanced weapon, but continually influenced by the acquisition of other units of dominance, until the very structure of the weapon became weak and shaky, until the third unit came back to strength, making itself the superior of all.

The soldier unit remained supreme, backed by the other three units. With the soldier unit, land could be had, wives could be had, other religions wiped out. The scope of dominance became unlimited. But the base element — food — remained the same. It could not be safely transported, much of it would spoil in weeks, and what one unit produced had no "bargaining" power with another unit. Thus the fifth and final unit of dominance emerged.

This unit had to be something that was easily carried, that was very scarce, that could not be duplicated nor grown. It must be able to establish and hold a universal value, and all other things placed in a lesser value.

This unit of value emerged supreme.

The fifth unit became known as many things in as many lands. In this language it is called money. But its power of unit is universal. With this unit, wives could be had, land could be had, soldiers could be had, religions could be had.

The unit of dominance became world wide, superimposed on all other units.

The correlation of dominance was established.

You have just read the individual complexes that made the society we live in today. You have thought perhaps that it denied all spiritual needs, and that only the material side presented actually existed.

If you have, now is the time to pause. If you think the spiritual side, up to the nineteenth century has influence in dominance, you are not ready to read further. Go back and reread. You will note that all things earlier established was for material gain, and religion was just another means established and used to gain that end, and that religion was constantly changed to suit any new need for material gain.

The side of man that is spiritual had no immediate way of producing material gain for the ruler, but the side that is spiritual could be used to induce fear that would produce material gain. There is a distinct difference. Just as man can only change energy in order to reproduce himself — he eats and drinks, changes into adulthood and gains the power by his body changing the energy derived from food and drink — and does this without thought, only consequence just so did he become capable of using a third conveyance of his making by using thought. While his own body and brain could not change energy directly except in the above notation, he found that his body, directed by his brain, could make "machines" that would convert energy. He found that he could draw on his spiritual or intelligence part to change the habitat in which he moved, and thus use his spiritual-intelligence side for material gain. But without the unit value change of the past generations, he would have remained at a constant level, without development. He would have had no need for development.

As the unit values changed until it reached the money unit in the past few hundred years, man was a prisoner of material locale. With the growth of the money unit, he found that every unit locale was accessible. If he could earn enough of this unit value, he was free of material locale and could travel and live as he wished, or he could remain and gain dominance of his own locale, then reach out and gain dominance of other locales.

Money unit value replaced soldier unit value. With the necessary money unit, he could use dominance and buy soldier unit to do his bidding. If rebellion occurred, he had the power to stop even the production of food, the base and first unit value.

Because as the money unit grew, reliance upon it grew apace, and the base food value changed accordingly, because men became free to use a third agent through their released necessity of securing food, and this freed intelligence built machines that grew and transported foodstuffs from all corners of the world. What grew best in one climate was grown there and transported to other places where other suited crops were grown. If the money unit is used unjustly, all this can be stopped overnight, and one locale can be starved while eating only cabbage, and the neighboring locale starved while eating only beef. Withdraw the money unit from one locale and place it in another locale, the first locale grows sickeningly weak, while the other grows twice as strong, both in physical endurance

and soldier unit. The locale denied the money unit is quickly gobbled up by the neighbor.

Thus we have a unit of value that can be acquired by any man that can accept the logic of it. He need not have money of his own, but can secure the use of money of others. As soon as he acquires the bulk use, he actually owns the value of the money for dominance purposes, while not owning the money. He pays the owners a small percentage of their money as rent, while using the bulk to acquire more. This is the same as borrowing soldiers to build an army to capture and destroy another locale! Thus one business goes bankrupt while another doubles in size, yet both make the same article. In any large nation, millions of these units change every year, all in the framework of the complete unit. The unit nations become the actual owner of the complete unit value, for purposes of domination of other unit nations. The nation that commands the highest unit value dominates all others. This is true regardless of how the nation is ruled. That is to say, one nation's means of increasing unit value is by calling an hour man work one unit, while another nation says that one unit is worth an hour's work from a man. But production of the base unit — food — is still the motivating force that keeps the present unit value stable.

But once man's intelligence was released, he quickly made other things that became unit value within the framework of the money unit. These

have nothing to do with the food unit, but have become *more* necessary than the food. As pointed out before, whole locales could starve when denied transportation, transportation depending only on the money unit. So by manipulation of the money unit, dominance is sure and ruthless, without the necessity of personally witnessing the suffering and devastation of the vanquished.

When two nations become equal in money unit strength, and each reach out for domination of smaller nations to gain the value dominance of their money unit, it is the same as when Rome sent soldiers to guard the smaller nations with swords. The role of dominance through unit value is the same.

When a crisis arises and soldiers must be bought (soldiers volunteer, but the arms they use must be bought), the nation with the greatest money unit is a sure winner of the crisis, provided the money units within its framework is solid and not present only on passed paper. Passed paper can never take the place of one man hour work. Passed paper can only secure an hour's work when the man believes that all other men in the unit nation received the like for his man hour of work. Thus if the unit becomes unstable, dominance of that unit nation becomes just as unstable.

Unit value within the unit nation can be raised or lowered overnight. In the nation that uses passed paper to secure a man hour of work, the

passed paper being borrowed from many small owners to create bulk for value for one man, when that man suddenly sells his full rights to the borrowed money, he can only sell if someone else wishes to buy. When others do not have the faith to buy, then the whole unit nation drops rapidly in dominance, until such time as the unit value reaches the actual level of each man hour of work, a place where it should have stayed all the time. But it must be remembered, all winning armies of all times came to meet their victors, That is simply to say that all unit values are self adjusting, regardless of the dominance wished for.

In another nation that uses the actual man hour work as unit value, dominance loss is just as sure and swift when the man who performs the work does not get a full hour's work supply in return. His hour of work represents a stated amount of food, clothing, etc., and gradually he receives less, while still performing his work at the old level. He loses faith. When he loses faith, just as in the other nation, he no longer performs at the man hour level.

Both nations can and will call in the old unit of soldiery, but the old unit also is not receiving their man hour of work, so to hold the remnant of dominance, the unit value, regardless of soldiery, must level out on a standard that is acceptable to all.

The "leveling" out" process can take ten minutes or ten years. It can also take ten lives or ten

million and change the unit nation from one of dominance to one of servility.

So with the fifth unit value, we remain the same as when we had the first, or base. With one exception.

Man's mind has been released. His spiritual or intelligent growth has been freed for hundreds of years, and he has made the most of it within his power. He has admitted that he is ignorant of his own power of mind, and has been seeking the knowledge of its great capabilities, even while he has been ruthlessly used and slaughtered under unit value dominance.

We are in the emergence of the sixth value. The sixth unit value is the human mind.

As this generation reaches timidly toward the new value, adapting first one name then another to the spectre of the new unit value, unaware but yet aware of the breakthrough in colossal intelligence, all other unit values are in a state of suspension and turmoil, transgressing upon each other, while the not yet recognized sixth value seeks dominance, and the two leading fifth unit nations seek to group this fearsome unit and put it into dominance each for self.

From the nuclei of this sixth unit are coming hardly understood truths, awesome contrivances of energy change, the energy change still a machine converting agent, but coming closer to direct mind

change of energy, and at times actually closing the narrowing gap completely as the awaking sixth unit value reaches out timidly into the unknown but at last recognized fields of tele-communication, telepathy, extra-sensory perception, kinetic power and visual mind perception of anti-matter.

Man's mind at last is producing material gain for the dominance factor, and dominance is seeking its use and force, but while so doing, is in the process of exterminating forever the dominance factor of force by material units.

Because the full development of the sixth value will make force unnecessary, and only its use will remain. Force is not necessary when use is available instantly to all. The dominance factor then will come to grouping of free minds, the free minds seeking to set other minds free, until such time that all minds are free and the true level will be reached. This will be the seventh unit value, but that value is somewhere in the immediate future, just how immediate depends upon the recognition and formation of the sixth value.

The sixth value, while not yet formally recognized, has reached the fact of anti-matter. Once this puzzling condition is fully understood, much will be clear, a great hurdle of the mind will have been leaped, and the acceptance of the seventh unit will be swift and headlong.

Because the recognition of anti-matter confirms the existence of other powers besides material gain.

It places the root of man's intelligence outside of the material world completely. Embryo man must be able to touch and feel before he accepts facts in this material world in which he finds himself. Faith cannot be touched, only felt, so man denied it, while still having faith that his wife was true to him, that the boy he called son was his own, but he denied it in a broad sense, because he could not touch it. Yet he accepted intelligence, he used it, knowing that it was not of material nature! He did the same with faith, but only in relation to himself, not stopping to think that again he was dealing with something other than material matter.

Now, in his quest for knowledge, he had proved to himself that anti-material or anti-matter exists!

This tiny bit of knowledge is awesome to the hard bitten factual mind. That sort of intelligence will not rest until it can prove the point materially! Because when that mind found anti-matter, that mind found confirmation of man's soul!

For what is said and stated is simply that everything material has its direct opposite.

And what is the opposite of material?

We are on the threshold of knowing factually. But were it not for the UVS, we would be at first level. Here are the advancements, based on *conflict* to advance:

1. Land-Food UVS System
2. Plural Wives UVS
3. Soldier UVS
4. Religion UVS
5. Money UVS
6. In Emergence-Mental UVS

Chapter 7

Strange Power?

