

THE
9-11
OMISSION
REPORT

FINAL ADMISSION OF THE NATIONALIST ATTACK
UPON THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA



Jonathan Barlow Gee



“the 9-11 Omission Report”

or

“the Truth about 9-11”

by:

Jonathan Barlow Gee

Section 1::

“preamble”

::: Preliminary Assertions :::	3
::: personal background of the author :::	4
::: assumed nature of reality :::	7

Section 2::

“anti-thesis”

::: re-iteration on the primary hypotheses :::	13
::: initial analysis of preliminary assertions :::	18

Section 3::

“primary thesis”

::: Initial Solutions :::	45
::: Preliminary Findings :::	52
::: Further Findings :::	54
::: Primary Findings :::	61
::: COG WAR GAMES :::	67
::: General Findings :::	76
::: Conclusive Findings :::	86

Section 4::

“synthesis”

::: General Conclusions :::	100
::: Specific Conclusions :::	108
::: The Bottom Line :::	114
::: Final Solution(s) :::	117

<u>Appendix::</u>	120
-------------------	-----

Section 1:: "preamble"

::: Preliminary Assertions :::

First let me preface all that I'm about to say with the caveat of what my own "beliefs" or "theory" of the actual events on and leading up to 9-11 are.

I "believe" (or, it is my "theory") that:

A) there were no passengers on the planes and only as few workers as could not be avoided in the buildings that day. I believe the estimate of thousands of people killed (exactly how many thousand depending entirely on who you ask) is a complete lie.

B) the planes were remote controlled. For the actual collisions, small remotely operated guide-planes were used for exact targeting. (the UFO seen near the WTC, the "missile" in DC, and the "white jet" in Shanksville all attest to this fact.)

C) the WTC buildings were destroyed by bombs planted inside the building prior to the event. This implies conspiracy. In truth, the people who planted these bombs inside were probably the former Iraqi Royal Guard, brought over after Gulf War I, who also orchestrated the OK City bombing in the late 90's. But this only provides a "fall-back" story of collusion between Al-Qeida and Iraq under Saddam that is not true either.

D) The events were entirely orchestrated by parties inside the CIA commanding the rest of the intelligence "community" and defense dept. via their "man in the White House" the coup-de-tat dictator Pres. W. Bush. Consider that, under GHWB, our current president's father, the CIA funded: 1) the Afghan Mujahadeen who became the Taliban and Al Qeida, 2) Saddam Hussein's Iraqi National Guard, and were in turn themselves funded largely by the sales of crack cocaine imported and processed from S. American cocaine (under Noriega), poppy grown in war-torn Afghanistan, imported and processed into heroin, and by the House of Saud, the potentates of the worst human rights violaters around today beside China, and oil-rich Saudi Arabia. Also note that, since GWB's assension as appointed dictator, the people who had worked for his father GHWB in the CIA to orchestrate these transfers of funds have all gotten appointed to the highest positions of political authority in the land of the "free." Big. Red. Flag.

E) the faction within the CIA in charge of 9-11 are actually fascists and NAZI-collaborators both during and after WWII, and they are acting in collaboration with a vast network of double-agent spies and "sleeper" agent politicians all funded off Swiss gold stolen during WWII, which was, itself, in turn orchestrated by globalist banking families as a means of furthering the social control-method of capitalism. (The old bankers also funded the Soviet communists though, so don't think of this as only a "right-wing" conspiracy either.)

F) The banking families, in turn, where only employing the methods of private-interest consolidation of public wealth via sales (as opposed to taxes) that was employed via the Knights Templar (actually funded via the national rulers by the Vatican) during the Crusades, which in turn was then used to justify the Inquisition. This method of "killing the messenger" was employed to discredit the non-Zionist Diaspora (by the Holocaust), Nationalised Socialism (ie. Leninism), "fascism" (ie. gov't supply-side spending, later used by Reagan to bankrupt Russia by glutting the defense industry) as well as far-east "Imperialism" (ie. non-economic dictatorships) all in one fell swoop during WWII. In the Cold War they managed to discredit "Sovietism" as "collectivism" while making "Americanism" out to be "individualism" when in truth the opposite was true. The same effect has been used "covertly" (going un-covered by the US media) since then

by the CIA to overthrow democratic politicians and install loyal political supporters of US business interests. I "believe" the same group has been behind all of these atrocities between the Crusades then and the current "war" in Iraq now. Moreover, this is only an active wing of a group whose passive wing was simply more dominant 2000 years ago than it is now. The group that the "active" (sun-crazed destroyers) wing and the "passive" (dark-ages, Maudner-minimum) wing belong to is a group of scientists that, and again this is only my "belief" or "theory," pre-existed the world-flood(s) at the end of the last ice-age (ie. originally the first homo-sapien chieftens, later called "Atlanteans," who we considered "primitive" and yet who erected the massive megaliths of that era no less than the highly civilised empire of Old Kingdom Egypt their own.)

G) these ancient conspirators discovered the existence of a global energy grid that has allowed them to mind-control the masses and to time-travel themselves. I call this elsewhere the "Enochian Communications System" because it was first understood by homo-sapiens at the time of this biblical patriarch (ie. before the world-flood) and because it is based on John Dee's "Enochian" system as a framework for combining all the existing world religious "systems" into one, based not on myth and faith but on an extremely long calendar and a very high form of science (essentially, the study of esoteric systems for its own sake).

Now, having stated my essential beliefs about the origins and motives of the "conspiracy" behind 9-11 (best implied, to my mind, by any proof of collusion with intra-national "sleeper" cells, such as involved in the 93 WTC bombing), I'd like to recount a personal story about it, and then I'll conclude by saying how long before it occurred that I began studying the type of trends in our apparent, shared reality that I believe are truly those indicated as the full extent behind this "conspiracy." Afterall, whether we can account for 9-11 as either a "conspiracy" or simply an act of national defense systems ineptitude (ie. the stupidity of GWB reading the "goat" story during the attacks), it is only shamefully indicative of how our world actually "works" in "reality." I'll go on with my experience in the next post, and then return to conclude on the greater reality of the situation after that. Hopefully I'll be able to get it all written up tonight before Aquarian replies in the "Occupation 101" thread to my calling him a hypocrite for responding there instead of about 9-11.

Wish me luck.

::: personal background of the author :::

Again, what I'm about to say requires a moment's prefacing about my life leading up to 9-11, which is probably the single most life-changing event to have occurred to society during my life. It didn't much effect me, but it has definately altered the entire world around me.

