Bone CAL

CRV EVALUATION SHÉET

(September 1981)

TOM MCNEAR				
2 SEPT 82				

Responses to the following questions are necessary if we are to begin the evaluation of the CRV methodology currently in testing. The questions are an attempt to cover all aspects of the CRV methodology to date, but if you wish to provide added commentary, please do so. Please read through this questionnaire and respond to the items by circling the appropriate evaluative word, making additional comments if you wish. If you do not wish to respond to this questionnaire or any part of it, it will be valuable to know why; in such case please attach a write-up.

General

1. Did the training monitor provide sufficient lectures and orientation in advance of each beginning of practical exercises so that the specific features to be worked with could be grasped?

Yes Frequently Sometimes Infrequently No

2. Did you ever begin any practical exercises without sufficient understanding of the specific topics to be worked with?

Yes Frequently Sometimes Infrequently No

3. Aside from personal difficulties, was the working time and material support sufficient to provide general comfort for:

Orientation lectures? Yes Usually Sometimes No Practical exercises? Yes Usually Sometimes No

4. Were schedules provided for study, lecture and practical exercises enough in advance of the work to be undertaken so as to create for you a general sense of predictability?

Yes Usually Sometimes No Never

	in general ESP?
	Yes Usually Sometimes No
6.	Are the overall conditions at SRI conducive to continuing study and work with the CRV methodologies?
	Yes Usually Sometimes No
Stag	ge One
7.	Were you provided sufficient descriptions, lectures and orientation relevant to stage one in advance of practical exercises so as to enable you to grasp the novel fundamentals involved?
	Yes Usually Only Sometimes No Never
8.	Did you at any time begin practical exercises on any stage one phenomena without understanding what these phenomena were described as?
	Yes Usually Sometimes No
9.	The prime stage one phenomenon is hypothesized to be "ideograms." Were sufficient lectures and orientation delivered so as to enable you to conceive the nature of ideograms in advance of practical exercises? No
10.	During practical exercises did you encounter ideograms?
	Yes Usually Sometimes No
11.	If you encountered ideograms, can you give a percentage as to their occurrence, within the framework of the training designed to accommodate them? 100% 75% 50% 25% No
12.	At the beginning of practical exercises, did you find ideograms easy to produce?
	Yes Usually Sometimes No
13.	As a result of tutoring, were you able to gain increased command concerning the production of ideograms?

5. Are the overall conditions at SRI conducive to general study and work

Usually

Sometimes

No

14.	Do you feel that id	eograms	are releva	ant to so-call	ed "signals"?
		Yes	Usually	Sometimes	No
15.	Concerning ideogram opportunity of lect to yours, can learn attempting to deal	ures, on to ide	rientation ntify, prod	and practical	exercises similar
		Yes	Probably	Improbably	No
16.	Are ideograms easy	to produ	ıce?		
	(Yes	Usually	Sometimes	Never
17.	Is the appearance of coordinate represent	f ideogr ts?	ams predic	table, given	the stimulus the
	(Yes	Usually	Sometimes	No
18.	With reference to ty orientation delivere	pes of en	ideograms, able you t	were sufficie o identify the	ent lectures and em?
		Yes	No		
19.	At the beginning of types of ideograms e	practic	al exercis recognize	es, did you fi and deal with?	nd the different
		Yes	Usually	Sometimes	No
20.	Did tutoring in thes proficiency in recog	e diffe	rent types them?	of ideograms	increase your
	(Yes	No		
21.	Do you feel that ide to deal with aspects	ograms a	are an impo chic percep	ortant function otions of dist	n in attempting ant sites?
		Yes	Usually	Sometimes	No
22.	To the best of your presearch group that them in the manner de	has atte	empted to d	iscover ideogr	rams and work with
	,	Yes (N	No.		

23. If your answer to question 22 is "yes," please cite references.

Stage Two

24. The prime stage two phenomenon is hypothesized to be "sensations" perceived by psychical awareness. Were sufficient lectures and orientation delivered so as to enable you to conceive the nature of stage twos in advance of practical exercises?

Yes N

25. During practical exercises did you encounter stage twos?

Yes Usually Sometimes No

26. If you encountered stage twos, can you give a percentage as to their occurrence, within the framework of the training designed to accommodate them?