Anything not understood is strange. We are taught from the cradle to the grave that *strangeness* is something to fear. We take it upon ourselves to seek the answer to anything *strange* and we are immediately ridiculed, if not done to death, some thing which happened every day not too many hundreds of years ago. Today, in this *enlightened age*, we are looked upon as *nutty* or at least *queer*, but we are tolerated if not understood. But I must point out that only the Western Culture has progressed to this point by the mass people. The Eastern part, for the most, still is swift with punishment and sometimes death for the truth searchers.

We are going into the mystic. We are going to take apart myth. If you are still afraid of the unknown, you will enjoy some uncomfortable moments because a mystic is a person who believes that truth or God can be known through spiritual insight. So everyone that practices a religion is a mystic and a myth is anything that cannot be factually proved, so all religions have been teaching a myth, according to the *learned*.

Mystic and myth are ancient Greek words. Why then did the learned of that time find it *necessary* to invent the word *fable*? As in Aesops Fables. If not to differentiate the factual of the time for the false?

Now here we have a strange contradiction. Fable is of Latin origin, from the word *fabula*, yet Aesop lived and wrote his *fables* approximately 650 years before Christ. So the Romans laughed at the Greeks, 600 years later, and labeled much of their culture fables. Why did they not label the Old Testament fables? What was the unknown quantitative about the hardcore, factual Roman Legions who trampled the earth at this time, who used what they wanted of the Greek Culture and ridiculed that which they did not want? Since you have read of Good and Evil, and the Unit Value of Dominance, it should not be hard to assimilate the reasoning of the Rulers of the time. If you have trouble, use today's Communist countries as a yardstick. In these countries, that which is truth today is a lie tomorrow, if it is necessary for the gaining of an end. That which was *good* yesterday is *bad* today. The greatness of Stalin was; today is not. All their writings and teachings are changed accordingly. This is *not* myth, but *fact* today. What will emerge as myth and fact tomorrow?

But man has one infallible yardstick he can use. When he is not *afraid* to use it. He can see why the Romans lied, we can see why today's communists lie, in the light of good and evil, and Unit Values, but can we find a reason for Herodotus or the ancient Greeks? We can, using the same knowledge, see why the Jewish tribes changed according to the times, also the Roman Catholic Church, and the many splinter churches that grew

from it in Western Culture. This we can understand, even condone, because it has literally picked man-mass up by his bootstraps. But what reason had the writers to tell falsehoods at the time of the pyramids, or of the ancient Greeks? What reason lies behind the *mystic* cultures that came out of Tibet? Why should these things be called myths, when the *factual* evidence left today from these great intellectual groups is still our greatest heritage, and the monuments of their ability still stand, while the knowledge handed down from these cultures enabled the later coming Rulers to build things of lasting evidence, but *nowhere* equal to that which had gone before.

Reasoning tells us these *lost* groups *knew*. They did not question, nor ridicule, nor ignore. They accepted the knowledge they had and used it. They left no *proof*, because since they *knew*, no *proof* was necessary.

The learned of today use the tool of mathematics and call themselves advanced. The philosophers use the tools and call themselves great. The arts use the tools and call themselves wonderful. Yet these same people pick what they think *good* from the heritage, and call that which they can not understand *bad* or a *myth*. They peep through a pinhole in a giant wall of knowledge and see only their pinpoint, yet scream that other things on the far side of the wall do not exist. How can they know, when they wish to see only their pinpoint? Yet the ancient groups were able to give us *all*,

so they were able to look at the complete fullness behind the wall, something no other blinded culture has been able to do since.

These lost groups which form our heritage could only have had the full use of their minds with energy conversion to matter. And as all religions, histories and documents substantiate this with their tales of the beginning, why can not we just accept it, and start searching from the point of acceptance? Why must we call these ancients intellectuals and liars in the same breath?

What is so hard to believe about energy change conversion to matter? It is done everyday in the second instance. The electric lights you use as matter of course is second instance energy change. Motors which power every unit of machinery is energy change conversion to matter. All we have today as *luxurious* is second instance change conversion to matter. Man uses his *mind* to build a *material* converter, without being able to use his *mind* as a complete power unit of change. He has been condemned to the second instance of use of his mind in energy conversion into matter.

Using the ability of the mind alone to change energy to matter, what do the so-called miracles of the bibles amount to? With this ability, what would be so confounding about making a man *whole* again? Of dividing waters? Of turning a stick into a snake? Of living again in another body? Of *rising* from the so-called dead? The *day of resurrection* of all who lived upon this earth?

Do you know, that right now, as many people are *alive* and *living* on this earth as have died across the countless centuries of man? In other words, population *explosion* has produced as many living bodies today as have been produced on this earth in all its time! In short, all the *dead* bodies of the past are *living* today. Is this a coincidence, or a continuity? From the genus of the first few who lived and died, came the multitude who live today. So in numbers, the *living* today balance the *dead* of all time. This is statistical accounting done by scientists who are looking through their own *pinpoint* in the wall and can not see the startling truth of biblical prophecy that lies hidden behind other pinpoint peepholes.

Identity seeking has become a world fad. Everyone is looking to television, movies, theater and even the people on the street, trying to identify, or find themselves. There is coming clear a seeking, seething awareness that the individual is not complete. Without being in knowledge of it, mankind is seeking lost self.

Hypnosis has produced some weird recalls. After the initial wave of denial and acceptance, the subject died, at least publicly. But not before many, many professional men in the field of hypnosis had cagely acknowledged that this recall under hypnosis had happened to them on occasion, but they explained it by saying that perhaps the person had read, or seen, or heard about the recall material without being conscious of the fact. The *thing* grew

until it reached a point of almost no return, then scientists rebelled and took refuge behind no comment interviews, the close the door to your mind method of explanation when the explanation becomes embarrassing. The climax came in this episode when a tape recording was made of a person speaking a language that was *dead* for three thousand years. Since it was unexplainable in knowledge at hand, deny the happening completely, ridicule it out of existence. Sin it to limbo. Call in fear and have done with knowledge.

Scientists, in the privacy of their homes, worriedly admit that in the beginning, some form of communication had to exist on this earth. Since nothing that has been found even hints at this, or how it was done, they ruefully and skeptically murmur: telepathy. Mental communication.

But not all are skeptical today. Not the Government of the United States, nor Harvard College, nor the University in Belgium and others that are springing up with a rapidity that defies count, because they must do this witchcraft project quietly. In short, they have accepted the fact of telepathy, and are finally seeking to bring it under useful control and development.

There is dire necessity for this secrecy. Mental communication is a weapon of terrific magnitude. With the power of mind reading, no nation could have a secret, unless it first developed the mind readers, then developed a control of the mind of the person that was being read. In short, any person

in high office must then be able to *think at will*, blanketing any thoughts he must not think.

This reads like phantasy fiction. Yesterday space travel read the same. If we want it or not, we are *jumping* into the Mental Age. In the jump, many will be hurt trying to land on their wobbly feet. When I say that millions and millions of dollars are being spent while you are reading these lines on research into telepathy and kinetics, it is *fact*. Not fiction.

Both telepathy and kinetics are Mind over Matter.

In the writings of the ancients, many, many references are made of instances when the person *made it be* from what is thought of as nothing. Yet gravity is nothing, electricity is nothing, kinetics are nothing, yet these unseen *nothings* control us completely. We do not know what they are, we only know the effects of them, and some slight results when we find a rudimentary method of control for effect, by using the second instance of man's mind.

The UFOs are a glaring example of man's mind confusion. They refuse to think beyond the material yet they take a vat of acids, wire it with the nothing of electricity, pour in fine gold powder, hang base metal in this acid solution, touch a button. Lo and behold, the gold powder *jumps* to the base metal, coats it in gleaming, faultless gold. The same applies to chrome plating, to silver plating, to filament

strengthening, and dozens of other similar works. What is then so puzzling about a visiting object taking on the mineral-chemical elements of this planet to the *force* frame when entering the field? I do not say this is what is happening, I am merely asking why it has never been thought of publicly. If we are being visited from anti-matter, the visitors would become *plated* with matter when entering our material field. The ability of these UFOs to make startling right angle turns at high velocity, their ability to appear and disappear, to appear as filmy shadows is easily explained by this *theory*. Since we do this very thing, using the nothing of electricity in rudimentary form with gold, silver and other materials what is so confounding about the above *theory*, except the magnitude. This magnitude appears to go beyond the *atheistic* mind concept of two existences — mind and matter. Yet the poor guy has both himself.

Since I mentioned UFOs, it's well to mention that ancient writings are full of their appearances, with no attempt at explanation beyond biblical fear preachments. In very ancient times, in Ramses tale before the supposed building of the first pyramid, Herodotus relates the tale of Ramses going on a *journey* and returning after a visit with *gods*, the *gods* were supposed to have told him to build the pyramid. Also, in Herodotus, the Ramses pyramid was built 3000 years before his time—Herodotus—therefore someone is wrong in time sequence. The radium isotope chart, which is used to determine the time sequence in half-life of radioactive

materials in historic remains is of no use in determining the age of the pyramids. They are of organic matter that was old before assembly, and scientists report that there is a condition of materials that compose the outer skin that is puzzling. This covering is found only on the very oldest pyramids. The ones that could not be copies. The Babylonian Empire is given credit for a lot of knowledge it had stolen from Sumera, or the Sumerians.