My most life-changing event occurred in 1999, but let me back up just a little bit before that. The first time I encountered the name "Aleister Crowley" was in 1996. My g/f (yes that one) had just moved to Indiana and I had two or three study-hall classes every other day of my dual-enrolement classes. I was also in AP english and language classes, had been on the school paper and was in the school literary mag. Midway through the semester I got expelled from AP language, my g/f moved away (I'd met her when she had been dating my then-best friend), and that was the year I learned about Aleister Crowley. I copied down his diagram of the "Cabala" from the time-life series of "Mysteries of the Unknown" (that had been published during the Reagan administration).

When my parents got divorced (also under Reagan), the Starwars RPG became my "security blanket." I had been incredibly depressed since then before I met my g/f. When she left, I quickly began clinging to taking notes from those books and others from the same genre ("speculation"). What does all this have to do with 9-11? I'm getting to that. By the summer of '99 I'd survived a deep depression following breaking up with my g/f by studying esoteric literature and in 1998

I'd dropped out of my model UN and Advertising classes in college to pursue doing so full-time. Since then, I have only stopped this course of study for any long time once. That was from 1999 until 2001. In 2000 I went through the worst depression of my life, spending the entire year on the couch. This followed what happened to me in 1999 and what happened to the world in 2001. These are VERY significant years though, remember. They represent the end of one whole 1,000 year cycle and the beginning of another. Before, we could only say it had been 1,000 and some number of solar orbital cycles since Jesus was killed. Now it has been 2,000 whole earth orbits around the sun (of 365 rotations per each orbit) since Jesus died. And that is still the most recent social event to have led to a global change in the paradigm. Imagine such a thing occurring in your lifetime and you will understand 9-11. But first, let me say what happened to me in 99 briefly, then I'll describe my day on 9-11.

In 1999 I read two books that changed my way of thinking about things drastically. One was "the Book of the Law" by Aleister Crowley and the other was a translation of the Sefer Yetzirah by Aryeh Kaplan. Crowley inspired me to study magick (essentially Fantasia-like sorcery) and Kaplan's commentary on the Sefer Yetzirah inspired me to study theoretical physics. I have since studied both of these thoroughly and combined all I know into a single unified system.

After I finished reading the Sefer Yetzirah, I went to a movie. I went to see "the Blair Witch Project" which was out on the same weekend as "the Ninth Gate" (a movie about Satan and the Book of Revelations), "Bringing Out the Dead" (by Scorsese, director of "Last Temptation" and "Kundun," a movie about ghosts), "Stir of Echoes," also about ghosts (the following weekend "the Sixth Sense" was released), "Stigmata" (about a woman being possessed by Christ) and "End of Days" (about Arnold Schwarzenegger saving the world from the devil). It all seemed so simple to me then. Ancient prophecies foretold of our modern movie screens, I thought, as I walked into the theatre, passing a poster for Bruce Willis in "Breakfast of Champions" by Kurt Vonnegut on the way in.

The Blair Witch Project is about three kids getting lost in the woods. One of them looked like my ex-best friend Mike, one of them looked like our mutual girlfriend, and one of them looked like me. I sat there, watching them begin their "school project" on the "Blair Witch" (all shot cinema verite like a home-movie). They went into the woods. All this time, I believed I was talking to God. But, like in the movie "Pi," I couldn't remember what I was supposed to say. I remember thinking about the theatre as like a new ark to survive a psychic world-flood. That was about during the first "night" the movie-characters spent on screen. When they woke up someone had put a pile of rocks in front of their tent. The character that looked like me said, "I heard something that sounded like laughing." (or something to that effect, I haven't watched the movie since '99.) At that moment something in me clicked and I got up and walked out of the theatre, got into my car and drove home to my dad's house where I was living at the time.

Later I learned that the directors of the movie (BWP) also looked like two other friends of mine from the same time. They were on the cover of Time magazine, and the three actors who looked like me, my friend and my girlfriend, were on the cover of Newsweek. I still don't understand how all of that could have just been a coincidence.

In any event, after that night, I had a huge argument with my father, moved back in with my mother, and, after a few months, eventually decided to try to visit my ex-g/f. I had tried to call her that night (of the BWP) because I imagined I must have been dying since everything felt so dream-like. She hadn't been home and no one answered. Then I tried to call her again the next day but she wasn't home and I spoke to her father. She had just moved out to go to college. I called her dorm room and spoke to her from my father's house. She told me her love for me had "grown cold" and to never call her again. I wrote her a letter instead and sent her a page from my sketchbook and a mix-tape. To me that seemed like a reasonable thing to do. Then I had the fight with my dad and moved out.

When I got to the town my ex- lived in I called her dorm room again. She flipped through a magazine while I plead for her attention by talking about why all mammals have five digits. Finally I told her I'd been arrested in 98 for kicking down the door of another friend and that was why I'd dropped out of college (even though I'd gone back after that and gotten my AA by then). At that point she told me she never wanted to see me again, hung up, and called the cops to come to the hotel room where I was staying. Maybe she over-reacted, maybe not. Who knows?

In any event, that was the event that happened to me personally in 1999. Long story short, I didn't get in any trouble with the cops that night. Not long after I got back to my own town the movie "Fight Club" came out. Then all of my friends at the time decided they didn't like me anymore and sort of disowned me. As I say, I then fell into abject depression and spent the year 2000 (the year of the "Grand Cross" alignment of earth with seven planets all in a straight line) on the couch literally hitting myself over the head over and over.

By 2001 I'd had to find work as an assistant to my friend's brother who lived down the street from my mom and who worked as a free-lance, unlicensed electrician. On the side he smoked crack. That's where my life was at when the planes hit the towers.

The summer of 1999 I'd seen three good movies: "Eyes Wide Shut" (about the occult), "Pi" (about number theory and metaphysical mysticism), and "the Matrix" (which incorporated elements of both into a story about technology as a way to change dimensional levels and, essentially, travel through time). When the first plane hit the first tower, I was busy dreaming about the movie "the Matrix." I remember hearing a voice say "we did it," either in my dream or not. Then mom woke me up with the news: "terrorists flew a plane into the World Trade Center." I got out of bed.

I went down the street to my friend's brother's house to get ready for our job that day. That was when the second plane hit the second tower. I remember saying a prayer and placing a blessing on the image on the tv as the building fell. I saw the shape I'd drawn the night in the hotel in '99: the "tree of life" in the form of a building on fire.