100% 75% 50% 25% No

27. At the beginning of practical exercises, did you find stage twos easy to produce?

Yes Usually Sometimes No

28. As a result of tutoring, were you able to gain increased command concerning the production of stage twos?

Yes Usually Sometimes No

29. Do you feel that stage twos are relevant to so-called "signals"?

Yes Usually Sometimes No

30. Concerning stage twos, do you feel that other individuals, given the opportunity of lectures, orientation and practical exercises similar to yours, can learn to identify, produce, and become competent in attempting to deal with them?

Yes Probably Improbably No

31. Are stage twos easy to produce?

Yes Usually Sometimes Never

32. Is the appearance of stage twos predictable, given the stimulus the coordinate represents?

Yes Usually Sometimes No

33. With reference to types of stage twos, were sufficient lectures and orientation delivered to enable you to identify them?

Yes No

34. At the beginning of practical exercises, did you find the different types of stage twos easy to recognize and deal with?

Yes Usually Sometimes No

35. Did tutoring in these different types of stage twos increase your proficiency in recognizing them?

Yes No

36. Do you feel that stage twos are an important function in attempting to deal with aspects of psychic perceptions of distant sites?

Yes Usually Sometimes No

37. To the best of your knowledge, are you aware of any other psychical research group that has attempted to discover stage twos and work with them in the manner designed within the CRV training effort?

Yes No

38. If your answer to question 37 is "yes," please cite references.

Aesthetic Impact

39. Aesthetic impact is hypothesized in the CRV training procedure to be a determining factor in allowing the viewer to acquire expanded and in-depth contact with the desired site: Were sufficient lectures and orientation delivered so as to enable you to conceive of the nature of aesthetic impact in advance of practical exercises?



40. During practical exercises did you encounter aesthetic impact?



41. If you encountered aesthetic impact, can you give a percentage as to its occurrence, within the framework of the training designed to accommodate it?

100% 75% 50% 25% No

42. At the beginning of practical exercises, did you find aesthetic impact easy to produce?

Yes Usually Sometimes No .

43. As a result of tutoring, were you able to gain increased command concerning the production of aesthetic impact?

Yes Usually Sometimes No

44. Do you feel that aesthetic impact is relevant to so-called "signals"?

Yes Usually Sometimes No

45. Concerning aesthetic impact, do you feel that other individuals, given the opportunity of lectures, orientation and practical exercises similar to yours, can learn to identify, produce, and become competent in attempting to deal with them?

Yes Probably Improbably No

46. Are aesthetic impacts easy to produce?

Yes Usually Sometimes Never

47. Is the appearance of aesthetic impact predictable, given the stimulus the coordinates represents?

Yes Usually Sometimes No

48. With reference to types of aesthetic impact, were sufficient lectures and orientation delivered to enable you to identify them?

Yes No

49. At the beginning of practical exercises, did you find the different types of aesthetic impact easy to recognize and deal with?

Yes Usually Sometimes No

50. Did tutoring in these different types of aesthetic impact increase your proficiency in recognizing them?

Yes No

51. Do you feel that aesthetic impact are an important function in attempting to deal with aspects of psychic perceptions of distant sites?

Yes Usually Sometimes No

52.	To the best of yo	our knowledge, are you aware of any other psychical
	research group th	nat has attempted to discover aesthetic impacts and
	work with them in	the manner designed within the CRV training effort?

Yes No

53. If your answer to question 52 is "yes," please cite references.

Analytical Overlays

54. Analytical overlays are hypothesized in the CRV training procedures to be determining factors that degrade correct perception and analysis of the content of signals. Were sufficient lectures and orientation delivered so as to enable you to conceive of the nature of analytical overlays, as so far discovered, in advance of practical exercises?

Yes No

55. During practical exercises did you encounter analytical overlays?

Yes Usually Sometimes No

56. If you encountered analytical overlays, can you give a percentage as to their occurrence, within the framework of the training designed to accommodate them?

100% 75% 50% 25% No

57. At the beginning of practical exercises, did you find analytical overlays easy to produce?

Yes Usually Sometimes No

58. As a result of tutoring, were you able to gain increased command concerning the production of analytical overlays?

Yes Usually Sometimes No

59. Do you feel that analytical overlays are relevant to so-called "signals"?

Yes Usually Sometimes No

60. Concerning analytical overlays, do you feel that other individuals, given the opportunity of lectures, orientation and practical exercises similar to yours, can learn to identify, produce, and become competent in attempting to deal with them?

