

we reconstruct the event. And the left brain sense, remote viewing is generally right brain, when you try to analyze with your left brain you've lost the target. And we put the event back together in the left brain sense, so it's usable to the client. That the client has information in terms of a concatenation of subject, verb object, and left brain sense that there's a written summary, there are sketches and detailed models if necessary. That's what the client wants. He doesn't care about the way we operate.

Targets are viewed as a continuum. If Dr. Laibow were my target, when we perceive Dr. Laibow in remote viewing we perceive her like this: where do you want it, from birth to death, some slice in between? The target is viewed as a continuum. We are outside the time when we remote view. The same way with a site.

Unconscious will. It's an amazingly powerful thing, we've found. After it's trained, it takes off by itself. It figures out the problems if our cues, the way we define a problem, are wrong, or we mess up or we do something sloppy, the unconscious gets it right anyway. Because it knows what our intent is, and it figures it out. Pretty. Sharp. It's a humbling experience to see that happen. (Next slide)

We approach the target with a very unique set of protocols. Through sensory impressions, through an ideogram, through a template with which we explore the target in a certain way. We constantly objectify the data in a set pace. It is probably a pace that's a function of the Schuman resonant frequency and brain waves, but nevertheless, as an applied RVer, we're moving pretty quickly across a page, filling a page up with data. Intangible ideas associated with a target. Tangible things about the target, in a room or otherwise. Targeting motions, activities, energies, all the sensory impressions are ordered, structured. And we place them down.

By doing this we can get closer contact with the target. We can use tactile kinds of things. Models, we can use clay or whatever we can do to get closer to the target. It looks like a math student at work if you weren't close enough, because they are really concentrating, and you don't want to break that concentration. They are in a specific state, a right brain state, they turn their attention to the problem at hand and they are concentrating. So it's a state of high attention.

I mentioned that the viewer must maintain a distance. Viewers work independently. They don't collaborate. Most of, some of my employees are out of my State, they are faxed a number, and they go to work. And they begin faxing me back data about ten hours later. A remote viewing session is approximately 45 minutes in duration. It can be longer

if we use alternative techniques. We used to explore out of body work, but out of body is generally spontaneous and if we do induce it, the students or the remote viewers become so awed by the experience that they will come back and say, you should have seen, it was great! And I'll say, excuse me, did you get the parts that we need for this flux gate, could you describe how the plasma flows from here to there? We've got to go back to get that.

Or, if the out of body was done correctly, and the orientation has been taught, the person knew where their feet was, they knew that the room next door was not the building down the street, and they brought all the tools that we teach, spatial orientation, tools that we teach in remote viewing with them, then we can come back and do a summary. So, most of the time we do traditional Ingo Swann techniques.

We can't work through inclemencies. Physical inclemencies. If someone has to go to the bathroom, if they are hungry, anything that shuts down the autonomic nervous system, that would interfere with one's regular work at any other office. Don't work that day, or take a break until you get over the inclemency, because you're not any good to me or to the company. (Next slide please)

OK, here's our limitations. We don't have time to get around these, to do the research yet. Probably around 1995 or 1996 we'll be able to work on these, but right now we'd have to call them limitations. Spoken words and numbers. If we are in this room and someone is listening to me speak, a remote viewer, only the ideas, every idea that I have, is attached to the words, can be grabbed and can be elaborated. Every single idea. We're looking at a blueprint for a biological weapon that Saddam Hussein has, we can't read the Arabic, but we can extract all of the ideas, the dimensions, what kind of metal we're talking about, the smells, the taste, the bond angles at the molecular level, determining whether the agent is anthrax or a nerve gas. We can do all those things, but we can't read writing or letters. Why? That's analysis, and speculative analysis. I'm not a theoretician.

That's analysis, and whenever we start to analyze, we're in a left brain operating mode, and we've lost the target. Specific geographic locations. Anywhere, we know no limit's. We've worked on targets all over the solar system and beyond. So long as someone gives us a coordinate, whether it's a NGC coordinate to a galaxy or a geographical coordinate on this planet or on Mars or the Moon. We go right there and we immediately begin to describe the site. But if you asked us where we are, we can't do the reverse. We can't tell you what lottery number is going to pop up tomorrow. We can do the future. We can

tell you what the person looks like who is going to buy the lottery ticket, where they're going to buy it, where they live, what kind of car they drive, but we can't do numbers or letters or spoken words. That seems to be analysis. (Next slide please)

There are only three more limitations. Orientation in time is difficult. We are not sure where we are at. If a paleobotanist gives me a piece of a flower fossil, and tells me to reconstruct that flower, we can do that. And we can reconstruct an animal or a person or a site for paleontologists. If we're asked where that is in time we have no idea. It could easily be the future or another planet as far as we're concerned. The references to the target are important, and we can follow associations forever, what did the animal eat, well it ate this, what kind of plant was that? What was it's respiration rate? We can do all those kinds of things, but don't ask us where we are in time.