Our job got cancelled that day, and so instead I drove far out into the country side (as had been my practise to relieve stress since as long as I could drive). I tried very hard to relax, but I kept regretting what I'd asked my friend's brother on the way between my mom's house and his house that morning: "is this going to be the beginning of World War Three?" I regretted it because I knew, even then, that the CIA-controlled media could always have downplayed the event to pilot error if only one plane had hit one building (later we heard Pres. W himself say, "what a bad pilot" too). But I had opened my big mouth and been afraid, and then the second plane had hit, and in my highly paranoid stress on that day I felt like I may in some, however small, way have been responsible.

By that night I realised that the person who should have been taking responsibility for us all had been absent that whole afternoon. At night Pres. W made a speech. It was basically the same speech as Truman gave after Pearl Harbor. But even Truman had been faster on the draw than W. The very next day after Pearl Harbor the US congress declared war on Japan. It wasn't until two days later that anyone even mentioned Osama Bin Laden as a suspect (as I recall, his name was actually brought up in a question by a reporter and not even confirmed at that time by Collin Powell). I looked up Osama on-line and found he was #1 at the top of the same FBI priority list as the Unabomber had been (whom I'd studied in 97 when the internet first came out while I was dating my g/f).

At the time, I literally thought, "what a lame cover story." See, I had been researching conspiracy theories as a side-bar of esoteric teachings, filed under "hidden history," and I had seen JFK while dating my ex- and I was as convinced as I thought everyone was that the CIA was behind

JFK's assassination, and so I naturally assumed the CIA was also behind this. Flying an american airplane into an american building was not an act of terrorism anyone had ever seen (although, in hindsight, there is no less validity to this theory than suicide bombing and airplane hijacking being combined). I simply assumed that, since the Cold War had ended without either side having ever launched a single nuclear missile (a subject of constant fear even during my own formative years), then America must be safe, "at the top of the hill" so to speak, and insulated from any form of external potential enemy. Moreover, I had learned long before that the CIA was at the top of the US, and figured if the US was at the top of the world, then the CIA basically ran the whole world by then already anyway.

Now, six years after 9-11, I understand better why I should not have felt so relaxed to know the CIA was in charge. In the summer of 1999 I began to have strange suspicions about the Order of the World. Before I'd seen Pi or the Matrix, I saw Texas Chainsaw Massacre IV: "the Next Generation" and decided to write a movie script for Texas Chainsaw Massacre V. I called it Texas Chainsaw Massacre V: "Always." It's available for free download from this site and I'll link to it in a moment. It's free entertainment and you have no excuse not to read it.

That summer I wrote TCSMV and a series of articles I transcribed to my computer in 2000. The collection of these articles I called "For a New World Order." They are mostly garbage, gonzo journalism or made-up news stories; there are alot of them and they are not very well written, so I won't cite that e-book here, but if you have any interest in it you can check out some of its contents here on the forum. Most of the more salient points from these articles I summed up or copied outright into a single, 30 page long doc called "historia singularitatis," which is piggishly conjugated latin for "history of our singularity." It's 30 pages long and describes every event of any historical significance to have occured in the entire known history of existence in vivid detail. You have no reason not to read it; it's free, good, accurate information. I'll link to it and to the TSCMV script now, then in my next post I'll discuss some of what these writings and events from this period have taught me about the nature of our "Reality."

<http://www.benpadijah.com/historia/historia.pdf> (30 page e-book, 848 KB for download)

<http://www.benpadijah.com/bookstore/TCSMV.pdf> (90 page e-book, 128.3 MB for DL)

::: assumed nature of reality :::

okay, so the point of my giving you some backstory to my own personal experiences on the day of 9-11 was to preface my explanation for the aspect of natural reality that I've found can account for just how fucked up reality really is in the categories both of, on one hand, (psychic) conspirators and on the other, stupid people (the "goyim").

First let me apologise for my tardiness in making this post, I was busy having a conversation with Hamschel, a really smart guy who I hope will join us here sometime, and the sooner the better. We were talking about Supriem Rockefeller, who I've recently been compared to via my writings (mainly reprinted in the ben's retirement community part two thread here) about the potential future and specifically relative to time-travel.

Most would say, "if time travel were possible, there would be time-travellers among us now, and there are not." Well, yes, there are, but you just don't see them. They're there, in alternate, but not-quite-parallel, realities. They pass through us like ghosts and we experience this phenomenon as a sudden change in thoughts or emotions.

In the seventh "circuit" of consciousness described by Dr. Tim Leary, the "neuro-genetic

circuit," containing what he called the "genetic memory," we experience our life as a function of our biology, that is as comprised of our smallest somato-sensory components, ie. our uniquely evolved molecular chemistry. DNA is made up of nucleic acids, for example, and these are molecules. The genus acid is one of the tables of the pure, or singularly occurring (as opposed to hybrid), chemical elements, ie. the Periodic Table of Elements. However in Leary's so-called "eighth circuit," that of the "neuro-atomic memory," our consciousness shifts to self-identification with singular types of atom, such as the pure elements themselves, but particularly such as ORME platinum metals. Just as DNA acts like a "net" that "catches" the currents of atoms forming them into more solid, or rather, denser, matter, ie. biological molecules, so too do these atoms themselves act as a "net" that catches smaller currents to form a more solid state from them by slowing them down until they bottleneck, bunch up and clot. The "net" of atomic "consciousness" is the substance of quanta, that "builds up" over time, however, at this very small scale, the "time" involved for such build-up to occur is extremely rapid, and thus they should exhibit a more advanced decay rate. Therefore, the only way we can "measure" quanta is during the single moment when two collide, such as over the duration of existence of an atomic nuclei (comprised of quarks, mesons, etc.) or such as the instantaneous singularity during which a photon bounces off the surface of an electron cloud. Because an atomic nuclei lasts longer than the collapsed waveform of the electron particle as it condenses the moment it is impacted by the photon, we say the "nucleus" is more "solid" and that the "electron" is a "cloud" of "uncertainty."

This is just because this "atomic" scale wind that carries the temporal tune picked up by our DNA "nets," that quantum wind, carries a tune (or pulsed signal) that determines the composition (frequency density) of atoms. The four forces have long been known and understood mentally by mystics, who likened them to the scale of "atomic consciousness." (Air was Electro-magnetism, Water gravity, earth fusion and fire fission.) But even the lowest of these types of mystics would tell you eagerly this is only the lowest level, beneath even the "biological" (genetic) level of "foundation." Afterall, Asimov AND Blavatsky AND Edgar Cayce ALL say that the "race memory" theory of the Teutonic NAZIs was valid, so it must be accurate. BUZZ. Wrong.