The Sumerians, whose dynasty ended 2400 years before Christ, but which left no facts as to when it originated, possessed metalwork, pottery and the cuniform type of writing. The Babylonians merely conquered these peoples and built upon their knowledge, throwing away that which did not dovetail with their own concept of rule. It is the Sumerians that tell of the great flood, not the Babylonians. The Babylonians were afraid it would happen again, so they built, or tried to build, the Tower of Babel. The bible has it that god put a curse on them, so the Tower could not be built to heaven.

Babylon put the curse on itself.

This nation was a great ruler and conqueror. Slave labor from all over the known world was used. They spoke so many dialects and languages that to put thousands of them working on a giant project was foolhardy. How could these uncommunicative foreigners be told how to build, with

the constant babel of a hundred different languages rending the air? Today, with all our learning, over ninety percent of the mass of man has only one language with which to communicate, while different languages for the world number well over a 1000, counting dialects and off-shoots. Drop an English speaking common man deep into Hungary, and he is as ignorant as a new born infant. The word sounds he makes, and the word sounds they make are mere gibberish.

If you doubt the statement concerning the Tower of Babel, get one German, one Frenchman, one Swede, one Pole, tell them in English how to start an American made car, and how to drive it. Mind, these men speak only their native tongue.

I tried it.

The German thought my car was stalled. He lifted the hood and started making sarcastic remarks about all the unnecessary parts on the motor. The Frenchman made motions asking for a cigarette. The Swede merely shrugged and walked off. The Polish man stared quizzically at the German and myself, then joined the German under the hood, with an eager but puzzling light in his clear blue eyes. Finally the two got behind my car, motioning that they would push the car to see if it would start. All this time, I'm busy telling them in English how to start the car and where to put the lever to make it move in gear . . . I let them push me for a few hundred feet, then started the car and drove

off. Since then I have wondered how the Babylonians ever got the Tower of Babel started, not alone over half complete. This terrible handicap of communication seems staggering, beyond mastering. Telepathy would obliterate it overnight. The language of the mind is not of words, nor sound, nor sight. These are all second instance conversion methods of energy into matter.

Any Metaphysic will get a gleam in his eye at the above statement. The meaning of the word metaphysic is, shortly, the ability to understand the mind through physical matter. Today's Physics had its origin in ancient Greece from this word, but they know better, so they dropped the *meta* or *through* hyphenated beginning. Now Physics pertain to *all* natural reactions of the spodes of organic substance. This using the effect of the mind in the name of cause puts the cart before the horse, without any method of handling either. The human mind is the cause of all. The effect is us and the organic material around us. The mind did not develop from the material. As even today, the material develops from the use of the mind in second instance of conversion. No? Then electric motors drop from heaven.

If you are not aware that the mind in your head is a very strange power by now, it's because you think I have lost mine. If you think so, disprove any part of what has been written in this work. And use facts, in any logical sequence you choose.

How many times have you had a thought that came from seemingly nowhere? Probably at least once a day, unless you sleep twenty-four hours a day. The couch boys have answers. In twenty syllable words. All meaning they don't know, but think they do. It's the interaction of reaction between subconscious and conscious experience that generates a third interaction against the reaction. Not confused enough? these boys ask. The motor nerves has a sensory perception that is subcutaneous and below comprehension to our conscious mind, but contain an awareness to all that is about us. The motor nerves are the action and reaction directors of muscular movement, and convey physical directions to the sensory nerves that are part of the motor nerves, causing the brain to react to the action, thus producing a thought to be acted upon, which in turn is either acted upon or not acted upon by our thought in reply to the thought . . . that clear it up? they ask fawningly. No? Well, by golly, they say, you just grew up hating yourself, if you want the answer short and sweet, so now you've turned that hate outward and hate us. Well, let me tell you, I can hate, too! If you're looking for an argument—

Hold it. These boys are supposed to be running a think factory, not an emotional lion's den. Man has, since the beginning of the known area, always turned to violence when he finds no answer to a problem in his mind. Thankfully I'm six foot, one hundred eighty pounds, with ability to use

same, so I've only sustained a few bruises. In self defense, and laughingly, I might add.

This brings up the one physical characteristic that separates the homo sapiens from the lesser animals. The laugh, or smile; it is the one characteristic that is always lost through learning. The more a man learns, the least apt he is to smile. He usually snarls or frowns. If you think not, look at the faces of any room of pupils in any degree of schooling. They dare not smile in class. A smiling working person is constantly asked by their bosso in grouchy tones what they find so amusing. The daring usually answer in a three letter word that causes bosso to gnash his teeth. "You" they say. And he snarls. The snarl is the mark of a lesser animal. Too many forget that to laugh is to be really human.

But you still do not know where the *thought* you had a few minutes ago came from, do you? Strange, this thing called a *mind*, isn't it? But then so would a person look strange walking down the street with his body cut in half. Or three-fifths. No leg can function properly with half of it useless, so the miracle of our mind is in its functioning so well with over half of it useless.

Power, in whatever field you wish to name, comes from the enhanced ability to use the mind, or the recognition of the true force contained in the fatty tissue. Physical power of man is of the moment, mental power is timeless. Which do you want?

Your ability to use the power of your mind is as simple as asking for it. But first you must conquer fear of its use.

This strange power has been chronicled down through the ages as miracles, as myths, as superstitions, as witchcraft. It has been controlled and degraded, punished and banished, snarled at and trampled, used ruthlessly and mercilessly, but it has survived, while the spoilers became forgotten.

Any power that has the ability to use energy in the first instance is fearsome, because it has been made so by man. If it has been made so by man, man can unmake it.

Ask yourself, in the light of this work, of what am I afraid? As the fears crawl into your mind, read their origin and they will vanish, and the thoughts that take their place will astound you.

The strange power of this universe we inhabit is our mind.

I know your wish for turning aside here, I know the wall of rebellion that instantly builds in your mind. I have witnessed in many levels of life the actual surprise and dismissal of the individual at this point, and it is then, as it is now, that I ask quietly: Have you never heard of a person being pronounced incurable by a doctor and medical science, and yet saw that person live while even the doctor died in the ensuing years? You have heard of the woman of Germany, who never took

of food, but ate only a saltless wafer and sipped a bit of water, yet this woman remained fat, and lived a long life? You know of cancer cases healing of themselves, much to the bafflement of learned doctors, you know of entrapped men living when life was supposed impossible, you *know* of many things that happen this very minute right around you, and you shrug them off as happenstance, as predestination, as the will of god. You use many words, all of the words being part of the rim of knowledge, always fearing to reach towards the hub of knowledge for the sure answer.

Man, today as yesterday, has the unrecognized ability of the first instance of conversion of energy into matter by mind alone. If not, where did the women of Germany get the *matter* to constantly rebuild her body loss, when she did not get it by food intake? What builds the dead cells of cancer with live cells? What pulls the hopelessly dying person back into full life? What of the man who lived near Chicago in the 1880's and grew his shortened leg long again, while doctors and hundreds of people shook only puzzled heads . . . I could go on, but I ask you to question your own knowledge of this *queer* happenstance of human ability, in the light of what you personally have experienced and even now are experiencing. Ask honestly and unafraid for the answer. Banish the fear of sin rule from your born free mind, and ask quietly for the power that is yours. You need only ask, the living word of *all* bibles tell you. Be not afraid of darkness, but seek its light

is repeated in every biblical work of ancient origin, if you but look for it hidden in the sin rule written work of man alone.

Recently I spoke with a man that lost an arm. (I use the same method with all.) He jokingly asked if it was possible to build a new arm. I laughed right with him, then told him with a smile he didn't lose his whole arm, just the material part of its use. At his pitying look, I had to laugh outright. How many times have you instinctively reached for something with that missing material arm of yours? I asked softly. About twice a day, he replied with a bemused smile. All amputees experience this, he continued, the doctors say it is a condition of the nerves in the brain not knowing the limb is gone. My arm hurts me at times, so bad I can't sleep. I can only stop the pain with narcotics, but of course this would lead to addiction, so I just have to sweat the pain out until it runs its course. All amputees do, or go drug happy.

Then your mind still has its arm, I said easily; it's only your material body that has lost some of the material that you miss consciously. Because if your mind did not have the arm, how could your mind use its immaterial presence? You admitted that your mind uses this missing arm, didn't you? Tell me, do you know of any instance when something that was used wasn't? Did you ever hear of someone using nothing?

Nuts, he said, my mind thinks it's there, that's all.

Your mind is a present thing, isn't it? You haven't lost it, have you? I asked and watched his thought concerning the loss of mind.

Sure, he said sadly, I got my mind yet.

Then let's see it, I said dryly, you show me your mind, then I'll show you your arm on it. Since your mind is only a felt presence, and you know you have that, why do you deny having part of your arm, when you feel it the same as you feel the presence of your mind? Why proclaim one being and the other as not being, since you know the presence of both? No one has found material evidence of mind, but all admit it exists, yet you inanely demand material evidence of your arm before you will admit that the immaterial part is still there, even when you constantly are using the immaterial part, but attempting to use it in a material way. No wonder it hurts, I said happily, you try to use your nose to saw wood, your nose would hurt, too.

I wouldn't use my nose to saw wood, he said testily.

You mean your mind knows better, I replied evenly. If your mind tells you not to saw wood with your nose, what right have you to tell your mind that it hasn't got an arm? Let your mind use that arm if it wants to, since you've tried to stop it and can't anyway. Why go on fighting something you can not see, only feel? Stop fighting your mind and your missing arm will stop hurting.