Yes Probably Improbably No

	Yes Usually Sometimes Never
62.	Is the appearance of analytical overlays predictable, given the
	stimulus the coordinate represents?
	Yes Usually Sometimes No
63.	With reference to types of analytical overlays, were sufficient lectures
	and orientation delivered to enable you to identify them?
	June 20 year to identify them?
	Yes No
64.	At the beginning of practical exercises, did you find the different
	types of analytical overlay easy to recognize and deal with?
	Yes Usually Sometimes No
65.	Did tutoring in these different types of analytical overlay increase your
	proficiency in recognizing them?
	~ .
	Yes No
66.	
00.	Do you feel that analytical overlay are an important function in
	attempting to deal with aspects of psychic perceptions of distant sites?
	Yes Usually Sometimes No
67.	To the best of your knowledge, are you aware of any other psychical
	research group that has attempted to discover analytical overlay and
	work with it in the manner designed within the CRV training effort?

61. Are analytical overlays easy to produce?

On-Line Checks

69. On-line checks represent a system that can be utilized to determine the quality, on a daily basis, of a viewer's response to and control of signals versus noise. Were sufficient lectures and orientation delivered so as to enable you to conceive of the purpose of and methods for utilizing on-line checks?

68. If your answer to question 67 is "yes," please cite references.

Yes No

Yes

- 70. During the overall course of training, did you use on-line checks?

 Yes Usually Sometimes No
- 71. Did you find that it was important to use on-line checks for the precision purpose for which they were designed?

Yes No

72. If you can establish the relative importance of on-line checks, would you say they are:

Extremely Important Important Not So Important Important Only Sometimes Not Important

73. To the best of your knowledge, are you aware of any other psychical research group that uses a methodology similar to on-line checks?

Yes No

74. If your answer to question 73 is "yes," please cite references.

Training Course Conditions

75. Considering all the conditions surrounding the experimental CRV training course, do you feel that general progress has been made on the problem of developing a methodology that can cope with some of the well-known difficulties of psychic perceptions?

Yes No

76. It is hypothesized in the CRV training procedure that the different aspects of the CRV training methodologies can bring about a novel and precise control of signal versus noise. Does this appear at this stage to be the case?

Yes Usually Sometimes No

77. Do you feel that you responded with ease to the training information delivered to you?

Yes Usually Sometimes No

78. Did you encounter any difficulties that were not resolved?

Yes No

79.	If	you	answered	"yes"	to	question	78,	please	specify	the	difficulty(s)	•
-----	----	-----	----------	-------	----	----------	-----	--------	---------	-----	---------------	---

- 80. Reviewing the general actions of the training monitor:
 - (a) Was he ready with the materials so that confusions were reduced and daily progress was profitable?

Yes Usually Sometimes No

(b) When necessary, did the training monitor slow down the course to make sure no misunderstandings were present?

Yes Usually Sometimes No

- (c) Was the training monitor prompt with regard to schedule-keeping?

 Yes Usually Sometimes No
- (d) When upsets occurred, did the training monitor make attempts to resolve the upset?

Yes Usually Sometimes No

(e) Were upsets frequent?

Yes No

(f) If you answered "yes" above, please specify the general situations that led to the frequent upsets.

81.	Reviewing the general actions of yourself as a student:
	(a) Were you usually prompt with regard to class times?
	Yes Usually Sometimes No
	(b) Did you find it hard to be a student within the context of the training program as it was designed?
	Yes Usually Sometimes No
	(c) Did you ever refuse co-operation?
	Yes Usually Sometimes No
	(d) Did you participate and share in the following aspects of the training course?
	(1) House-keeping duties?
	(2) Site selection & preparation? Yes No
	(3) Course lectures? Yes No
	(4) Practical exercises? Yes No
	(5) Material support requirements? Yes No
	(6) Record keeping and analysis? Yes No
	(7) Report preparation? Yes No
	(e) Did you volunteer your help and assistance in the above?
	Frequently Often Sometimes Infrequently Never
82.	It is important to know about your relationships to other students:
	were these generally
	Excellent Good Fair Poor
83.	Concerning the training monitor, what would you say are:
	(a) The worst points? NONE

(b) The best points? HIS KNOWLEDGE AND TEACHING ABILITIES.