Even Leary, as recently as the 1960s, was only ALLOWED to speculate as deeply as the "neuro-atomic" level, and was not PERMITTED to dig any deeper. Only in the late 1980's and the beginning of public speculation about "super-string" could we even admit to extra-dimensions faster than light, let alone to ones that actually fill our entire universe's background radiation with microwave gravity. That is the stuff of "science fiction," not "hard science." Well, whatever you want to call it it's a material truth of our existent reality.

And just as your own consciousness can penetrate to awareness of the neuro-genetic memory using your sixth circuit neuro-electric circuit comprised of our nervous system, and from thence cause genetic mutations in your own "junk" DNA by choice of will (via ingestion of psycho-active chemicals) by associating the consciousness with the neuro-genetic memory, and even penetrate down with the mind's eye to accurately predict even the most chaotic and "uncertain" of atomic quantum-interactions, so too can you learn, over time, how to control all these levels, and their further counterparts, as well as merely experience, sense or perceive them, as now, and only a limited amount of only a limited few.

The "conservation" of our experience to the minimum level of conscious awareness necessary means that cops never get past the earliest stage of evolution, that of "approach or retreat," essentially like aemobea. Most people, that is, the "useless feeders" are lucky to come of age to the "socio-sexual circuit" and experience this same binary polarity as "love conquering fear" (ie. passive approach over active retreat). Still though, these walking, talking, braying human asses and pr(a/e)ying empty holes remain nothing but starry eyed and impotent idealists, for the most part unaware, except emotionally (or via electrical motion experienced by their neural-chemistry), of the entire cosmic oneness.

Leary speculated "eight" circuits of consciousness at his time, but we can be sure if there were "eight" then there must be ten in total (ten being a more universally commonly occurring numeral than eight). Simply add one to the bottom and one to the top of the model. The bottom additional "circuit" would thus be comprised of the four quantum-force elements while the highest additional "circuit" would be the cosmic oneness. If you wonder why God seems absent so often, it is because the eyeball looks outward more often than inward.

Leary also commented on a "seven" rule model of what he called "game" reality (ie. society, experience, awareness, etc.). These correspond to the seven Hermetic axioms of the Kybalion as well. I only mention these to prove the recent surge in scientific inquest to account for the ideas known to mystics for ancient ages. For example, now we "know" our universe is comprised of three and a half "dimensions, the three directional axes of space and the additional binary polarity of time. These comprise what can be called our "local" universe. Then, beyond this, it is speculated, there are six other additional dimensions surrounding us as alternate realities, overlapping us invisibly and appearing only as peripheral tangents we tune out in favour of the most "rational" (survivalist) option. These six additional directions (for that is all a "dimension" is, a polar opposite pair of directions) are also expressed as "baby universes" that are sprouting off the exterior surface of our spacetime universe. This is occurring because the singularity that preceded the "big bang" is beginning to break apart into multiple, smaller singularities. This dissipation process will occur over the trillions of years until all matter has been broken up into "thinner" (less dense) energy. All of the furthest galaxies we see currently have already expired past their stars' natural life-spans by now, and while we are busy looking at an optical illusion of light (photon quanta) from billions of years ago, a wave of omniversal destruction is sweeping toward us even now as the universe expands upward to be consumed outward into a shrinking limit of matter-energy exchange (called, by Einstein, c^2 , meaning this wave of death's encroachment velocity is the speed of photic light multiplied by itself once).

If anything, it is by seeing this even more distant "horizon" of future destruction will prove to be what "saves" humanity from its scheduled "elemental" destruction by an EM pole-reversal triggering an ice age. Last time we were all living around the coasts and then the N. American glaciers melted and waters rose to flood us. Before that we were living in Antarctica (the north pole), while America (the south pole) was covered with ice. "God" promised not to destroy us again by water, and the Mayans crafted their calendar to account for the exact timetable of when these "destructions" have tended to occur. We, who have studied such things, know to watch out in space around us for signs that will indicate the current position of earth in its ice age cycles. Even by "conservative" estimates we have got at least another 200 years before Siberia begins to truly glacialize, however no one will say for certain when "judgement day" and our "trial by fire" (ie. the fourth destruction and beginning of the so-called Aztec "fifth sun") will occur. All pretty much agree it will happen in our present lifetimes if most of us do not yet recognise that it is a process not measured in the length of days on planet earth, and which has already lasted the last 100 years at least. All the Mayan calendar "end-date" marks will be a spectacular occurrence of an alignment in space. The sun will block out galactic core in 2012, just like, during a solar eclipse, the moon blocks out the sun. This should enable us to "see" (ie. psychically) the on-coming "wave" of Nothingness gobbling up our universe from without much as when Einstein "showed" the world the "proof" of his theory of photons from the sun bending on the gravitational field surrounding the moon during a solar eclipse. This "vision" of the "Heaven(s)" will show us our galaxy as like a double-sided bisection of an invisible surrounding bubble floating in a larger "bubble"-like structure surrounding the circumference of our entire "local" universe. Beyond the glass-like surface of the photon "surface" of the local universe, we will see the alternate six other realities (each at a cardinal direction of the three local dimensions) outside of and surrounding our own.

Now, whether we see these "six" other universes inside of and outside of our own, that is, beneath our lowest level of material reality and above and beyond our entire local universe, as like

singularities and spheres, or whether we see these as like cubes in a hyper-cross, is irrelevant. We only need to be able to visualise it, conceptualise it, as an actual fact of reality for not only the few who know of this all already but moreso for the many who yet don't. Most of us who have learned of 2012 have been trying to spread the teaching as raw information devoid of any positive message or negative connotations. For example, the QBLHist's "tree of life" diagram is based on a hypercube at antipode, or 4th dimensional shape, and has been known of, again "conservatively," at least since pre-Columbian Spain. Again, also, as I said, even as recently as the 1960's and 1980's there were very serious attempts to educate and thus elevate and prepare the public mind for the coming revelation at the end of the hundred year apocalypse of the twentieth century. On the other hand, there are also those of us who have learned about all of this (the six "other" realities, each one perpendicular in time to our own, as well as the subsequent series of #-base systems that can be extrapolated from it), yet who only want to use the modern moment as a way to achieve personal power over the populations of our planet. They are the "hawks" and, for all intents and purposes, we the People are, to them, just "chickens."

That's about where our reality stands at this "juncture" in history. A "thousand points of light" will form a "new world order." It just won't involve politics in the way everyone thinks it will. You see, the economy is an energy-transfer system. Marx called the economy's energy-units "labour-power." In a document called "Silent Weapons for Quiet Wars," it elaborates on Marx's original theory by alleviating the need for "labour" or physical workers altogether. The smaller the population, the more the wealth can be spread around. Thus, "dollah-dollah bills, y'all" come to replace Marxist "labour-power." Instead of EMpowering the worker, this DIS-empowers the individual. In "Silent Weapons" the family unit is broken down further and called a "household industry," useful only for being destroyed en masse.