Remote viewing is outside of time. The unconscious seems to be there. And in fact, in the absence of a theory, I like to think, personal opinion, not a working hypothesis, that the area from which we gather data, we like to call it the matrix, is outside of time or space, a single dimension. And we search it like an automated data base search. We go in with key words, well we train ourselves to do this. I'm sure that the unconscious can be trained to do any number of things. But this is how we use it for our business.

It's an automated data base search, very similar, where you go in with a key word that is immediately referenceable. If it's a general term you get general information but, although the unconscious can usually help you out with that and provide specific details. Any problem that requires analysis is outside the performance limit. We can't do that. We have back door approaches, sometimes. If you're trying to ask me, is this U-235 or U-238, I've got to know. I don't know how many orbitals, I can't count 235 versus 238 electron orbitals in this particular pellet. But I can ask you the question, is there a specific type of ore body from which the majority of 238 comes from?

And if you tell me, well, yes, in the Rocky Mountains we have this particular ore and it's usually the one that we use to make U-238. And I'll say, we'll be back. So what we do is we take that pellet as a reference point. We backtrack all through the production process. And we look, because the production process is not going to tell us, the customer's desires, we go back to the mining site where the ore body is produced. We sketch the mine, we sketch the area, we come back to the client and say, where is this? Oh, well that's the Rockies. It's U-238. So there are back door approaches, as there are in any other business, to our work too.

I mentioned that our viewer's personal thesaurus is the net sum of experiences. If the experience isn't in there, you can't preconsciously process it. But you still know how to express it. We train that in remote viewing. You must use simile or metaphor, but you push that off in a certain spot and you label it as such. This is not site data, this is simile or metaphor. (Next slide please)

These are recently completed contracts that we've done for people, to show you the kinds of work that we do. Our friends from CIS will recognize the first one. The Tunguska Event, probably many, any of the Forteans in the audience will recognize that too. The Soviet Phobos II imaged anomaly, of all things, that was done as a contract for TREAT. They took the results to the Soviet Union. That was spacecraft that was decommissioned, that was put out of commission in orbit around Mars in 1989. And we were commissioned to detail, and I mean detail, what happened to that spacecraft. It was an enigmatic object in that just before it went out of commission it photographed a very mysterious looking object. And that appeared on several of the last photographic frames.

Projected Technologies. We went out about 80 years and looked at advanced deep space propulsion systems for a laboratory, an aerospace laboratory. We showed them the kinds of prototype technologies that we will have to go through, we described the key individuals who will be in the laboratories that would produce those prototypes, the types of present existing national and global tech bases. That's the present technologies that would be spun off to produce these kinds of things. We're not looking at anything that's a quantum leap of technology, an idea that we don't have now. Although, I've come across some of those.

You might have seen the newspaper articles or heard about the next one. It made the Manchester Guardian and the world wide press. The United Nations asked for our help. The head of the chemical, biological inspection team in Iraq found out about some work that we did looking for weapons of mass destruction in Kuwait City. And they had told us that the CIA, the KGB, British Intelligence and all the intelligence agencies had bottomed out. And they came to us as a tactic of desperation. I don't like my company to be thought of as that, but nevertheless, they came to us. And we helped them out to locate Saddam Hussein's biological warfare stockpiles. His hidden stockpiles. And we did that for them.

Accuracy in Media, a United States organization. We looked at the KAL 007 shutdown. There were some inconsistencies that they wanted checked out. Atmospheric

Ozone Depletion. I'm sure that you can relate to this, my Russian colleagues. Projected consequences and remedial technologies. I can't talk about this. The client owns that information, but it's getting to the right people, in responsible decision making positions. Whether or not they do anything with the information. Actually, I can say this. There's nothing that can be done. That is one thing we learned. It's a very grim picture. Doesn't look like there are any remedies. That's as much as I can say about the contract. (Next slide please)

These are the things that we're doing at the moment. My colleagues and I are doing this, and we've agreed for German Public Radio to find Mozart's burial site. Evidently, no one knows where Mozart's buried, and it happens to be very important to some people. So we've agreed for German public radio to find Mozart's burial site.

Now, think for a moment. The familiarization course that we put our remote viewers through enable them to remote view. So, if one of my students ** the familiarization course, they could remote view, they would know how to acquire the target and produce data on Mozart's burial site. But it takes another twelve months of training, rigorous training, to enable a remote viewer to produce the exact distances and vectors and depths to enable us to find somebody's bones out in a field. Particularly if the bones have been blown up by World War II bombers or God knows what. And there's a lot of discipline involved. It's the difference between sport parachuting and halo (***) jumping in the military, military jumping. Or flying a combat mission or flying for sport. There's a world of difference. They're both flying, but one is a pinpoint mission.