84.	Concerning	yourself	as	a	student,	what	would	you	say	are:
-----	------------	----------	----	---	----------	------	-------	-----	-----	------

- (a) The worst points?
- (b) The best points?

I FREL I AM LEARNING QUICKLY.

85. Concerning the overall training program, as it is designed, what changes do you think should be implemented and why?

NONE

Future Participation

- 86. Do you wish to continue in the experimental CRV training program?
- 87. If you answered "yes" above, please state why.

 I AM LEARNING.
- 88. If you answered "no" above, please state why.
- 89. Do you feel that the training program will be successful overall?

 Yes Probably Perhaps No

90.	Do you wish to continue with the training program? Please check those categories you would be willing to work within?
	Full time 9-4:30 X
	Part time 1/2 day
	Only for training exercises
	Record filing
	Site preparation
	Site evaluation
	Site analysis
	Housekeeping
	Map selection
	Map filing
	As a course instructor for new trainees
	As a site monitor
	As a training monitor
	As a tech training researcher
	Secretarial duties
	Report preparation
	Labor for materials support
91.	Would you rather just "do your psychic thing" and leave the present project committment to investigate the CRV training procedure to someone else? Yes No
92.	Do you think that basic R&D work should continue relevant to:
	(a) Tech training (Yes) No
	(b) Stage four Yes No
	(c) Stage five Yes No
	(d) AOLs Yes No

93.	Would you rather work in general experimental ESP studies, rather
	than engage in the specific and controlled methodologies implied by
	the CRV training course?

Yes Usually Sometimes No

94. Do you feel that your natural gifts at psi are being interfered with in any way by participation in the CRV training program?

Yes No

95. Do you feel that any part of the CRV methodology is harmful to you in any way?

Yes No

- 96. If you answered "yes" to question 94 or 95 please specify.
- 97. What specific aspects of the CRV methodology do you think should be changed?

NONE

98. What specific aspects of the CRV methodology do you think should not be changed?

I AM LEARNING QUICKLY, IT'S WORKING. DON'T CHANGE IT.

99. If you have comments that are not handled by the foregoing categories, please state them below.

CRV EVALUATION SHÉET

(September 1981)

Name .	Tom	MCNEAR	
Date	21 JUL	82	

Responses to the following questions are necessary if we are to begin the evaluation of the CRV methodology currently in testing. The questions are an attempt to cover all aspects of the CRV methodology to date, but if you wish to provide added commentary, please do so. Please read through this questionnaire and respond to the items by circling the appropriate evaluative word, making additional comments if you wish. If you do not wish to respond to this questionnaire or any part of it, it will be valuable to know why; in such case please attach a write-up.

General

1. Did the training monitor provide sufficient lectures and orientation in advance of each beginning of practical exercises so that the specific features to be worked with could be grasped?

(es) Frequently Sometimes Infrequently No

2. Did you ever begin any practical exercises without sufficient understanding of the specific topics to be worked with?

Yes Frequently Sometimes Infrequently (No)

3. Aside from personal difficulties, was the working time and material support sufficient to provide general comfort for:

Orientation lectures? Yes Usually Sometimes No Practical exercises? Yes Usually Sometimes No

4. Were schedules provided for study, lecture and practical exercises enough in advance of the work to be undertaken so as to create for you a general sense of predictability?

(Yes) Usually Sometimes No Never

	•
5.	Are the overall conditions at SRI conducive to general study and work in general ESP?
	Yes Usually Sometimes No
6.	Are the overall conditions at SRI conducive to continuing study and work with the CRV methodologies?
	Yes Usually Sometimes No
Stag	e One
7.	Were you provided sufficient descriptions, lectures and orientation relevant to stage one in advance of practical exercises so as to enable you to grasp the novel fundamentals involved?
	Yes Usually Only Sometimes No Never
8.	Did you at any time begin practical exercises on any stage one phenomena without understanding what these phenomena were described as Yes Usually Sometimes No
9.	The prime stage one phenomenon is hypothesized to be "ideograms." Were sufficient lectures and orientation delivered so as to enable you to conceive the nature of ideograms in advance of practical exercises?
	Yes No
10.	During practical exercises did you encounter ideograms? Yes Usually Sometimes No
11.	occurrence, within the framework of the training designed to accommodate them?
	100% 55% 50% 25% No At the beginning of practical exercises, did you find ideograms easy
12	AT THE DEPTHILIE OF PASSET

13. As a result of tutoring, were you able to gain increased command concerning the production of ideograms?

Usually

Yes

to produce?