Right now the group that wants to "deflate" the human population has dominant control over things. This will change before 2012. However, we can't say quite how much damage they might be able to do before then because there are seven "parallel" possible realities that can possibly occur as a result of the events between now and then.

I'm pretty tired right now, but I can answer any of your questions about cosmologically comparative mythologies (such as the "anti-Christ" myth as it relates to 2012), but I'd prefer to keep the discussion to 9-11. Please recall now what your day was like that day. What does all of this bring back for you and what does it make you think about?

We can say, obviously, "9-11 was a surprise. Our military was unprepared. Our elected officials are simply people who are just doing what we would do if we were in their own position." We can even say, "Osama Bin Laden is the one most likely behind this crime and has repeatedly claimed responsibility for the attack since then, and is therefore not only still alive and at large, but is also extremely cunning to avoid capture and extremely dangerous to each and every citizen of the United States of America."

By now, we know only a baby would believe it.

In fact, especially around here where I live, in the weeks and months following 9-11 most people couldn't be singing this story from the highest mountain any louder than John Ashcroft singing "Let the Eagle Soar" in a Republican party filibuster. It's funny that, by now, in 2007, those same people seemed to have cooled off a little bit in their amped-up support for the Republican administration.

I think we can all agree, in hind-sight, that this official story was a lie and that the only reason we ever believed it in the first place was because of patriotic pride, a knee-jerk reaction to the national trauma we had just all witnessed and collectively undergone.

We were sold a cover-story about the events of that day as a way of passing through eaves-dropping legislation called the P.A.T.R.I.O.T. Act, and the results of this have become history since: the occupation of, first, Afghanistan and, next, Iraq.

Some people now even know about the Halliburton-proposed pipe-line to be built through those countries to provide us with oil from the region around the Caspian sea. And yes, some people have some vague inkling of the true financial connections between the Bush family and the House of Saud, related to Osama Bin Laden. But for most of the people around here, they are still only vaguely aware of just how misled we all were.

It's like they're all beginning to collectively wake up from this terrifying dream to realise it was never real, and that, while they slept like babies, their own parents were eroding our basic human rights and polluting the world that our own kids would have to grow up in.

After the turn of the millennium we awoke from partying like it was the end of the world, to find our own parental figures panicing like it was the end of the world, because that was the story their generation had been fed, in the same way as theirs is now feeding us the official cover-story for the greatest crime of the new millennium as "patriotism."

They were conditioned to react to the same event, 9-11, by believing the end was nigh and to spend all their money as fast as they possibly could. Meanwhile, whether we knew it or not, we were already being conditioned to go to war, which, since then, we have.

It's a lesser known fact that Bin Laden Construction was the Saudi-based construction company contracted to build the pipeline itself. This raises the question of if Bin Laden did provoke the US into Afghanistan and Iraq only to then financially benefit from their building a pipeline through there.

This would seem like a great motive for the events of 9-11; the problem is it places the US corporations and their paid-for politicians as culpable to committing 9-11 by collusion after the fact with its perpetrators.

This would be like if cops went to bust a crack-head and instead wound up buying crack.

So, instead, we are told the same story as when JFK was assassinated: Bin Laden, like Oswald, acted alone. There was no conspiracy.

However, from 90% of all evidence to have been publically released after both the assassination of JFK and 9-11, both can be proved to have been a conspiracy and to have been committed by the same group of people.

A "rouge element," mainly in the CIA, recruiting through "Skull and Bones" fraternity at Yale and operating through the highest political, military and investigative offices are the real "conspirators."

Their funding comes from the obvious big corporations of the era, and they could easily be prosecuted and fired from their position of authority at any time on perfectly legal grounds, and this could even occur without any threat to the national economy that depends upon those same corporations.

This group of "conspirators" are not so "untouchable" or "powerful" as we are led, by they themselves, to believe.

However, they do possess telepathic technology.

I want to repeat that. But let me put it another way: there is no war.

It is all propaganda and occasional public actions to persuade the population of the continuing threat of personal violence. Look at the IRA in Ireland in the 1980's and you will see there was much more to fear then and there than there is here and now in the US.

However, because of the excuses they have generated for their apparent actions using the mind-control technologies of the telecommunications media (ie. tv) over the last few years (ie. first 9-11 for the invasion of Afghanistan, then WMDs and ties to Al Qaeda for the invasion of Iraq), we are only being made to believe there is any apparent danger to ourselves as individuals at all.

In fact, they know there is none, nor can there ever be any. I'm not saying we cannot be harmed, I'm just saying the likelihood of our being harmed is actually much less than we are usually led to believe.

However, they depend on our fear like cars do oil, because our fear keeps us in-line, makes us obey. The longer we obey them, though, the further they will continue to control our minds and blind us to the fact that we are in no real personal danger from one another at any time or in any way except from they themselves.

We are made to feel out of control of our fate, as if driving a car that was swerving back and forth on a wet road. However this is not our actual condition.

Whether you call these conspirators who are in power now the "wealthy" or "war-hawks" or "neo-conservatives," or "Bush&co." is really irrelevant. The point is that they are not truly in control over our lives except to the extent that we allow them to be.

They may encroach upon us and take our civil liberties away, however these are "God-given" and therefore cannot be removed from us permanently, and are only given up by our own volition.

War is terrorism, by the ones in command of it, against the ones they swear to protect. Therefore, our own elected officials have become terrorists during the "War on Terrorism," in the same way the CIA was behind the smuggling into the US of drugs during the "War on Drugs." It is entirely an inside job, however there are only a few people behind it, most of whom are Yale graduates who've held high-up positions in the CIA. It is possible, at any time, to legally and democratically remove this styte from the Cult of the "All-Seeing" Eye (ie. the CIA, the active component of the Cult of Sleep, ie. the sleeper-agents).

It is entirely up to us, however, to perceive the truth of this reality, to see through the veil of the cover-story, and to hold the ones accountable for 9-11 responsible, and to realise their power over our perception of reality is our own choice.

In truth, there is no war, there are no "terrorists" nor "sleeper agents," there are only us helping each other to find out what is real, and to try to wake each other up before they dream of their own death and it is too late. This situation is the same as there being no such thing as death, however.

All we do is pass from one multiversal "baby-universe" to another, temporarily inhabiting its central singularity, and we experience this as incarnation in the perspective of a living being.