He looked at me. Strange to say, this weird conversation had and has meaning, even though the communication of the thought isn't as accurate since word form of communication must be used. Since we both were looking into each other's eyes, the spoken word took on meaning deeper than the mere vocal sounds. How many times have you made an appointment over the telephone to *talk* with a person? I insert this to bring your wandering ego up on short tether again. I said before, and I say again, what I am writing is of now, as much as it was yesterday and always. I am trying to bring you to recognition instead of only awareness.

What started out as a silly conversation with a man who had a missing arm quickly went deep, slippery footing secured and a new understanding developed within twenty minutes. Mostly because I dare any challenge that will help the mind of man come into its lost own. I am not afraid of this strange power. I fight fear of it in any and every waking moment when possible. Because when man rids his mind of the fear of it, he has the full glory of it.

The man with the missing arm is not *cured*. But he has a seed of cure, and it has been planted. More I am not powered to do. I want not of any power beyond this material one present. If a person reaches into the hereafter to secure knowledge for the present, they are existing beyond the material and learning nothing of this material life, therefore their knowledge is useless to living organism.

The mind has this power. Extra Sensory Perception is real. To use it to learn of a thing that is not of material life, and explain this life in the knowledge of that life, is useless. If you step beyond material explanation, you step beyond material fact, and you must again beg *faith*, which puts you back where all others started. We are emerging on the path of living fact. I wish not to step backwards.

It is fact that mind converts energy into material in second instance through the flesh of man. It is a fact that humans can do this directly, as I have pointed out, and as you can witness if you just look about you. Ask of anyone the origin of thought, and you get as many answers as persons you ask, and as varied. Yet any original thought is only part of thought as a whole, just as any part of an automobile is a part of the whole, regardless of what the part be named, because it is necessary to the whole of the automobile. Without one the other can not exist. Since mind is the whole of man, and man is only a part of the whole, we can find the path that leads to infinity, or, as the Hebrews put it, the presence of I am.

Man's strange power called mind was awakened at the time of Moses, after a long sleep. It was confirmed by factual but strange evidence by Christ, and these strange happenings have continued since, as witnessed in the many miracles and unexplainable happenings since the arrival of the New Testament, and they happen today

while learned sophisticates try fruitlessly to explain them, using an immaterial thing called mind to explain immaterial thing that happened, and clicking on an immaterial thing called electricity in order to dig for works of reference that says man is material, the very works they are seeking being of written form in image of the immaterial mind they are seeking vainly to disapprove.

I can not help but repeat: How stupid can a person be? When will he accept what is his, and cease being afraid of himself?

This strange power need not be strange, and it should not be feared. Because it is the all that is.

Let us step boldly on the beginning of the lost path of knowledge, and walk towards the beginning of it on this our earth.

Your mind level of *recognition* is the *true* level of your *progress*. What you *fail* to recognize, you do not *know*. You may recognize *all* that I have written, or some, or *none*. Your mind, like water, will *adjust* to its true level, without *conscious* help from you.

From this point on, you can *never* be the same person in mind that you were before picking this work to read.

Chapter 8

There Is No Why

In the very beginning of this chapter, you must read the following over and over, until the logic irrevocably is fixed in your conscious mind. Argue it, debate it, challenge it until the truth becomes self-evident. This is the key that unlocks the mental growth you seek, the key that banished all fear.

Nowhere, at no place and time on this earth, has man *obliterated* as much as one tiny blade of grass. He until very recently, thought he had, but in today's vast laboratories, he has found that he can not obliterate, only change material from seen into unseen. The actions heretofore that he thought caused obliteration, has only caused change in molecular construction. In short, wood burns and goes into ashes and smoke, and as the material thing called wood changes from the *seen* material into the *unseen*, the base element of that burned wood remains in the unseen. So man only invokes change, and destroys only the seen, therefore he does not destroy anything if we use the word destroy in context, since there is a vast difference between that word and the word *change*.

So material things can change. This is nothing new. But set the word change beside the word destroy, and we find only change is correct, and destroy — is what? The truth of the matter is that

man has only the power of destroying *material* parts of his vast complex, in relation to seen and unseen sense. The unseen, until recently, was something he only felt, such as the heat from the burning wood. Even now, though he thinks he knows what heat is, he can only change it to suit his need by second instance conversion. Today's scientists are worrying what will happen if they succeed in eliminating heat, that is, bring heat to —459 degrees Fahrenheit. On the other side of common heat is — what? Anti-matter, they mutter under their tongues and try to shake the fear of the unseen from their unseen minds. In every forward step of their research, they are coming hard up against anti-matter and recoiling from it.

When they step into anti-matter, they are stepping into the Mental Age. But first they must rid their minds of fear.

What is base fear? It is the cessation of life in the material form. Since *nothing* is obliterated, only changed, should man be a distinct extra? An outlaw to what is? Since he is a complex of the seen material and the unseen mind, and subject only to change, not obliteration, his body will change into organic substance at death, his mind will change into—what? Since man's mind is, has been, it follows that it always will be. Man's mind is continuity, subject to neither man made time measurement, not to man-made destruction. Death of the material man is only the end of the material, in the cycle of change. All that he is, all that he

ever will be, is his mind. Mind is the opposite of material, and only material is subject to change, in context to breakdown and molecular construction, therefore death is only of material change. Continuity is ours, if we want it or not. Nothing ceases to be. Only change is ever present in the material world. Death is change, but not obliteration. There is no cause to fear it, because you are only losing your material garment of this chemical-mineral complex we material humans call earth with our immaterial minds. Scientists have proven continuity in change to all material, but refuse to accept it in self, while conscious that they possess power of mind that can not be touched, nor seen, nor proven, in fact, has every property of the opposite of matter. Man can not even destroy matter—why does his ego tease him into believing he can destroy anti-matter? Or that it can be destroyed by such a material thing on this material world as death change of only the material.

Material death is a cycle of continuity. And the cycle of continuity will come back to our beginning, ten short thousand years ago.

Sometime around this area, give or take a few thousand years, intelligent man originated on this planet. All intelligent religions, in all parts of the world, have as the essence of their belief this late origin of intellectual man among rudimentary man. This can not be coincidence, not when science can prove continuity rather than coincidence, of all other matter. Since continuity is the utter scheme

of all creation, then not just one single part — man himself — should be considered an outlaw to creation, or his beginning a coincidence.

Intelligent man is mind function. Rudimentary man was and is a higher level of animal, or instinct function. Only by interbreeding did rudimentary man gain mind function, and this very slowly, while not losing his instinct function. Instinct and mind function has been and is yet a melange of misunderstanding that denies truth. Mind tells a man to jump into deep water to save another man, while instinct tells him at the same time to preserve himself materially and not jump in, lest he die materially also. This schism of finite and infinite decision is with mankind constantly, and Christ never said it clearer: to god what is god's, to man what is man's. So this evokes the clear understanding of *two* minds in a single man. The instinct of the material man, and the mind of the anti-material man.

In this dawning age of space investigation, and a long while before, those who dared spoke in private and some wrote in public under alias of this planet having been settled around ten thousand years ago by a colony of space travelers from another advanced system. In this way, evolutionists could put off the beginning of the universe, i.e., man began a long time ago somewhere else and came here from his place of origin, this other place of origin being of intelligence that would easily explain man's evolutionary beginning. In the

space-spore system of man's origin is the same seed of *put-off* by the evolutionists. Neither of these theories are explanations, only the begging of further faith of man in another scheme of unit value.

While this space colony settlement is gaining favor among the erudite—they point out man's drive to explore space from this planet being an unknown home-drive of the former exiles inherent in their progeny — the same enlightened men, while having strong psychology to back up their theory of former space colonization of this planet, willfully ignore one glaring fact.

If another system developed space travel, what caused this system to cease explorations? Why did they abandon this earth colony among barbarians? If the colonizers had space ships, why did they not leave this unfruitful environment, or at least keep a commuting system operating?

Unless the colonizers were exiles, and this earth was a *Devil's Island* in the universe. Much argument has been advanced on this line of thought, since the framework of all social punishment follows the *walling off* of the social undesirables of the present social mores, in all parts of the world, and societies exist on the *good and bad* principle of control. I must point out again that no such system has been found among the remains of rudimentary man, nor of animals. But let us, for the moment, accept this theory.

When we do accept the theory, we immediately find logic going out of focus. The punishment system used on this earth, had and has across the span of immeasurable time since the origin of intelligent man, used the redemption theory along with the punishment theory. In short, a person cast from society is always in promise of return if he adjusts and atones to that society.

This is not in keeping of logic in accordance with the space colonization of exiles. In this theory, these colonizers were utterly abandoned, without redemption abilities. So the redemption part of punishment could not have been part of their system. They would not have imposed it here, when they knew nothing of it. And if it had been, *investigators* or probation officers from this other space system would have come from time to time, taking home the atoned and begging of the others to atone. In short, logic is out of focus completely. And if probation officers were sent, we would have actual, physical, touchable evidence in the form of ships arriving from outer space, not only right now, but all across the ignorant centuries.

But if *mind* alone were the exile, and mind is anti-matter, all pieces of the pattern immediately fall into place and logic. Because mind of anti-matter, coming upon this chemical-mineral system of matter, would immediately attract a covering of this matter, just as when positive and negative meet, a third element is immediately present. Now exile and probation officer immediately become

feasible, as does atonement in this system of things, also the lost power or that part of the brain that does not function in this chemical-mineral matter, but that originally did function, much as a battery holds a charge for a period of time without recharging. This would be logic, but for one bridgeable quotient.