Alien Technical Operations Site Study. That's our own in-house project that we have funding for, but we refuse to sell any of the results to the client. We've worked at that for ten years, and we treasure the information and the knowledge that we're getting from that. We have scientists working with us that are Nobel Laureates on site, at a UFO site that we found. It took us a long time to find it, and we're not about to allow someone to own that information. It shouldn't belong to anyone. And there will be a public announcement vis-a-vis that site in about a year.

In preparation, Alien Technology Transfer, an aerospace company has asked us to do some anti-gravity work. Some of the vehicles that are transiting the site that we have are very nice technologies. The people that build the B-2 bombers would like to have. We transfer the technology. We do the remote viewing on the insides and the outsides of hard vehicles. Some of them are not hard. We'll go into that later. And we attempt to establish the principles of

operation. If it's too unfathomable for us, or it's too advanced and we can't get a handle on technologies, we follow the spacecraft back to it's point of origin, we're locked on to the people. And we go back in time, sometimes very far, to the point where the discoveries were made, the basic discoveries. To a point where we, as remote viewers, and as engineers, can understand the principles when they were discovered. And then we work the history of the craft back up, and put it together that way, over time. Needless to say, it takes a while.

A Remote Viewing Survey of Martian Surface Anomalies. Yes, there are anomalies on Mars. Non-fractal, is the buzzword that the technical community uses these days. They're real, there are cities, and we are attempting to do a systematic survey. Our preference, although it's up to the client, Cydonia Crater, both above ground and below ground. That contract could be extended to looking at the Martians themselves, the race, where they went, what's going on there. There are some things going on on the planet now. (Next slide)

This will add some legitimacy. This was a newspaper article, this was headlines that our firm made on behalf of the U.N. I think that there are some people at the U.N. that are embarrassed that they had to do that. We did not publicize this. We're very discreet about client relationships. This got out to the press. In fact, Psi Tech was very quiet for the first two years of it's existence. It was only after this press debacle that we became known to the public. And that's probably why I am standing here, which is a good thing. (Next slide please)

We have detailed summaries that go to clients, but this is an example of my vice president. My vice president is a Ranger commander, a soldier's soldier. A very disciplined individual. This was one of his many sketches about where some of the stockpiles, this is chemical not biological weapons, were, beneath this training camp. And we provided the U.N. the points of hidden access to the training facility. We gave them sketches of clandestine biological warfare terrorist devices. Hair spray canisters that were going to be provided to terrorist organizations to be taken aboard aircraft, to be placed in airline terminals and turned on with a timer to spread nerve agent and anthrax out into the terminals. So, we're attempting to help federal agencies maintain a watch on that type of technology.

And my last vue-graph. If any of you are familiar with the UFO phenomena in the technical sense, you might remember Project Twinkle, way back in 1949, 1950? There was a green fireball seen in many parts of the world, particularly in New Mexico. ** twinkle, a green flash, a green fireball, actually. But things are not as they seem. That's an

artifact of the way in which that vehicle moves through different phases, through different relativistic speeds. And Twinkle turns out to be that vehicle "twinkling around" whatever it is interested in. But that was an artifact of it's motion, and an artifact of the way in which it traveled.

That's the type of technology we're supposed to be remote viewing to feed back to aerospace firms. It is not easy. It is really not easy. I'd rather go for Abu Nidal, myself. I think I've got way too much to cover on the UFO/ET arena, and I really don't want to take up any more time. Maybe we could, could we put that on hold?
(Exclamations from audience, end of tape of this session.)

end

Transcribed by R. J. Durant. Unintelligible words are indicated by asterisks. Paragraph construction is entirely arbitrary. Sentence construction and punctuation is often arbitrary. The speaker's remarks to the Vue-Graph operator are usually summarized as: (Next slide please).

Edward A. Dames

Remote Viewing Data on UFOs

TREAT IV

For those of you who don't have a pretty grounded understanding of remote viewing, perhaps I can catch you before you leave, if you didn't get the presentation the other day. But we won't have time to talk about remote viewing at this juncture, only about the ten years of data that we have against a particular in-house project. And that is against the so-called UFO problem or enigma.

I would like to point out one thing. My company is a group of technicians. We take raw data. We are not theoreticians, we don't develop theoretical models, we're not smart enough to do that. We are reconnaissance guys, technical observers, we gather raw data based on a client's needs, generally of a technological and scientific nature. We provide that to the point of contact in science and industry, and we let the smart guys piece that together, articulate the question to us. If we have not answered the mail or solved their problem using an interim report, they provide us with enough detail for us to refine our targeting. To get down to the detail that they need.

Let me be more specific and let me use instead of Saddam Hussein's weapons, let me use a spacecraft, a nuts and bolts spacecraft.