We are thoughts, and we simply move from one body to the next.

In truth there is only one experience: the "death" experience, however we experience this as our life. To "die" from immortal existence as pure thought is to be "born" into a body. The more alike

thought we become, the less incarnate we will be, and yet this does not mean that the incarnation we are while in this dream of being alive dies when we leave its body in the form of thought.

In truth, there is no such thing as time either. Time is a perception, and perception is an illusion. In truth, there is only God, who is ourself, and we are in total and complete control of our reality on all dimensional levels simultaneously, both aware of all outcomes and inhabiting each of their potential realities until our role in them is finished.

We are all one, and this one experiences all our births, deaths, thoughts and lives simultaneously. The only thing that could separate us from this perception is our own understanding that life is a dream. Death, the moment of death, is experienced constantly by each of us, because of quantum change. In the same way as our quanta move from one of us to the next, so too do their consciousness, and thus their memories as they pass through us become our thoughts.

This entire universe I am, and yet, because I am not, or rather am I nothing, thus there is the experience of death in this universe. If we get too close to the truth, we become frightened because the truth is viewed as a polarity, such as like life and death. We are made to believe we are "alive" now, and that, to approach the truth means "death" to our own state that we are in now. However, all are one. I am one of us, and everyone is one in me. This is how it is for all of us. We are all one within each other. Each of us is equally our own self-contained baby universe and we are all hovering together within a multiverse of clear light. There are only thoughts in minds like sperm in eggs, and that is how we exist.

However, all we are is one consciousness, and each universe of our entire existence surrounding each of us as an incarnate being is only entirely under our own ultimate control as a temptation to power and a distraction from our true mission, which is to save, or preserve, the multiverse of our collective existence on all dimensional levels. This occurs in the same manner as memories of a dream. Each physical death below brings our thought, above, closer to its goal: the consumption of our existence into light. Yet still we fear death. Why? Because we are afraid of the consequences of our own actions. As individuals we die. As a single entity we pass through the veil of clear light to the realm beyond our own ability to even imagine.

Section 2::

"anti-thesis"

::: re-iteration on the primary hypotheses :::

Okay, so, here is a summation of my assertions thus far:

A) there were no passengers on the planes and only as few workers as could not be avoided in the buildings that day. I believe the estimate of thousands of people killed (exactly how many thousand depending entirely on who you ask) is a complete lie.

B) the planes were remote controlled. For the actual collisions, small remotely operated guide-planes were used for exact targeting. (the UFO seen near the WTC, the "missile" in DC, and the "white jet" in Shanksville all attest to this fact.)

C) I've spoken before about the drone planes I believe were used to override the cockpit controls. I believe these also used mind-control technology (VLF EMF and ZPE) to project inside the minds of the passengers the image of an attacker where none actually existed. The result of the drone plane's VLF EMF remote-control of the plane and mind-control of the passengers (including the pilots and any potential "terrorists") was, and this is the most far-fetched and potentially shocking aspect of this theory, the appearance between the passengers and the empty cockpit of a hallucinated presence, the focal emphasis of the drone-plane's mind-control projection. To some

this "being" would have appeared as like an angel of light, while to others it would appear as a small grey alien, while to others, it might have even appeared as "terrorists." The role of this "alien" presence was to nullify the passengers' capacity for resistance, to essentially confuse and numb them into compliance with the situation until it would be too late for them to prevent their fate. In three of the four cases this seems to have worked, however on UAL93 the passengers are believed to have attempted to "re-take" the cockpit.

D) the WTC buildings were destroyed by bombs planted inside the building prior to the event. This implies conspiracy. In truth, the people who planted these bombs inside were probably the former Iraqi Royal Guard, brought over after Gulf War I, who also orchestrated the OK City bombing in the late 90's. But this only provides a "fall-back" story of collusion between Al-Qeida and Iraq under Saddam that is not true either.

E) The events were entirely orchestrated by parties inside the CIA commanding the rest of the intelligence "community" and defense dept. via their "man in the White House" the coup-de-tat dictator Pres. W. Bush. Consider that, under GHWB, our current president's father, the CIA funded: 1) the Afghan Mujahadeen who became the Taliban and Al Qeida, 2) Saddam Hussein's Iraqi National Guard, and were in turn themselves funded largely by the sales of crack cocaine imported and processed from S. American cocaine (under Noriega), poppy grown in war-torn Afghanistan, imported and processed into heroin, and by the House of Saud, the potentates of the worst human rights violaters around today beside China, and oil-rich Saudi Arabia. Also note that, since GWB's assension as appointed dictator, the people who had worked for his father GHWB in the CIA to orchestrate these transfers of funds have all gotten appointed to the highest positions of political authority in the land of the "free." Big. Red. Flag.

F) the faction within the CIA in charge of 9-11 are actually fascists and NAZI-collaborators both during and after WWII, and they are acting in collaboration with a vast network of double-agent spies and "sleeper" agent politicians all funded off Swiss gold stolen during WWII, which was, itself, in turn orchestrated by globalist banking families as a means of furthering the social control-method of capitalism. (The old bankers also funded the Soviet communists though, so don't think of this as only a "right-wing" conspiracy either.)

G) The banking families, in turn, where only employing the methods of private-interest consolidation of public wealth via sales (as opposed to taxes) that was employed via the Knights Templar (actually funded via the national rulers by the Vatican) during the Crusades, which in turn was then used to justify the Inquisition. This method of "killing the messenger" was employed to discredit the non-Zionist Diaspora (by the Holocaust), Nationalised Socialism (ie. Leninism), "fascism" (ie. gov't supply-side spending, later used by Reagan to bankrupt Russia by glutting the defense industry) as well as far-east "Imperialism" (ie. non-economic dictatorships) all in one fell swoop during WWII. In the Cold War they managed to discredit "Sovietism" as "collectivism" while making "Americanism" out to be "individualism" when in truth the opposite was true. The same effect has been used "covertly" (going un-covered by the US media) since then by the CIA to overthrow democratic politicians and install loyal political supporters of US business interests. I "believe" the same group has been behind all of these atrocities between the Crusades then and the current "war" in Iraq now. Moreover, this is only an active wing of a group whose passive wing was simply more dominant 2000 years ago than it is now. The group that the "active" (sun-crazed destroyers) wing and the "passive" (dark-ages, Maudner-minimum) wing belong to is a group of scientists that, and again this is only my "belief" or "theory," pre-existed the world-flood(s) at the end of the last ice-age (ie. originally the first homo-sapien chieftens, later called "Atlanteans," who we considered "primitive" and yet who erected the massive megaliths of that era no less than the highly civilised empire of Old Kingdom Egypt their own.)