Yes Usually Sometimes No

Sometimes

No

14.	Do you feel that ideograms are relevant to so-called "signals"?
	Yes Usually Sometimes No
15.	Concerning ideograms, do you feel that other individuals, given the opportunity of lectures, orientation and practical exercises similar to yours, can learn to identify, produce, and become competent in attempting to deal with them?
	Yes Probably Improbably No
16.	Are ideograms easy to produce? Yes Usually Sometimes Never
17.	Is the appearance of ideograms predictable, given the stimulus the coordinate represents?
	Yes Usually Sometimes No
18.	With reference to types of ideograms, were sufficient lectures and orientation delivered to enable you to identify them?
	(Yes) No
19.	At the beginning of practical exercises, did you find the different types of ideograms easy to recognize and deal with?
	Yes Usually Sometimes No
20.	Did tutoring in these different types of ideograms increase your proficiency in recognizing them?
	Yes No
21.	Do you feel that ideograms are an important function in attempting to deal with aspects of psychic perceptions of distant sites? Yes Usually Sometimes No
22.	To the best of your knowledge, are you aware of any other psychical research group that has attempted to discover ideograms and work with them in the manner designed within the CRV training effort? Yes No
23.	If your answer to question 22 is "yes," please cite references.
20.	11 Jour amoner to question as 15 Jes, prease cite references.

Stage Two

24. The prime stage two phenomenon is hypothesized to be "sensations" perceived by psychical awareness. Were sufficient lectures and orientation delivered so as to enable you to conceive the nature of stage twos in advance of practical exercises?

Yes No

25. During practical exercises did you encounter stage twos?

Yes Usually Sometimes No

26. If you encountered stage twos, can you give a percentage as to their occurrence, within the framework of the training designed to accommodate them?

100% 75% 50% 25% No

27. At the beginning of practical exercises, did you find stage twos easy to produce?

Yes Usually Sometimes No

28. As a result of tutoring, were you able to gain increased command concerning the production of stage twos?

Yes Usually Sometimes No

29. Do you feel that stage twos are relevant to so-called "signals"?

Yes Usually Sometimes No

30. Concerning stage twos, do you feel that other individuals, given the opportunity of lectures, orientation and practical exercises similar to yours, can learn to identify, produce, and become competent in attempting to deal with them?

Yes Probably Improbably No

31. Are stage twos easy to produce?

Yes Usually Sometimes Never

32. Is the appearance of stage twos predictable, given the stimulus the coordinate represents?

Yes Usually Sometimes No

33. With reference to types of stage twos, were sufficient lectures and orientation delivered to enable you to identify them?

Yes No

34. At the beginning of practical exercises, did you find the different types of stage twos easy to recognize and deal with?

Yes Usually Sometimes No

35. Did tutoring in these different types of stage twos increase your proficiency in recognizing them?

Yes No

36. Do you feel that stage twos are an important function in attempting to deal with aspects of psychic perceptions of distant sites?

Yes Usually Sometimes No

37. To the best of your knowledge, are you aware of any other psychical research group that has attempted to discover stage twos and work with them in the manner designed within the CRV training effort?

Yes No

38. If your answer to question 37 is "yes," please cite references.

Aesthetic Impact

39. Aesthetic impact is hypothesized in the CRV training procedure to be a determining factor in allowing the viewer to acquire expanded and in-depth contact with the desired site: Were sufficient lectures and orientation delivered so as to enable you to conceive of the nature of aesthetic impact in advance of practical exercises?

Yes No

40. During practical exercises did you encounter aesthetic impact?

Yes Usually Sometimes No

41. If you encountered aesthetic impact, can you give a percentage as to its occurrence, within the framework of the training designed to accommodate it?