Mind, exiled to this earth, among rudimentary man, immediately created an imbalance in creation. Total power among total ignorance.

Mind was exiled here because of a misdeed. That misdeed was accepting the reflection instead of the fact. Many persons on this earth will not look in a mirror, because they see a reflection of self, and *believe* that reflection to be a part of themselves. They go so far as to kill while in the state of horrible fear this evokes. American Indians believed — some of them — that a snapshot taken of them remained a part of them and was being carried off by the photographer, and they killed to stop this.

Believing in a reflection is so common today among the learned that they have named it identify. So I hope you haven't laughed too soon. The universe is all thought, and a mind is part of the all, then the misdeed of the exiles could only have been of having excepted that which was not real for the real, i. e., the absolutism of thought was not absolute, and they sought to impose the negative, or reflection, as the real. Since even we know that

the source of power in a common battery comes from the positive, any blundering person who tried to draw power from the negative is immediately ridiculed. I communicate on this level, because, at the moment, I have no other way of communicating the misdeed of the exiles. All religions carry the theme of revolt against I am, in one form or another, but they do it in material form, as of a *Heavenly Battle*. Of a try at usurping power. Need I point out that this battle need not have been a physical thing at all? Since, and deny this if you can, eternal life is promised as spiritual, rather than physical, with the promise of the physical paradise to come later, after atonement.

The misdeed being the will to usurp power of mind, when the exiles became material on this chemical-mineral planet, they immediately had total power over the rudimentary man here, and set up their dynasty by imposing their will upon the savage, taking the pleasures of the animal-man, but using him ruthlessly in the satisfaction of the new found fleshjoys. And he, not being of mind, had no recourse. Th exiles had been granted the very privilege they were exiled for.

The total power of mind, used in this chemical-mineral sphere, with energy conversion of the first instance, i. e., the wish is the completed fact, much as in the tale of Aladdin's Lamp, could not have been anything except total, horrible instinct fear to the rudimentary man. Come to think—what would it be to you, right this instant? When even

man-made things of second instance conversion has placed man's fear above his basic beliefs? When the lessening of sin fear in sex has all the societies of the world tearing at their hair, because they know of no other way to control this sex thing unless they use fear, and fear is fast, in this instance, becoming useless? They tear their hair, while science has been sitting in a corner, sucking their evolutionary (sic) thumb, because they have just found out that love is the only emotion that can give life and sustain it. That human and animal babies die without it, that man is lower than an animal when he rejects it, and all good things—physical things—of this earth turn bitter and rancid without it, and they, the scientists, have been ruthlessly trampling it across the centuries as something evil and to be ashamed of, while animals have flourished in the unthinking use of it among themselves. And the ignorant, mindless rudimentary man was suddenly confronted with this total power of mind that drove his instinctual fear beyond the bounds of endurance, without love.

Such a thing could not, would not, exist for long. In today's societies, it would amount to giving the prisoners in a penitentiary total power over the wardens, while not permitting either to go beyond the high walls.

We must turn to pure, simple logic, because of the billions of books of complexities man has managed to write to confound himself. In just one field—Roman Law—man has over eleven million volumes

stashed away, any one of which he can use to prove his *point*, that is, if he can find the information anywhere among the eleven million or so volumes.

Mind you, most of these eleven million books are supposed to be the same, only a comma or period has been changed here or there, so that one time Jones can win, and one time Smith does. I read of a common comma setting aside the last will and testament of a man, said will involving a few million dollars. Just the other day, in California, a complete legislative act was stopped stone still because some clerk had put a period in the wrong place. As I write, man dreams up his own complexities, then writes them down to worry about. This is called progress, while logic, the ability to fit things together in continuity of form, is fast becoming obsolete. Everything is too complex, so forget everything. A traffic officer arrests a man for speeding at ten miles an hour. The man can fight this case. He has the right. But does he have the money? The fine is twenty-five bucks — to fight would cost maybe a thousand . . . better to smile and pay the twenty-five. In this instance, complexities pay off by using logic, but something called justice has its tail dragging in the urinary mud. So it was then with the rudimentary man, and so it is yet today, but not in such magnitude.

Because man has learned from material experience. There is one sure way of teaching anyone a lesson in living. Let him experience the same. If pain is not experienced, it is not known. If love

is not experienced, it is not known. If hate is not experienced, it is not known. If fear is not experienced, it is not known. Yet how can a person crowd all these experiences, in full measure, in one short lifetime? How could he, when he had total power of mind, and knew none of this, but visited it upon rudimentary man?

In pure logic, in the complete Thought existence there is only one answer.

His power of mind had to be abridged. So that he could receive in kind. He must die and be born again, without selection of parent, breed or color. When he faced the fact of material death, he did all in his power to leave behind knowledge, but he had only a short time in which to do this, and among rudimentary man, a savage. After death, his mind would have again full power, and he knows what he did in the negative field against Thought Existence, but since he has failed the test of Thought Existence, he must return again, and must go through the very system he has imposed upon the savage, himself being a savage in this trip. He will know nothing except the material evidence which begins at his common birth, and he must use the knowledge he left behind to try for the betterment he cast aside before. What he visited upon others will be visited upon himself. Does the word sound familiar?

He will do this until he gains the ability to live Thought Existence, and in order for this test to be equal, all creatures of this chemical-mineral planet

have the same promise, the same reward, the same denouement.

This pronouncement fulfills to the letter all knowledge left behind, even to the paradise on this earth. With the ability to use first instance power energy change, with all peoples living Though Existence, this earth would be a beauty beyond our slowly awakening awareness, and a joy of Thought and Flesh that no promise can equal.

You, at this instant, knowing this—are you going to clobber that neighbor because he keeps you awake at night? Knowing that surely, if not in this life, then in another, you will be clobbered in kind? Neighbor, do you persist in awakening this man from a sound sleep, knowing that you will certainly share his same discomfort in the unknowing future? Leaders, are you going to send millions of men to horrible and useless death, knowing that you will be sent as surely as the sun rises to the same horrors in a future date? Be aware of your visits, for you will be visited.

You may well ask, granting that you can grasp the truth of this work in this lifetime, what can I do about it?

Wringing your hands won't help.

The first step is the cessation of the fear barrage. Every person who breathes can challenge this utter reflection. If I am not afraid to live, why should I be afraid to die? Only because man has told me so! And who is man, that he is better than I, a man also? Who says that I dare not

talk, that I dare not sleep, that I dare not question? Man says so. Am I not a man? Do I, a man, say so? What is color, or breed, or belief? It is a condition of man. Am I not a man? Of what color or race or creed may I be, so that I can clobber my own head with a stone across a countless century? How stupid can I be, that I can condemn myself in all continuity? Walk not in fear of yourself nor any man, for you are a man yourself. You have no fear of the future, because you visited none upon man yourself in the past. Rid your mind of the self-contained fear, that neither god nor man put there, but you yourself, and give to each his due, and take kind for yourself.

When you rid your mind of fear, and step forward in lifting it from the burdened spirits of others, seek knowledge by asking yourself for it. You are a man, and as man are charged with the task of man alone. You have degraded yourself, not your mind, and your mind when asked will lift you from your degradation. No men can do this, except the individual man himself. Because it is a gift of Thought Existence, to each and everyone, upon the asking for the gift in light of material experience.

You will walk across the bridge of mind and matter with knowledge by material experience your only guide. For every man you push off the bridge, you in turn will be pushed to the abyss below, to climb laboriously back as flesh passes by.

All sin is bred of fear. If you fear not, you sin not. The sin of sex is the fear that you might have to support another man's child, so to rid yourself of this fear, you outlaw the act. Did you stop to think that if all men cast aside fear, sex would be normal, and every man, having no fear, would raise his own child? You are afraid of losing your job, the job representing money, the money representing food, shelter and clothing. Also pleasure. So you control by laws the right of having a job, then you in turn are controlled by your own fear laws. Did you ever stop to think that the man who built the house, who raised the food, who made the clothing and formed the pleasure has the same fears, and did the same thing you did by law in light of these fears, as you did? That without fear this process is natural, and that all you have is a material part of this earth that was given to you, even as your material life was given? That none of it, not even the tiny blade of grass, is man made? That egotism built from fear self-contained by man has sought to control that which is not his by the simple expedient of instilling fear from his own self-containment and dividing it among others, thus compounding an existual instinct that was animal in the beginning?

Reach for the power that is yours. Knowledge is the confounding of the word why and with knowledge there is no why.

If you wish to check history both biblical and actual or pedantic with this work in mind start at

the time of the myth of Greece, proceed to the Pyramids, then jump back to the settlement in the Sagros ten thousand years ago, proceed forward until you come upon the Sumerians before Babylon. You will find a sudden blank, even though the Sumerians mention the flood of biblical Noah. In this great expanse of time, awesome things, stupendous buildings were witnessed, and then jump the continent to China, Manchuria, where the root sameness was taking place in this great expanse of time. In this great expanse of time over and over again in many strange ways comes the attesting that there *existed men who did not die!* That these men had powers that could only have been of first instance energy change, and that they possessed a knowledge beyond comprehension at the time. And these men left material evidence of their knowledge in the form of buildings that are even thought of as strange today. In this same time mathematics became known and used, rule was established, writings came forth and man started on intelligence, but common or rudimentary man did not.