If the client is now a space firm, let's hypothesize, that needs to develop an anti-gravity device, and based upon the hypothesis that some of the vehicles that we are photographing or have been photographed and are now remote viewing, takes advantage of something that they may be able to fathom, then we provide them with the detail they need to try to reconstruct first the principles of operation, and then the actual device itself. So, that would be, what kind of symmetry does the device have when it's stopped. We're dealing with some hard vehicles that move at relativistic speeds. For a number of years we had a very difficult time remote viewing them. You can imagine what it is like to be used to seeing things the way we see it in this time reference, and have a remote viewer view something that's moving at relativistic speeds.

In fact, not being very smart guys, and not giving this information, providing it to anyone outside the group, we developed a sort of myopia. We did not, and we began to analyze our own material. So we said, well, if they can fly

through rock, they must be of another dimension. This is a buckaroo bonzai type of a dynamic. It turns out that that was wrong, because good theoretical physicists that we worked with in both the United States and Europe told us, based upon this type of flight, this type of dynamic, does not fit the Special Relativity Theory. These kinds of principles are perfectly normal in General Relativity. And this is how one would perceive something flying into a cavern. In some cases we have caverns underground, some on Mars, some on Earth.

Not big complexes, sort of parking spaces. And those are hollowed out areas with a platform. That is a place where something hard and physical lands. I'll discuss the abduction type of things in a moment. There we're dealing with very little that's physical and hard.

So we've got to turn, it's got to be a team effort. We're not an end-all. We can only provide the raw technical data. The scientific community has to put the pieces of the puzzle together to determine what we are dealing with. And that's our niche in the grand scheme of things.

The only information I have, and it is a lot of information, albeit, is the information that has been derived from specific targeting and/or recent client requests of specific vehicles. Work on the abduction side of the house is a different problem.

The hard vehicles are generally associated, I don't want to be too general, but the targets that we have looked at are transport vehicles. They are transporting material. I don't want to specify what at this time, because we don't want to interfere with certain activities. But they are transporting a type of resource, usually from Mars to Earth. Sometimes through time. I'll go into that, but not in great detail. They are very physical.

The abduction side of the house, we spent a long time looking at that, and we are dealing with things that I don't think we're going to fathom using the technologies that we have. We have a lot of hard data, but we don't have a lot of understanding.

Now, I could ramble on here and go through the whole chronology of this, how we, when we first found the tool, when we first developed this profound tool. We applied it toward everything that we could look at, willy nilly, one target to the next. An abduction here, a sighting here, a photograph, a *** photograph of a moving object here. But it was because of that lack of a systematic attack on the problem, we were not able to breach the lines and get an idea of what the agenda was. And we only now have some inklings into the agenda, but those were personal opinion.

That's not the data that we give to a client. The client is not interested in personal opinion. They're interested in the data that makes them money.

Can I build a machine with this data? Or can I answer a question if I'm a foundation or institute? Because we work for non-commercial entities, too. So, I'll tell you how naive we were, as former military intelligence and special operations officers, we felt that with this tool that was this very powerful, we could find the Southwest Base. After all, there's a Southwest Base, everybody says so, right? We're going to look for the Southwest Base. Easy target. And we were going to go in with guns blazing, shoot these little green guys, ask questions later, and move on!

No. Didn't turn out that way. We got educated along the way. We were not dealing with little green men. It's embarrassing to say that we ever thought that way, but we did. That's the military mentality. We got quite an education. So I'll allow you to ask me the questions, rather than trying to roll through a chronology that would take two days. Shoot.

Yes Virginia, there is no Santa Cl (laughter)

(Question from audience)

In the case of hard, We've had to go back and systematically attack the problem. Remote viewers have had to learn how to distinguish man made objects that almost always deal with combustion technology. Sometimes very esoteric, moving like a bat out of hell. But they fly back to a hangar. And they belong to us. We don't look at those because we're not supposed to. Bolides and meteors, we have to learn how to distinguish between ball lightning and a meteor. A remote viewer has to understand within five minutes that he's dealing with something natural, and if that's not part of the target. If for instance, we're given the picture, we have to be able to derive the data within a few minutes, so we don't waste our time on that.

And then we've begun to classify what has been heretofore described or alleged to be alien in nature. The UFO problem. You have to split it up into its component parts. We have solid vehicles, different types. We haven't looked at all of them because we can't do that. Remote viewing is hard work. You look at one thing at a time. You don't get interested in the surroundings. Think of a pilot on a bombing mission. Intensely thinking about that target. No time for scenery. Indeed, it is difficult to perceive bogies coming over your shoulder. Somebody else has to tell you about that. Your full concentration is on getting the job done. Well, that's the way remote viewing is, a good analogy.

We've looked at hard vehicles, we've looked at where they come from, where they're going to. The abductions are a totally separate issue. When we look at an abductee, something else is happening.