100% 75% 50% 25% No

42. At the beginning of practical exercises, did you find aesthetic impact easy to produce?

Yes Usually Sometimes No

43. As a result of tutoring, were you able to gain increased command concerning the production of aesthetic impact?

Yes Usually Sometimes No

44. Do you feel that aesthetic impact is relevant to so-called "signals"?

Yes Usually Sometimes No

45. Concerning aesthetic impact, do you feel that other individuals, given the opportunity of lectures, orientation and practical exercises similar to yours, can learn to identify, produce, and become competent in attempting to deal with them?

Yes Probably Improbably No

46. Are aesthetic impacts easy to produce?

Yes Usually Sometimes Never

47. Is the appearance of aesthetic impact predictable, given the stimulus the coordinates represents?

Yes Usually Sometimes No

48. With reference to types of aesthetic impact, were sufficient lectures and orientation delivered to enable you to identify them?

Yes No

49. At the beginning of practical exercises, did you find the different types of aesthetic impact easy to recognize and deal with?

Yes Usually Sometimes No

50. Did tutoring in these different types of aesthetic impact increase your proficiency in recognizing them?

Yes No

51. Do you feel that aesthetic impact are an important function in attempting to deal with aspects of psychic perceptions of distant sites?

Yes Usually Sometimes No

52.	To the best of your knowledge, are you aware of any other psychical research group that has attempted to discover aesthetic impacts and work with them in the manner designed within the CRV training effort?
	Yes No
53.	If your answer to question 52 is "yes," please cite references.
Ana 1	ytical Overlays .
54.	Analytical overlays are hypothesized in the CRV training procedures to be determining factors that degrade correct perception and analysis of the content of signals. Were sufficient lectures and orientation delivered so as to enable you to conceive of the nature of analytical overlays, as so far discovered, in advance of practical exercises? No
55.	During practical exercises did you encounter analytical overlays? Yes Usually Sometimes No
56.	If you encountered analytical overlays, can you give a percentage as to their occurrence, within the framework of the training designed to accommodate them? 100% 75% 50% No
57.	At the beginning of practical exercises, did you find analytical overlays easy to produce?
	Yes Usually (Sometimes) No
58.	As a result of tutoring, were you able to gain increased command concerning the production of analytical overlays?
	Yes Usually Sometimes No
59.	Do you feel that analytical overlays are relevant to so-called "signals"
	Yes Usually Sometimes No
60.	Concerning analytical overlays, do you feel that other individuals, given the opportunity of lectures, orientation and practical exercises similar to yours, can learn to identify, produce, and become competent in attempting to deal with them?

Probably Improbably

No

61.	Are	analytical	overlays	easy	to	prod	luce?		
			Yes	Us	sua]	1 1 y	Somet	imes	Never

62. Is the appearance of analytical overlays predictable, given the stimulus the coordinate represents?

No

Yes Usually Sometimes No

63. With reference to types of analytical overlays, were sufficient lectures and orientation delivered to enable you to identify them?

64. At the beginning of practical exercises, did you find the different types of analytical overlay easy to recognize and deal with?

Usually

65. Did tutoring in these different types of analytical overlay increase your proficiency in recognizing them?

Sometimes

No

Yes No

66. Do you feel that analytical overlay are an important function in attempting to deal with aspects of psychic perceptions of distant sites?

Yes Usually Sometimes No

67. To the best of your knowledge, are you aware of any other psychical research group that has attempted to discover analytical overlay and work with it in the manner designed within the CRV training effort?

Yes No

68. If your answer to question 67 is "yes," please cite references.

On-Line Checks

69. On-line checks represent a system that can be utilized to determine the quality, on a daily basis, of a viewer's response to and control of signals versus noise. Were sufficient lectures and orientation delivered so as to enable you to conceive of the purpose of and methods for utilizing on-line checks?

Yes No

70.	During	the	overall	course	of	training,	did	you	use	on-line	checks?	
				Yes	H	sua 11 v	Some	time.	2	No	13	

71. Did you find that it was important to use on-line checks for the precision purpose for which they were designed?

Yes No

72. If you can establish the relative importance of on-line checks, would you say they are:

Extremely Important Important Not So Important
Important Only Sometimes Not Important

73. To the best of your knowledge, are you aware of any other psychical research group that uses a methodology similar to on-line checks?