Then just before Moses, something happened. The Age of Kings abruptly started to crumble. Moses came and he gave performances that could only have been of first instance energy change, and common man gained intelligence. This becomes very clear as you research up the progressive years until just before Christ, when the world started to suppress the common man again on a broad scale. And Christ had the power of first

instance energy conversion. Man saw and believed, and has climbed higher in intelligence ever since.

Read in the light of your knowledge. Watch answers come unbidden and clear, but silently asked for.

Fear is based on sin or law, both being interchangeable upon the whim of the ruler, hate is based on fear and destruction is based on hate.

Love is a continuity of creation, and a direct opposite or positive of fear. Man continually wants to live peacefully together, but is constantly tangled by fears that he can neither see, nor touch but is made to feel by word of mouth of the few. Banish fear and you banish the ruthless few. A great pain can be caused by a tiny cactus needle, but when that tiny needle is of fear placed in a man's self-contained mind, no surgeon can reach it — none can reach it but the man's own thoughts.

As the mind becomes clear of fear there is nothing strange nor weird. Energy change is constant and natural. Imagination gives way to fact. Control of energy change explains *every* so-called unexplainable happening. Since energy change *is*, and always *was*, why be appalled or afraid of unusual happenings in this energy change field, since you are a part of it? You have growing control of it in the second instance of conversion and will soon have first instance again just as soon as the wonders can be accepted rather than feared and used in continuity, rather than abasement. To do

this you need only cast out fear, and put mind above material.

That's a big order. Right now the world thinks, or is trying to think, that everything came from the material! Even while scientists try to make material from energy, and know not what energy is.

Scientists are on the edge of direct energy conversion of the second instant. It is the Laser, which is agitated molecular action changed, caught and focused, but which can not, at the present time, be boosted to the speed of light. But when they achieve this, they will convert energy directly into material. *Do not confuse Laser with Maser; Maser is radio waves.* Energy, traveling at the speed of light, converts into material. Thus by using various forms of energy, they will be able to achieve various things of material, and by mixing these various forms, they will be able to achieve complete things of material. This may seem wild, but it is fast becoming actuality. Say they want a table lamp. They take a Laser, adjust the flows of various energy forms, focus it at a spot on a composition slab, and out of the thin air, at the point of focus, a table lamp materializes, solid and ready for electrical use, no different from any table lamp in the local furniture store. I do not ask you to believe this. You will witness it in the not too distant future.

Yet, when they do this, they will be doing a very small instant of energy conversion of the second instance. They must use man-made mind supplements, even though this machine will cause energy change of the first instance, think of the vast

amount that Moses and Christ could do with their minds alone.

In short, since we now know some of these *miracles* in the Bible can be duplicated by man with mechanical means, the mechanics being a product of his mind, what is so hard to accept about the ability of man soon achieving this directly? And what is so hard to believe about man having done this before, since all things have basis in continuity of past experience? To clarify: a child is expected to walk, since all children, from past experience, walked. This is candid expression of continuity of past experience. If this be not so, then why the recorded instances of children in Eastern nations, where even today unwanted babies are tossed into the forests, being found and captured in the wilds, running on all fours and having to be taught to walk upright? Here is where the evolutionist, using continuity of experience, builds his castle of the evolved man, but fails miserably, as do the bibles, in proving beginnings. In the instance of writing, they can make a point. But not in architecture. Building demands mathematics. What rudimentary man took nothing as a factor from experience, coupled it with form sums, and found a stress angle of support, based on rigidity of earth crust structure? And further, took a gravity quotient — which is not known even today — and used the point of nothing, coupled with form sums, to achieve balance to the earth sway? Earth sway being tide, moon and galactic fluctuations, coupled with ice crust forms at both poles. They did not do this? Then why is the

Great Pyramid built exactly on the 38th meridian, among other unexplainable compass complexities?

The origin of the intellectual man on this planet was the introducing of the Thought Existence, in part exile of the individual mind complex, the mind complex that was in revolt to the *Is* principle of anti-matter. Until such time as the mind individual comes into recognition of Thought Existence, and the *Is* principle, the mind complex will remain in exile.

Recognition of the fact will sustain the fact. I, for one, recognize the fact of anti-matter origin, offer as proof this complete work, based on factual experiences of mankind, and the explainable happening which took place at the time of the appearances of the Teachers of this knowledge, based on man's own present knowledge. This work asks nothing of faith. It asks only that you open your own mind to what *Is*. In doing this, you cast aside the stumbling block of history, in all its heinous ramifications: fear.

Let me state that Bibles are fact. That religion is fact. That all man need do is use them with knowledge, not *for* knowledge. And the living truth of their words come marvelously clear. The cloak of man and rule, based on Unit Value, drop from the context, and the simple truths stand bold and clear.

A man once said to me as a youth: read the complete Bible with but one question in mind. It

will answer that question. Re-read it with the next question in mind—read it as often as your question, and all questions will be answered.

The man spoke the truth. But then I wished to substantiate the truth. I have read everything that I could lay hands on. The truth in the Bible stood, while all else of man's wishful thinking fell apart, or unknowingly substantiated the bibles. The man-made of the bibles come clear and stupid, while the Thought Existence or spiritual part stands forth in focus.

The dual creation of man, the dual mind of man, the dual body of man emerges, while energy change of first instance by former man becomes a single, clear understanding, and man-made fear evaporates into the reflection from whence it came.

Chapter 9

Summation

This is a point of focus, the falling into place of the pattern. Any gain of man the individual has been made against the mass resistance of all his brothers. Seekers of truth have been burned, strangled, mutilated, dishonored, imprisoned, exiled, racked and beheaded. Less than a century ago, a German gave us the lowly aspirin tablet. The powers that be, upon finding that it cured headaches, called the giving of this little pill witchery, and promptly hauled the doctor up for trial. The good doctor became so outraged that he burned his notes on the pill, after he narrowly escaped sentence of death by fire. He went on to discover other medical values, but grimly did nothing to further the advance made in principle of the aspirin tablet. Today, in spite of all the miracle drugs since, the lowly aspirin tablet is yet king in its field. Its chemical compounds are simple, and while it drives headaches away from migraine, subdues the tearing pain of arthritis, rheumatism, joint disease, the common cold, and many other ills, no doctor today knows actually how and why it does this action. Because it shouldn't, as its chemical compounds, medically, have no direct bearing on bodily chemicals. Doctors today ruefully advise arthritic and rheumatic patients to go back to the lowly tablet after their miracle drugs fail.

Who knows, if the individual German had been encouraged in the field instead of almost done to death, but that today arthritis and all related diseases were of the past, instead of the painful present? On what tenuous path of discovery had the doctor embarked? We can only guess, since he burned all his notes of research development on the subject, and this implies that the aspirin tablet was not the end of his research, but the beginning. I can well follow the doctor's thoughts after his trial: All forms of arthritis were thought and believed to be the visitations of demons. All witches and incarnate spirits were painted, drawn and pictured with arthritic joint structure, i.e., the clawed, knobby hand, the bone knobby facial structure, the decayed teeth and twisted body. Now if he were to administer a little white pill, and this demon disfiguration were to disappear from a living person . . . nein! He would not be hauled to a court this time, but to the closest pole and quickest fire.

This could not happen today? Yes, it could and does. Unless any medical treatment has the full research and consent of the American Medical Association and the United States Government, and unless it meets all the facts inherent in chemical analysis to the balance of chemicals in the human body, it can not be marketed, and if marketed, is termed quackery. In short, were the German alive today, it is doubtful whether he could get his aspirin tablet on the market! The doctors would say the person should take a glass of salt water, as it also contains

acetate of salicylic acid. No? What about the various elixir compounds that contain as much as 45% alcohol, and are termed quack items, while the good doctors themselves give prescription medical alcohol for many conditions, and the government licensed distilleries during prohibition to distill medical whiskey? If the various religions that preach absolutism in alcohol knew how many of their medicines were basic alcohol, they would sin the doctors out of existence.

The path of knowledge is still locked tight in sin fear control structure, but cracks are appearing in the age old darkened room. If a lay chemist today came up with a little white pill that cured related diseases, but could not explain how it cured, he would get the benefit of the doubt and a shrug of the shoulder, plus the name of a mild quack. All the pills he sold would be unendorsed, and anyone taking them had better not suffer side effects, or the chemist would be sued and perhaps jailed. So we have progressed from absolute punishment in this field to lesser punishment.

In the sin fear field, no one drops dead because he takes the name of the Ruler or of God in vain. In some countries he is jailed. So we have progressed from the absolutism here. Today, in most all parts of the world, a person can belong or not belong to the local religion without fear of death, but still with a lesser punishment fear present. The stoning to death of an unwed pregnant woman is unheard of, but the stones of oppression in the same

case today are a severe punishment, while the man-words of the bibles carry out the sin punishment of the father upon the child.

The Ruler today is no longer absolute. He must answer to others, and the people he rules in varying degrees. While the pattern of cyclic Rulers has maintained its cycle of destruction and rebuilding, the oppression is becoming harder by the year. The *leaders* of ten thousand years ago are being pared down to size of the mass, while the mass is growing in size to the level of the leaders. In the tracking of war across the centuries, we find bigger wars progressively, but with lesser death rates, but greater man-made destruction of man-made things. We are coming to the point of trying to destroy the machines of war instead of their tenders, where before the soldier was destroyed and the man-made saved at all cost. Reluctance to take life is coming of age. A man who has killed can no longer be proud of the act, where before the pride of the act was the pay of the act. In short, the first Rulers of the Rudimentary Man is being brought down to proper size, and the Rudimentary Man is being brought up to equal size. The existence of individual power balance, as promised over and over again in the bibles, is unknowingly becoming fulfilled.