(Question from audience)

There are six employees in the company, I am one. The other five? There are two people, my vice-president is still a special operations officer in the Army. I'll give you two names, I won't give you the other three. There's a reason for that. Saddam Hussein has accused us of making him sick during Desert Storm. He accused my company of doing that. If for some reason he would find it in his heart to do us in, we'd like him to know that there's others where we came from. And we'll find at least his assassins. Major David Morehouse, Command and General Staff College, Fort Leavenworth, Kansas. Mel Reilly, Scandinavia, Wisconsin. That's all you're going to get. You don't mind if I protect my employees' privacy, do you?

(Question from audience)

We haven't studied groups. We look at targets, and then we associate what is at the site or the place with that target. If we look at the particular (interrupted by speaker) Yes. On hard vehicles, transport type of vehicles that we've followed to places, when the vehicle stops, it doesn't need an access way into an underground chamber, a hollowed out chamber. It just kind of appears there. Actually, it flies through. It doesn't appear to be beaming down or anything. It moves through the earth at relativistic speeds, it stops and parks, cools down for a moment, underground. In places on Mars and on Earth.

There are some small, what appear to be androids, they're living, but they don't have the same consciousness that we do. They're smart, and they move around to service the vehicle, unload certain things. Occasionally we see humans involved. But the humans are in a catatonic state. Almost an enslaved state. I'll talk more about that in a moment. That's not to say that this seems to be malign, in a general sense.

There are one or two crew members that exit the vehicle. These individuals are very interesting to me. It reminds me of being a soldier in an underdeveloped country. The attitude that one has, generally, is one of smugness, looking down one's nose at the indigenes. Because, if you guys were so good, I wouldn't be here. Yes, I'm here on a peace mission, but I'm still better than you. I'm packed with weapons, and you've got nothing, and I've conquered your country, but I'm here on a peaceful mission.

It's that same type of attitude that we detect from these pilots. And they're about five feet high, perhaps this high, have sharp features, narrower face, sharp ears, sharp nose. We have pretty good sketches of them. They're hairless. And they're downright cocky. They have a superior attitude vis-a-vis humans. And we've looked at their relationship and association with humans, in an intangible sense. That's how we explore the target. Association of ideas. Let's ask some more questions about the hard guys and the hard nuts and bolts stuff before we talk about the abductions.

(Question from audience)

The question was, do these people have empathy, do they experience love? We looked at a couple of different humanoids. They seemed to be different races, but that's speculation. Who knows what genetic engineering can do? When we follow a vehicle back to its home world, and usually we have to go through a set of relay stations, box like large structures on airless worlds, that seem to act as reference points or transformers, really relay stations is a good term. If we don't have the technical term to express it, and of course we don't, we're dealing with an advanced technology, we must rely on analog, simile, metaphor, allegory in some cases, but the remote viewer labels it as such. And that is telling us, I don't have the word to express this correctly, the closest concept that I have at the preconscious level, preconscious processing, because there's no analysis, is relay station, move on to the next topic.

Yes, in fact, in the early 1980s we looked at some domestic scenes, if one might call it that, and just to get an idea of ergonomics, I mean, what's a cup like? Yeah, they're a little bit different form than we are, they're, how do they drink, and what do their utensils look like? What do their chairs look like? And how do they make love? Those were interesting things. And by the way, when a remote viewer works a target, once again, the target is only given a reference number. The remote viewer has no idea of what the target is. He works in the blind.

So, when you have a remote viewer reporting a room that is unlike any room that he has ever seen, we don't care about his comments, we want to know what's in the room. Then you get some surprised remote viewers later, when they review their work. Because they're moving too fast to look at the scenery when they're working themselves.

Yes, they have, the best way to describe their culture is an act of love that we saw, of love making, what we interpreted to be love making, made me feel more like an animal than I already feel. It was that beautiful. It was

that esthetic. In fact, it was a moving experience, and I can extrapolate from something like that or guess that their culture is probably refined. I may be speculating, but I have a gut feeling that one could say that they probably are a fairly peaceful people.

I don't know if they have a sense of beauty. I never explored that in their minds. We can enter a person's mind, we can get into a dream state, we can extract the ideas, and we've done that in several cases. We have not done it for aliens, for humanoid aliens.

(Question from audience)

We don't know where we are in space. We have a German contract now, a possible contract, where we were given a galactic coordinate, using New General Catalog coordinates, second star from the right. Actually we're only given the Solar System. I think, what the client wants is to know whether there are planets around a particular star. I'm guessing, because he hasn't told me. It's a German client. Unless we're given a pinpoint, we can go there immediately. We acquire a target immediately. We're taught to do that. But when we follow something back, we have as difficult a time finding out where we are in space as we do locating a terrorist in a city. We can sketch a room, the city, the guards, the state of mind of the guards, the state of mind of the terrorist. Those kinds of things. But we're not sure exactly what city we're in. Hopefully, the client will know. And so we provide the finished pieces of the puzzle.