Yes No

74. If your answer to question 73 is "yes," please cite references.

Training Course Conditions

75. Considering all the conditions surrounding the experimental CRV training course, do you feel that general progress has been made on the problem of developing a methodology that can cope with some of the well-known difficulties of psychic perceptions?

76. It is hypothesized in the CRV training procedure that the different aspects of the CRV training methodologies can bring about a novel and precise control of signal versus noise. Does this appear at this stage to be the case?

Yes Usually Sometimes No

77. Do you feel that you responded with ease to the training information delivered to you?

Yes Usually Sometimes No

78. Did you encounter any difficulties that were not resolved?

Yes No

79.	If y	you answered "yes" to question 78, pl	ease specify	the difficulty(s)
				·
		*		
80.	Revi	viewing the general actions of the tra	ining monito	r:
	(a)	and daily progress was profitable?		1.5
		Yes Usually So	metimes N	o
	(b)	When necessary, did the training mo		
		to make sure no misunderstandings w		
		Yes Usually So	metimes N	o
	(c)) Was the training monitor prompt wit	h regard to	schedule-keeping?
		Yes Usually So	metimes N	0
	(d)	When upsets occurred, did the train	ing monitor	make attempts
		to resolve the upset?		
		Yes Usually So	metimes N	o

(e) Were upsets frequent?

Yes No

situations that led to the frequent upsets.

(f) If you answered "yes" above, please specify the general

81.	Reviewing the general actions of yourself as a student:
	(a) Were you usually prompt with regard to class times?
	Yes Usually Sometimes No
	(b) Did you find it hard to be a student within the context of the training program as it was designed?
	Yes Usually Sometimes No
	(c) Did you ever refuse co-operation?
	Yes Usually Sometimes No
	(d) Did you participate and share in the following aspects of the training course?
	(1) House-keeping duties? Yes No
	(2) Site selection & preparation? Yes No
	(3) Course lectures? Yes No
	(4) Practical exercises? Yes No
	(5) Material support requirements? Yes No
	(6) Record keeping and analysis? Yes No
	(7) Report preparation? Yes No
	(e) Did you volunteer your help and assistance in the above?
	Frequently Often Sometimes Infrequently Never
82.	It is important to know about your relationships to other students: were these generally
	Excellent Good Fair Poor
83.	Concerning the training monitor, what would you say are:
	(a) The worst points? NONE

(b) The best points? VERY CO-OPERATIVE, AND KNOWLEDGABLE

- (a) The worst points? OUERCOMING NATURAL POJETS
 - (b) The best points? VERY WILLING TO LEARN
- 85. Concerning the overall training program, as it is designed, what changes do you think should be implemented and why?

NONE

Future Participation

- 87. If you answered "yes" above, please state why.
- 88. If you answered "no" above, please state why.
- 89. Do you feel that the training program will be successful overall?

 Yes Probably Perhaps No

90.	Do you wish to continue with the training program? Please check those categories you would be willing to work within?
	Full time 9-4:30
	Part time 1/2 day
	Only for training exercises
	Record filing
	Site preparation
	Site evaluation
	Site analysis
	Housekeeping
	Map selection
	Map filing
	As a course instructor for new trainees
	As a site monitor
	As a training monitor
	As a tech training researcher
	Secretarial duties
	Report preparation
	Labor for materials support
91.	Would you rather just "do your psychic thing" and leave the present project committment to investigate the CRV training procedure to
	someone else?
	Yes No
92.	Do you think that basic R&D work should continue relevant to:
	(a) Tech training Yes No
	(b) Stage four Yes No
	(c) Stage five Yes No
	(d) AOLs Yes No

93. Would you rather work in general experimental ESP studies, rather than engage in the specific and controlled methodologies implied by the CRV training course?

Yes Usually Sometimes No

94. Do you feel that your natural gifts at psi are being interfered with in any way by participation in the CRV training program?

Yes No

95. Do you feel that any part of the CRV methodology is harmful to you in any way?

Yes No

- 96. If you answered "yes" to question 94 or 95 please specify.
- 97. What specific aspects of the CRV methodology do you think should be changed?

- 98. What specific aspects of the CRV methodology do you think should not be changed?
- 99. If you have comments that are not handled by the foregoing categories, please state them below.