We are jumping the Unit of Value more and more with the growing power of our brain. We are driving with all out speed into the mind over matter principle, thereby putting value on man's mind, value above material, because man's mind is

now edging into freer conversion of energy in the second instance and thereby creating a new Unit Value that is not of this world, but of the world of unknown mind and thought. As this Unit Value emerges, vast and startling changes will take place, because they must. Once man fully recognizes that he can destroy nothing, only change it, including himself, he will have to advance the love principle, because he will know that all the hurt he inflicts will be also upon himself.

As man builds vast memory machines and stores past centuries in these machines, and with a mere touching of a few buttons assimilate this knowledge into faultless pattern, the blueprint of all that is will become factual and irrevocable, but his mind must be prepared for the staggering, simple truth he has so long denied. Once he uses the knowledge of the pattern, he will dive headlong into the seventh unit value.

First Instance Conversion of Energy into Material, the Age of the Miracle will be back, but no longer looked on as a miracle just as the savage no longer looks on a lighted match as a miracle when he understands the reason why. Before this can happen, each and every one of us will have to grow in the stature of love understanding to the point of self knowledge, and the sin fear must be totally obliterated. If this power of first instance energy conversion to material were suddenly given to man today, this minute, the world would be in total carnage. Senseless hate based on the sin fear would

cause bodily death to leap around the world, with bodies falling like blades of grass before the mowing machine, only to have the *dead* bodies come back to instant life and in turn sweep the *killers* into painful cessation of material life. This world would become a battleground of lightning that could not destroy, only hurt and change uselessly.

I will go no further into the seventh unit value. Man's mind is not prepared for what he has just read. He is too full of sin fear and the reflection to accept even this, his mind is too conditioned to myth, phantasy and fiction to weed logic from theory. His only hope lies in his ability to challenge any idea different from his own.

Here is the challenge. And the challenge is not in the arrangement of words, nor the grammatical aspects of the structure, but of the *thought* presented therein. This time, the challenge is not vocal, as I have hurled it in the past, but in cold, stark, simple writing. If you think, if you ask, why did not this power of mind emerge before, why is it not with us now, I need only point out that the avowed purpose of some Eastern religions is to do to the death all Christians, and that Jewry is present and powerful, and does not recognize Christians as being of true faith, while the Darwinians and their like think all the rest wrong. The division of sin fear, which is the basis of all hate, is still with us. With the full power of man's mind released, while this condition exists, the Leaders of the Thought Rebellion, who are still with us, would turn this

material world into a mental slaughter house, even though time and cyclic life pattern have softened and changed them, as witnessed by the emerging will to howl awhile before slashing and slaying as before, because they recognize that the mass of man is slowly awakening to the contradiction of self punishment, and thereby turning to the Real instead of the Reflection.

Death is a cycle of the material man, not the Thought Existence. Thought Existence is the all, and material man is part of the all, therefore, none of the all can cease to be, lest the all become less than all, which, even in this form of written communication, is self evident. In this context, only the material man changes, as countless bibles constantly point out, but constantly confuse the man who does not read, but only sees the words, not believing the words and passages he does not want to believe, and having never learned, or will not learn, to read simple language.

In the New Testament, Christ speaks of the spirit, and in the very next verse, is speaking of the body, but today's man reads right on, believing He is speaking of the spirit, even though Christ says that if your eye offend *thee*, if your hand offend *thee*, etc., rid yourself of it, or go to *hell*. He did not say if it offend *God*, and speaking of diseased members, of the *body* only, He said to get rid of them, lest your body die and be *buried*. Hell is the word of his language for grave, and the much preached about word Gehenna was the city dump. In many instances He said that if you did your

fellow man wrong, your fellow man, when your *body* died, would not think enough of you to bury you, but would throw your carcass on the city dump with the rest of the trash. The Bible holds such simple truths, but utterly contorted by sin fear into a thing of hate and destruction. The simple words spoken above are still true today. If a person has wronged you badly, will you go to his funeral?

At a meeting, the subject came up concerning the utter belief of every single word in the Bible. Half of those present dogmatically insisted that you had to believe every word, that the Bible was written by inspired men. It was written by inspired men, but it has been re-copied and translated by uninspired men, in one instance by a man with strictly ulterior motives. He wanted to divorce his wife. But I did not argue with the people in the meeting. I merely turned a few pages, to where Christ is talking to the Jews, and informing them that they *had* changed the Law of Moses! They had made big and little wrongs, had changed the aspect of wrong into material context—in short, in Christ's own words, the Old Testament, which is strictly followed by many religions, was stated as being changed by uninspired men for material gain by Christ Himself. After pointing this out, the meeting tore furiously through the pages, trying to find a verse or chapter to set aside this instance. I imagine many of them are still dog-eared their bibles, trying to refute a clear statement with the book that made the statement, when they need not. By their own actions, they, while stating firmly that

every word of the bible was to be believed utterly, in the next instance turned savagely, in front of all their fellows, and tried to disapprove some part of it. When I gently pointed this out to them, they started a harassed defense based on understanding and further enlightenment by reading other parts, like the Bible is a big puzzle that has to be fitted exactly together. Yet there is nothing puzzling about the Bible, but there is something very puzzling about the people who claim to *read* it. I was taught that before you could read anything, you had to know the meaning of each word written. One religion, in spite of every work of man on the accurate meaning of words, insist that *see*, as being a group of people under one head, is the same word as *sea*, meaning a body of water. If we started to calling a female *he* and a male *she*, the first grade teacher would never pass us until we got big enough to do physical labor or outgrew the tiny desk. Yet this is a great religion, and growing steadily. It is growing in spite of its ignorance, because its true greatness lies in the field of brotherly love, but is hamstringing itself by savagely shouting of hellfire and damnation growing on the tree of love. In short, it says love your brother but damn him to hell if necessary. Give him an eternity of suffering because of a wrong in one infinite speck of time in total creation. This sort of logic makes the sense of no sense. To put it in its shortened form: nonsense.

If man is to believe that in this one instance of three score and ten, he is to atone for all of time,

then he is either: 1. Unlearned. 2. Blind to everything but himself. 3. Greater than the God he worships, the same God having taken the all of eternity to make these conditions under which man now exists, or 4. He is *unthinkingly* searching for a light with a burning lamp in his hand. I hold the last to be most true.

In speaking of the concept of the living of many material lives, while having only one continuous part of Thought Existence ever present. I am not speaking of reincarnation, nor the Priests of ancient Egypt's three thousand year cyclic change from man to insect, all the way through all lesser forms of life until the man comes back to man again, nor of predestination, which is an aside from the free will principle, because to understand free will is to set aside predestination, while fully recognizing the power of first instance energy change. With first instance energy change, man has free will and choice change in this material world. Just as he has this power and does not know it, he also has free will and does not know it, because he is too full of sin fear at present, making the use of both at this time utterly fearsome. Like in deep sleep, man is alive, but does not know it until he awakens. In this instance, it is very hard to convince a person that he was dead while he slept, but it is almost impossible to convince him that his greater powers are alive, that they have been put to sleep, and will awaken when man's sleep of fear and hate has rested the poisons from his Thought Existence.

There is nothing fearsome, nothing wrong in accepting this fact of continuity of lives. If I have not made this clear, then I have failed miserably in this work. This concept answers all the so-called *puzzles* of the bibles, the miracles, the power of prayer, being born again—which is a point of hot discussion whenever God's children meet—the resurrection, the all-knowing of those present, this world a paradise, eternal life . . . put anything and any question up to this concept, and the answer is clear and understandable, without fear and without hate basis. Most of all, fear of death vanishes forever, because in realizing that only the material part dies, to be born again, you realize that you have another chance, and yet another chance, to try again for that perfection all humans want. You will have the chance to right the wrongs you have done, not by being tortured forever without recourse, but by having the very wrongs visited upon yourself, so that the inner wish of humans is fulfilled. How many times has a transgressor moaned: I wish it had been me that suffered.

I speak with many elderly people, and I ask a question: Would you like to live your life over again? It is surprising how many give a delicate shudder and murmur: Go through all that again—no! So to many of these, the very acceptance of re-living seems like too much punishment, because they can not see the ultimate pattern of change that will bring them through the centuries long power of mind sleep to that which is wanted by all.

The perfection of material man and the power of control over his environment.

In the next decade, man is going to be ruthlessly tried in his beliefs by the factual exposition of many falsehoods contained in the works of religious beliefs. Right now, using computers, men of religion have come up with proof that St. Paul wrote only four of the fourteen Epistles attributed to him, and this is only the beginning of religious expose, many times by the men who practise the religion they expose, because man has lifted the lid on his fear of knowledge, and he is starting to dig for the reason why. But he needs only the mind he now has.

Moses wrote the Ten Commandments by calling on *lightning* to do his work. Today man uses the same energy in the second instance to write on steel, and do far more than Moses ever did in the way of energy change. Not because Moses could not have done all and more than modern man does, but because man was not ready for more at his time, nor was he ready for Jesus and the power He used so hesitatingly.