(Question from audience)

The first question is, do the beings recognize that they are being remote viewed? The humanoids do not. They are just like you and I, a person. You can't tell that you are being remote viewed. We go through, even if you are entering a person's mind, we go through the back door, through the unconscious. And we download ideas through the back door, so to speak. And only in two cases in ten years have we ever become aware that someone, that a human was aware that we were remote viewing them. Aliens, humanoid aliens, do not know.

The, what we have termed "transcendentals," which are the formless beings associated with the abductions, and there is a type of technology that they have, we call it transcendental technology, they know. They more than know. They seem to be able to edit and effect, and this we were not comfortable with this, we did not like it. We were cock sure of ourselves, and never suspected that something would be able to interfere with this powerful tool. But this can. When we look at abductions and we look at the system that's

behind the abductions, they are very much aware of what we know, what we're doing, and what we're going to do. So that's the answer to that question.

(Question from audience)

They can edit data, and they can interfere to the point where if we look at certain things. For instance, there seems to be a group of human beings, just people like you and I. Only two hundred or three hundred of them on the globe. They're somehow in consort with this system. They're somehow in contact. And there's a physical vehicle in a deep space orbit that is associated with this idea. We haven't looked at that intensively.

(Question from audience)

There seem to be several levels of transcendentals. The human beings that I am talking about, the human people, and they are strictly human, are associated with the transcendentals. One of the points of association is a large spacecraft in deep orbit. Very far in deep space. I don't want to talk about that at this juncture, but these humans are interesting. When we try to track them down, in fact, I had a colleague once, we knew the humans carry around with them devices, small, technical devices. And I had someone from the military-industrial complex say, let's go in there and knock them out and get that device. And I think that may be the reason why when we try to pinpoint these individuals, we can't. We go zinnng! off the target somewhere else. And that was a long time ago, we're smarter and more mature now, and we don't do things like that anymore because we know it's dumb.

(Question from audience)

(Question about validity of John Lear underground bases, and about the "hollow earth" ideas)

I don't know anything about underground areas of the earth, in those terms. We do know that there are something like fallout shelters. Its like an insurance policy. They are something that would appear to be a fallout shelter type of an environment, where resources are stockpiled and there are some, what appear to be alien forms, humanoid forms, in a way, I'll elaborate upon this in a moment. There's a Martian connection, we haven't, and I don't want to talk any more about it because it is speculative at the moment, we need to do a lot more work. Seems to be a type of a preserved being. We don't know if they're humans, or whatever. They're in a preserved state, and in underground chambers. The same places where these cargo ships land, and I use "land" of course, loosely. And that we have information on. The other thing about the hollow earth idea, you got me.

(Question from audience) (sense of question is, do you know if these are indigenous Martians, or are they only using that planet?)

Correct. We don't know yet. We can look at the forms, but we haven't gone back in time as we do with the vehicles. If the principles of operation aren't understood for a vehicle, we have to go back to a home planet or place in some cases. We don't move in time, we just shift our vision. Remember, it doesn't seem to be any movement at all. Like a data base search. Go back in time and until the discovery was made on that planet, download those principles and those minerals, those materials, get that data, provide that to the theoretical physicists so that they can reconstruct the theoretical principles first, and then move forward to reverse engineer the technology, as best they can, if they can.

(Question from audience) (ability to distinguish aliens from humans)

The transcendentals, although they are formless, they seem to be able to manifest themselves in every way, shape or form. Anything. I mean, this microphone, a person, dog, you name it. We've seen everything. There are several agenda here. One agenda is purely operational, which we can relate to as being former military. One agenda is very altruistic in terms of mankind, although it would seem not to be if you look at this slice in time only. And a few other sort of agendas.

The transcendentals, one of the agenda appears to be, based on our data now, not speculation, teasing us. Teasing with a purpose. Toying with us, playing with us. The scientific group that I work with know that we're being patronized, to some degree. We're being, they're facilitating us. They're feeding us what we want. We think we know why that is, and there's a contact site that we have as a result of remote viewing. We've finally answered the mail and got the ground truth the scientists wanted. But we have still got to determine what is going to happen at the site.

Whatever it is that we're dealing with, and it seems to be both the transcendentals and these other federation types, or whatever you want to call them. At some levels they interact. They call the shots at the site. We don't know what they're going to do. Our agenda is, guys, we're not smart enough to figure out all of this stuff. If you want to help, we found you, or you let us find you. If you want to help we're certainly asking for help. If you don't, personally, I'm going away, I don't have time for this, I've work to do. Its great entertainment. I mean, microwave,

ultrasonic beams through your head. Lights, I'll read you a summary of one of my remote viewers, one of the technical summaries of things that happened at the site, later on. That's all fine and dandy, but I can't do anything with it. I have places to go and things to do as a businessman.