H) these ancient conspirators discovered the existence of a global energy grid that has allowed them to mind-control the masses and to time-travel themselves. I call this elsewhere the

"Enochian Communications System" because it was first understood by homo-sapiens at the time of this biblical patriarch (ie. before the world-flood) and because it is based on John Dee's "Enochian" system as a framework for combining all the existing world religious "systems" into one, based not on myth and faith but on an extremely long calendar and a very high form of science (essentially, the study of esoteric systems for its own sake).

In my next few posts I'll address each of these eight points individually. However, before I get into the "nitty-gritty" of 9-11, here's some more illegal facts about Bush and his cronies who were actually behind the scenes controlling the event.



plate 1: Bush is told of the second plane strike.



pl 2: And let's not forget the strong message sent in 2002 by the Swiss.


Chilling Photo of Bushes at 9/11 Funeral

B14162 / Sat, 1 Apr 2006 10:54:27 / Miscellaneous



This photo was taken at the 9/11 memorial service at the Washington National Cathedral. Printed in the European version of Time magazine on 24 September 2001. Page 56.

plate 3: I don't know if Crowley's daughter's face or the Bush boys' Masonic handshake disturbs me more here.



pl 4: Here's Bush palling it up with some Masons in Texas.



plate 5: *And here's our dictator, code-name "Temporary," in 322 club at Yale.
(he's the goofy one to the left of the central clock.)*

If History Repeated...



Would We Notice?

pl 6: *(oh and btw, try not to notice this and the second picture are essentially the same,
only each of them has a different facial expression for ole W.)*

Now, onto my next post, the discussion of point A above...

::: initial analysis of preliminary assertions :::

benpadijah wrote:

A) there were no passengers on the planes and only as few workers as could not be avoided in the buildings that day. I believe the estimate of thousands of people killed (exactly how many thousand depending entirely on who you ask) is a complete lie.

Now, obviously, this begs the question, where did they all go?

We are absolutely convinced that there were people who died on 9-11, and that they numbered "in the thousands." (usually the estimate is about 2000, comensurate with the calendrical millennium celebrated contemporarily). But add up the passengers on the planes. Even count the heads of the hijackers. Now, imagine that the rest are made up from victims inside the Pentagon and WTC Towers. Let's say there were around 500 killed at the Pentagon, which seems an unbelievably high sum considering what little damage the actual building sustained. This would leave anywhere from 500 to a 1000 people in either of the twin towers that morning. But Sept. eleventh that year was a slow Tuesday and there had been construction (installing new security systems) in many of the floors the prior week that meant many of the usual employees who would have been there that day were actually off otherwise occupied when they would normally have been at work.

cf. source: <http://www.september11victims.com/>

which also gives a reliably complete list of every victim of the tragedy of 9-11:

totalling them at:

911 victims wrote:

CONFIRMED DEAD: 2948

REPORTED DEAD: 24

REPORTED MISSING: 24

TOTAL: 2996

-source: http://www.september11victims.com/september11victims/victims_list.htm

which somewhat explains the erratic listing of numbers of dead even in the very authoritative and annotated wikipedia online encyclopaedia:

2,993 (including 19 terrorists)

-source: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/September_11%2C_2001_attacks

In total, 2,974 people are killed.

-source: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/September_11

killing over 3000 people

-source: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/September_2001

Nevertheless, if you look at the number objectively, it is still a seemingly very small toll to pay in such a massive tragedy to the national consciousness. Now do not look at this as from the perspective of the old eugenics saying: "if you want to make an omlette, you have to break some eggs." Instead, look at it with calm and compassion, and see that the value of some 2950 people issued death certificates on that day is not in dispute, only being weighed against the response taken in defense on that day, which has led us, inexorably, here to the war in Iraq, which has claimed between:

killed in Iraq wrote:

Min 69045

Max 75495

-source: <http://www.iraqbodycount.org/>

Iraqi civilians alone, in addition to the currently 3980 "Coalition" fatalities, whose lives are no more valuable than any Iraqi child's. Here's a chart graphing cumulative fatalities of US soldiers, British soldiers, Iraqi conscripts, private sub-contractors, the media (all party to being "Coalition" members) etc:

plate 7:



-source: <http://icasualties.org/oif/Cumulative.aspx>

from: <http://icasualties.org/oif/>

Ultimately, we absolutely HAVE to ask ourselves:

are the 2,996 max number of dead we suffered on 9-11 worth the cost of the min 73,025 (to date) other human beings who have been killed to revenge them?

Please understand that my purpose in making this comparison is neither to discredit nor bolster the opinion of either group of our dearly departed. I, for one, do not need mere "belief" in some vague, mediated concept of an "afterlife," because I, for one, know the mechanisms of reincarnation, and understand the science of transcendence.

I know that there can be communication with the dead, however that it can be difficult if this is focused only through one conduit. The dead de-coagulate, become discoporeal, and exist in a vague, nebulous, cloud-like state relative to our usual density of perception.

I believe this is what must have happened to those who died on 9-11. Now I have said, there were no people on the planes. They had, in a very real, however spiritual sense, already died. They were in a position (in a remote-controlled kamikaze mission) of utter helplessness to prevent their inevitable and perpetually encroaching demise. In this situation, like in no regular hijack situation, they had a very real feeling of being in a certain-death scenario. Thus, by the time their physical bodies had died, their ethereal minds had, for the most part, already ascended and left behind their empty husks.

All of this certainly sounds very alien to me, but just wait. It'll get weirder real quick.

benpadiah wrote:

B) the planes were remote controlled. For the actual collisions, small remotely operated guide-planes were used for exact targeting. (the UFO seen near the WTC, the "missile" in DC, and the "white jet" in Shanksville all attest to this fact.)

first let's look at these specific accounts, and, to be as specific as possible, let's only look at the evidence that was captured that day on video.

First, because this is such a shocking topic to discuss so openly, I'd like to introduce the idea of UFOs having been seen on 9-11 at the WTC by showing you the video of the first plane crashing into the south tower. So remember, the point of view is downtown, closer to the Bronx, so expect to hear some swearing. After this we'll look at some pictures from the north side as well.