Today, if Jesus was to walk among us, He would receive the same hostility, the same or maybe more harsh treatment than He did two thousand years ago. So when this Third Visitor comes, be ready. For this Visitor will come. Be prepared to understand this time, and accept the powers offered.

Because if just one mortal man had the knowledge to step up to Jesus and ask: does my sleeping

mind carry the power that your awakened mind carries, and can I have this power when I rid myself of fear and hate? Sounds familiar, but not in quote, doesn't? Because it was asked! But not with knowledge and therefore it was not answered in clear communication.

The answer was given, and the millions of words and the millions of books called bibles are still being searched and the answer still being hidden, because man blinds himself by the fear and hate he swears he has rid himself of.

Be prepared this time. Say I am a man among men. None is greater than I, none is lesser than I, for he, too, is a man, and is among men like I am. As a man, I extend my hand to all men.

You can say this? How falsely you say it! The Russian Leaders sit with destruction in one hand and invective in the other. The United States sits with destruction in one hand and counter-invective in the other. Each is positive that his way of life for his people is best for them. Instead of threatening to send destruction to each other, why not send the same amount and price in warm, human people to each other, and let them decide which they want? And then let the Leaders answer to their people in their wants. I assure you, not one trigger on one rifle will be pulled. Nor would one Leader lose his Leadership, if he were capable of doing the bidding of his people, instead of making them do his bidding for his own *good* and theirs. But all we hear is that we don't know what is good for us. This tripe was

old in Aristotle's time. You say great, I'm ready to swap with the Ruskies, but they won't. True. But the peoples of Russia are being forced knowledge. A German professor that spent time as a prisoner there made a wry remark to me. He said the Bear is putting a knife of knowledge in its own back, if the world can just keep him in his own pen long enough, but it takes time to train a bear, nichtwahr?

Aside from war, man sneers at color, race and creed. He accepts only his niche in society, and salutes nosehigh anyone not in his niche. He is all conscious of self, not even yet aware that self is part of all, therefore he constantly denies self while idolizing it, because he thinks only of the material man, listens to all the words of the bibles as *material* words, while he blithely speaks of *spiritual* salvation. Man is still rotten in his own reflection, because he only sees and believes material evidence.

To bring this point to focus, I tell a small joke. Seems two Negroes were idly chatting. One said he wished he was dead. Why? asked his pal. Didja ever see a *black ghost*? he answers sadly. One party, when told this joke (?) answered bitterly: I wish they were all *white*! What this man was saying escaped him completely, as he meant only the material aspect change.

What color is a colored person's mind? A white person's? A yellow person's? A brown?

You tell me this. Please? Until you do, offer that hand, as a man, among men, being a man. With your eyes open to knowledge, and closed to sin fear, the root of all hate.

Color was a happenstance of the Thought Existence Exile, not a curse. I use happenstance for want of a better word communication, because as the exiles were placed in this best of all prisons — you can't change your striped suit, meaning body, while in this field, and the striped suit can not *leave* this field, even though it goes among the material stars — the chemical-mineral elements leaped to the force lines of the exiles, forming the earth body in material garment. Proof of this is the very color scheme of the parts of the world that contain the color scheme of peoples. When they speak of the Dark Continent, the Yellow Continent, the Brown of the Arabs, the Red of the Redman, they are also speaking of the climatic and soil conditions of those countries. It is only the White Continent that is lost in the mist of history, along with the fabled Atlantis, and northern climatic and soil conditions would be white or as close to white as grey can get. For many years scientists have been saying you are what you eat. They offer proof of this by citing the fact that Germany has little or no iodine in its soil, hence the peoples are subject to goiter and other deprived iodine diseases, while all the iron ore mined in Germany must have iodine mixed with it so as to produce good steel. But they have long realized that what you eat has only a small part in the overall picture. This realization

becomes fact when you accept the complete body having been made from these chemical-minerals and the grades of sufficiency in the various geographical sites of man's origin.

There is one simple, basic fact that can be applied to the pyramid structures found at the site of each color division among men, when the force field of anti-matter man took on the garment of material, but I have not gone far enough into its theory to establish logical facts. Suffice it to state that a pyramid is the basic pattern for the launching of rockets. The structure aspect splits and diffuses the thrust of drive energy of take-off, otherwise the thrust would bore a hole in the soft earth and the ship would stand stationary. In the unexplainable field of *flying saucers* there lies a problematical answer. If these ships are of anti-matter, and they brought the exiles of intelligent man to this planet, they would have to land, and immediately their ship forces fields would become *heavy* with chemical-mineral material that would join the same as it did to the individual exiles, and the great power needed to lift this now *heavy* ship would tear the landing site of material earth into shreds as it shook off this foreign substance. So pyramids were built as launching pads. This seems to answer stairways to the top, found in a few, and the strange texture of the outer covering or skin. This outer covering or skin would indeed be strange, if it were subjected to an unknown opposite of ion force drive thrust. This also tends to answer why — if *flying saucers* are visiting us, and I have never seen one, so I

can not say — they do not land in this material force field.

So there is much to know, much to learn in this logical concept of man and his true beginning, much more than any single, lone man can uncover in one lifetime, unless he calls upon other than material logic, which is useless in this material world as explanation.

This brings to mind an experience I had with a so-called fortune teller. This woman was amazing, not for her looks—she looked like a tub of lard with a half peeled potato for a head—but for the extra senses she possessed. She evidently could read my mind, because she laughed at me very much, highly amused at my unspoken thoughts. While I sat in a broken down chair in this mulatto woman's house, and never spoke one word, not even of greeting, she answered aloud my unspoken thoughts. Some of them, that is. The most were too delicate to make conversation. To be completely fair, and having warned you that I go anywhere in search of knowledge, this woman was the madame of a cathouse, or politely, house of prostitution, and while her gals flitted around like butterflies sans wings, or white moths attracted to the flames of a dollar, she read me, as she called it, for gratis.

After some time, she suddenly asked me if I thought her gals beautiful. I looked the naked room over, and she laughed politely. "Yes," she said amiably, "they are the best I can find, but they are

horrible looking, as I am horrible looking, in comparison to our true bodies. Man's true body is more beautiful than description. It is a halo of pure, many colored fire, but in the same form as you now have your living body, but absolutely perfect in proportion . . ." and her words died out strangely, while she looked at me with mounting agitation and the beginnings of a nervous flutter of her small, fat hands.

I immediately got to my feet. I have had this happen before with Extra Sensory Perception people, and I knew what to expect, more or less.

"Get outta here," she suddenly screeched, turning her back on me and almost upsetting her chair as she forced it around on protesting legs, reached out to an end table and grabbed her whiskey bottle with one shaking hand. "And don't come back. Don't you dare come back!" she yowled.

These places usually have two hundred fifty pounds of black, mindless muscles on two legs to enforce a madame's orders. I got. Quickly.

You may well ask how I knew this particular madame was an Extra Sensory Perception subject. I didn't. Many times I get restless, and have to wander around aimlessly. At the end of my poking around, I come upon an object or person that holds amazing and odd knowledge. And I find an answer to a plaguing question of my mind, my conscious mind. I go unerringly to a dusty book in a library as large as Los Angeles Central, or as small as

Homburg, Germany, in Kreis Homburg-Fritzlars, the bed of Lutherism, or end up talking to the Woman of Bavaria—now dead—who lived on wafers and water, even while a colonel of Hitler's SS spent twenty-four hours a day with her, until this hard-bitten man left, having *caught a soul* from her. I do not know the why of this, I do not ask. I will not.

I am a man, among men. No more, no less. I say this now, as I've said it before. Many times before, in many, many strange circumstances. I ask nothing more than my conscious mind can give me, and seek nothing more, and I fear nothing my conscious mind brings forth, even though the hairs on my head crawl with a curious expectation at times, and in strange places.

For we must stay in this wall of material until all are ready to rend the wall. It is not an individual undertaking, it is and must be the undertaking of the masses. For when Thought becomes Action, man must be completely ready, or his thoughts will bring needless carnage.

No?

Look around you. What has the mind of man accomplished to the present? With the very small amount of energy conversion at his command, he is threatening the world with atomic holocaust!

Man, with his greed born of sinfear and manfear, released by Unit Value into Thought Dominance, is already turning to *total carnage* as a way of life.

Overkill is the present phrase. I shudder when I think of first instant energy change being acquired by just *one man* in this total world of billions of men. Even with a thorough background in all that went before, this man, even being of well intent, would be a horror incapable for the mass to imagine. One uncontrolled action in a not completely self-controlled mind, would change energy of any magnitude, even beyond ten million hydrogen bombs!

Phantastic? Dear reader, the word has not yet been coined for adequate description, or I would use it. If you have but grasped the tiniest part of this work, I have performed an impossible task with almost impossible tools. Or I am a fool.

You be the judge — but be prepared to *PROVE* your contentions. For I have proved mine with facts at hand.

Write: ATW, P.O. Box 3232, Riverside, California. There is an analogy of this, based on this work, in story form. "Staff Study," reaches beyond phantasy, but your brain will reel at the implication.



**ALFRED B. GLASER, NOVELIST
LECTURER, INSTRUCTOR
Address: ATW, P.O. Box 3232
Riverside, California**

**This book was scanned September 4, 2005
Image quality enhanced June 12, 2006**

**<http://www.how.org/fry>
<http://www.how.org/mh>**

**Alfred B. Glaser authored the Modern
Humans Comprehension Courses 1-4
Circa 1976, California, USA**