(Question from the audience) (What effect would a holographic projection have on remote viewing? Would you recognize it as such?)

No. We wouldn't be able to distinguish one from the other. Unless we happen to catch it. If we explored a place. If we didn't go deep enough, to us it would be like rolling across a valley and looking at a particular scene, remote viewing wise. If it were a true holographic projection, and we remote viewed that event, we would definitely distinguish it as not material. And we would be able to say that there is a projector. We would always be able to do that. I need to talk about the transcendentals here in a moment.

(Question from the audience) (Doesn't this activity seem to be increasing, and with remote viewing, can you find a point towards which the aliens are working?)

Is the activity increasing, and can we detect whether or not there will be a certain point where we have contact in the future?

(When they feel that they have achieved...)

When they feel that they have achieved. We don't know what they are trying to achieve. We only have inklings about their agenda. It's our hope, at least my hope, that we can learn more, at first it was scientific data, but just more knowledge. Knowledge for knowledge's sake. Even that gets boring after a while. That was our agenda at looking for contact. We don't know what their agenda is. We only know what their activities are.

(Question from audience)

No. What I said was, we had to learn how to distinguish human made UFOs from truly alien UFOs. All of the human made UFOs at this point in time, and there are some very exotic ones, seem to have associated with them in almost all cases, combustion types of processes. Number one. Number two is, except in a couple of instances, they always come back to Earth. They always land. And they always move into a hangar. At that point we say, whoops! Don't want to look at that. That's not aliens. So we move on to other targets.

(Question from audience)(The site that you were

referring to, who will be the representatives of Earth at that contact, and when will it take place?)

The where is in the American Southwest, there's probably other places, I don't know, that's the one we found. You exploit your data. It's our feeling that the scientific community must validate this phenomenon. It's not going to take an Ed Dames, you know, or any of us. We're just not going to do it, folks. It's got to be scientists. They're the guys we've chosen as architects of the future. They're the ones that have to validate the phenomenon first. First they have to say it exists, in order to get things moving. And those are the paths we've chosen.

I think that one of the agenda that we've noticed is that kind of idea being accommodated. Every time a scientist brings a new instrument, and some of them are pretty exotic, to the specific site for measurements of ongoing technical activity, that's an objective, real thing. Then, we think we're going to get bells and whistles, no matter what the instrument is. And we have some basis for saying this, from work that we've done in the past in other areas. To the point where I'm speculating. Where a threshold number of scientists, very prominent scientists and engineers, will have collected the data that they need for them as intelligent men to say, by God, these kinds of observations and measurements are definitely correlated with what have heretofore been recognized as UFO activity. We can validate the phenomenon. Now let's move on to other agenda.

Sadly enough, though, you'll find that scientists, many of them, don't want to move on to other agenda. They just want to keep making measurements and recordings. Then it's time, once we validate it, to move into the area of the general public.

(Question from audience) (Is the suggestion, then, that what these individuals are doing in performing their measurements is establishing a kind of a preliminary frame of reference which they in a sense will have, to make sense out of future manifestations of future agenda?)

I think they know what they're doing. The question is, I think everybody heard it. They know what they're doing. They are a heck of a lot smarter than us. We know they have access to every level of our mind. If they can do brain wave entrainment, make Manchurian Candidates out of people, they know what's going on. We're not kidding each other. Come on guys, ante up or should we go away, or what, what do you want us to do? That's my feeling about it. I'm not sure we're in as much control of that contact site as we think. We're really the ones under observation there, I feel. Not the UFOs.

(Question from audience) (About the 200-300 humans working with the aliens)

There is some type of a working relationship between the two to three hundred humans, that's a ball park figure by the way, remember, we can't do numbers. We'd have to look at one at a time. But there's an agreement, a working relationship, perhaps more, between the humans and an agenda, a federation type of agenda. I think it's curious that Carl Sagan has said that, gee, there's a lot of religious association with these things, maybe jokingly, I think it was tongue in cheek, he put forth the hypothesis that maybe other races have missionaries. And I thought it would be funny if people were plucked from this planet, trained as missionaries and gone back for covert operations. A lot of this stuff is covert. It's covert, clandestine in its nature. They're not coming out and giving us what we want on a platter. We've got to work for it, and I'm afraid if they did give it to us on a platter, we would get down on our knees to the Beings of Light and bow. Or, we would say, well, can you solve this problem, too? And this one and this one? So that's speculation and personal opinion. I have to associate.

(Question from audience) (What evidence do you have about their mission and their purpose?)

I don't want to speculate on their purpose. I would rather let other people do that. My mission is to provide people with data. You guys work out the, you get what you need, as scientists and engineers. You get the information that you need, and as sociologists, take those things and you work out the models.

(Question from audience)

The people that I work with are some of the smartest people in the world. And they've got some good models. And yes, when they get it together there will be a special body set up and the details will be released. That is one of the reasons, by the way, my company does not sell this particular project. This in-house project to anyone. Because if we do that, the client owns the information. We did a contract for TREAT, for Dr. Laibow, about a year ago. On the demise of the Soviet Phobos II spacecraft. That was a Martian probe that was decommissioned somehow, or went awry in orbit early in its orbit around Mars. I'd really like to talk about that, but I can't. Dr. Laibow owns that information. She was our client. I'm a businessman, don't forget. Not an institute, a foundation or a center.

(Question from the audience) (Are the aliens adversarial?)

I don't know if they can defend each other. I have no information on that. We have seen curious things. Sometimes, when an operational vehicle, for instance, there's one class of vehicle that seems to be on patrol constantly. They do what has been termed "bean counting." When you count each other's countries' nuclear warheads or MIRVs, that's called bean counting up at strategic levels. And you have patrol vehicles hovering over submarines, hovering over storage sites, nuclear storage sites. Always keeping tally on how many weapons are there and doing certain other things. And that's a physical vehicle that's hard to capture on film. It's only on one frame, and it's gone. When you remote view it seems to be longer. But again, we face the differences in framework problem. Sometimes those vehicles are shadowed by those mirror-like vehicles associated with the, what we call transcendentals. And there seems to be a feeling of, oh, traffic cop, or something like that, over our shoulder. It's a chase plane type of a thing that's very different, very different indeed. There's nobody in it, and yet it's a tangible bubble of something moving through the atmosphere behind this physical vehicle. And we pick up feelings of a little bit of annoyance, having to look over one's shoulder as if "Boy, we could take some shortcuts here if we wanted to, but there's this traffic cop behind us and we can't." That's the only thing I can say about their relationship at that level. Otherwise, there seems to be an interconnection at other levels, but we haven't articulated it by any means.

(Question from audience) (Have you looked at crop circles?)

We've done a cursory look at crop circles. All that we have to date, and it's just a cursory look, we think, we think, based on earlier data, and we can't state that there's an association, we haven't done the work yet, is, we have seen creating, rolling down in the night time, one or two spheres about that big, a bluish whitish tinge. We haven't watched them, I was talking with George Wingfield about this last night, and he asked if we had seen them do any of the circular movements, and we haven't. We've seen them roll down a line of wheat, what appears to be fairly slowly, although we haven't computed the frames of reference yet. And the wheat just bends. One of the things that we would like to do is look down at the molecular electromagnetic level to see what's going on when the wheat bends. That's the kind of things that we do best. We followed these spheres back up and out, to an airless world. It's another place. I don't know if it's a moon, an asteroid. I just don't know. And they settled down or nestled down in a type of nest. It's a metallic type of a dish. I don't know what it is. We haven't looked at it. And there seems to be 30 or 40 of these spheres, all bouncing around on this dish. And that's the only work we've done.

(Question from audience) (Is this contact site you referred to a place you access physically, or through remote viewing?)

We found it via remote viewing, and we were helped in that it seems, almost as if we were allowed to see it. We went there, took a lot of work to find the place on the ground. We went there and a lot of fireworks began to happen. So we knew we had an active site. And we've been back on subsequent trips to make a number of measurements, and we're continuing that now.

(Question from audience)

No, no, we go back physically. Remember, we're not going to go any further. We're just not going to get any further on this problem unless scientists validate it. Let's not kid ourselves. So we take the scientists back, with a lot of equipment, we set up the equipment, physically at the site. All this junk. I sit back with a six pack of beer and say, "Here they are!" And actually, I am forced to man some of the equipment. And then they get the measurements that they need, and I say, "Guys ready?" And then we go home. So, that's what they need. When that's finished. We don't know what's going to happen, at the site. My biggest fear, has anyone seen Howard the Duck? My biggest fear is I'm going to be sitting there like Howard the Duck, and it's going to be a totally different agenda. That goes through my mind, and I say no, these guys are serious, and I'm sure they wouldn't mess around like that.

(Laughter)

(Question from audience)

No, I said preserved humanoids. I do not know if (interrupted by comment from audience) They're in a type of a goop. In a type of a jelly-like substance. They are fed. Its not like they're in suspended animation. And it's not like their life systems have stopped and they're truly hibernating. They are kept alive. They're conscious. Their state is pathetic. Absolutely pathetic. It's revolting. The minerals and the things that they're fed with, it will make you throw up if you are a remote viewer. Because you've got all the sensory impressions of being in this goop. We don't know what they are. There's a Mars connection. We do know that. But we haven't articulated the extent or the nature of the connection yet. Whether they're human, whether they're the Martians, whether they're the aliens that had an accident, I don't know. We just have a lot more work to do.

(Question from audience)