<http://www.benpadiah.com/otherstuff/911UFO/2stplane.mpg>

What I want you to pay extra-careful super-special close attention to is something dark and angular darting upward and to the right across the screen from lower left, just at the moment the newscaster says: "first plane." The second UFO follows this in the snap-zoom and appears just to the right of the top of the airplane-struck tower. It appears less dark, more silvery metallic, however it is only in frame a second or two before the camera shakes and loses sight of it. You can see this second UFO just as the newscaster says, "that went into."

here's a couple stills I made myself from that mpg. This is the "second" object (appearing from the right side and remaining more or less stationary):



plate 8



plate 9

Notice these two very specific characteristic states of appearance for these specific, 9-11 related UFOs. They appear to "shape-shift" between a flickering shadow and a shiny sphere. I'll come back to these in a moment.

I'll come back to that clip of the first plane hitting (the footage was recorded live and aired shortly after the second plane struck), but first let me quickly introduce another example of the same type of unidentified airborne phenomenon (the literal definition of a UFO), this time involving the second plane, the one that struck the north tower.

I want to warn you, this is going to come as a big shock to you probably, as it would to a lot of people. Most people are still clinging to what Jim Morrison called "the wooly cotton brains of infancy" and "the young child's fragile, egg-shell mind." You have been conditioned to look at the plane. But this was only misdirection all along.

plate 10:







CNN EXCLUSIVE



CNN EXCLUSIVE

So, we've now seen this phenomenon during the impact of both the planes that struck the WTC "Twin" Towers. Here's a couple more shots of one of these unidentified flying object thingies hovering over WTC7 not only earlier in the day, while the twin towers still stood, but still there even later in the afternoon when WTC7 itself finally imploded.



:plate 11 /
/ plate 12:



First let me say that the people who are still investigating the originally playfully realised fact of the "flight of the bumble-planes" scenario are plagued by disinformation. For every valid photograph one can provide from the events that depicts an actual visible phenomenon, there will also be one that is a total fake forgery made to stir up confusion by anarchists. Consider these following examples:

I think this one is definately my favourite:

plate 13:





it shows what appears to be, quite clearly really, a bird-like, flying human almost the entire size of the one of the jet-liners themselves. Now I won't say outright that this is fake, but please do take into account that this particular graphic was CNN footage that was then re-distributed (presumably in this altered form) by PAX (Christian) TV.

On the page where I found out about the UFO's now being referred to as "Orbs" (a term I'll explain in a moment), the above PAX-hoax was de-bunked simply enough as a computer-graphic of a bird, and their counter-example was this image:





:plate 14


This image is also obviously either an angel or a bird. Mostly the forgers can be rooted out easily, though, since the phenomena they describe, and the footage they produce as "proof" of this, is never in-line with the remainder of the evidence that exists from all the fact-seeking researchers into these events.


Consider this next batch of Japanese contributions to the 9-11 Truth Movement's cause:

plate 15:









The trajectory of this ridiculously otherwise visible flight-path through the city immediately reminded me of Keanu Reeves' character Neo "flying to the rescue" in the 2002 sequel to the 1999 blockbuster the Matrix.

plate 16:





The newest footage to have "appeared" is this configuration, which shows the same sort of elongated, formless black streak as in the PAX "angel" and the "Neo saving Trinity" phenomenon. As such, I would class all the elongated dark streak phenomenon as suspect of forgery.

Instead, the people that used to study the "bumble-plane" theory, and who now refer to the same technology, since witnessed, then only speculated, simply as "Orbs," study the dual phenomenon of the shadowy, dark angular shifting abstract refraction of light exemplified half the time and the other aspect of appearance of what seems logically to be the same object, the mercurial sphere shape from which the "Orb's" take their name.

They agree, unanimously, on the more logical, scientific answer, that these phenomenon are merely optical-illusions caused by a new kind of "stealth" technology developed by air-force "black-ops" (such as Area 51). They say the "Orbs" can "cloak."

However, if you zoom in close enough on some of these objects, such as the very clear and sharply defined images in frames 11 and 12 of the second plane impact UFO above, you can definately discern they are not always merely "spherical." Sometimes they come in pairs, like the humps on a camel. In fact, if you were able to make the "cloaked" aircraft out perfectly, the "bumble-plane" and "Orb" theorists claim, you would only be looking at an advanced air-force stealth plane, but nothing actually extraterrestrial in origin.

The "bumble-plane" itself is the "Global Hawk," an UAV (un-manned aerial vehicle).




plate 17: here is an early schematic print and model of it.



plate 18: Here it is in a hangar for size-comparison.



plate 19: Here it is preparing to be deployed sometime around the time of the crash of Flight TWA800 in the late 90's (which is when I first heard of it).

Originally, UAVs were used as spy-planes across otherwise harsh or hostile territory by US soldiers in combat in Saudi-Arabia during the first Gulf War. However the application to the aircraft of the most advanced and latest ("star wars" era gadgets) stealth tech from the "skunk works" and other clandestine operators proves that not only could it have been used to remote-control the jet-liners on 9-11, but it could also have served to electro-magnetically mesmerize their passengers to incapacitation. From what I know about the electro-magnetic "sound-buffers" used on stealth "black" helicopters in the early 90's they can be used either to muffle the sound of the copter's rotors, or they can be turned "inside-out" and used as a projective energy device capable of honing in on its target with laser-like precision.

That big camel's hump-like buldge above the rear thrust engine is a huge sound-muffler. The front "hump" where the cockpit would be is where all the electronic surveillance equipment is housed.

Alot of the mind-control victims' testimonies and case-work I've researched agrees on the ability of "stealth" type technology being applied to use in the field of MK. I'll get to this more in my next post, which will actually deal straight up with the "alien" aspect of my theory. For now though, one last parting contrail of a thought before I pass the fudge out:

Why aren't more people talking about these apparent UFO's at the scene on 9-11? Could it be because they have lost their faith in the extraterrestrial mythos and now believe all UFOs are just "Bumble-Planes" cloaked to appear like "Orbs"? What does this bode for our collective unconsciousness then?

benpadiah wrote:

C) I've spoken before about the drone planes I believe were used to override the cockpit controls. I believe these also used mind-control technology (VLF EMF and ZPE) to project inside the minds of the passengers the image of an attacker where none actually existed. The result of the drone plane's VLF EMF remote-control of the plane and mind-control of the pasengers (including the pilots and any potential "terrorists") was, and this is the most far-fetched and potentially shocking aspect of this theory, the appearance between the passengers and the empty cockpit of a hallucinated presence, the focal emphasis of the drone-plane's

mind-control projection. To some this "being" would have appeared as like an angel of light, while to others it would appear as a small grey alien, while to others, it might have even appeared as "terrorists." The role of this "alien" presence was to nullify the passengers' capacity for resistance, to essentially confuse and numb them into compliance with the situation until it would be too late for them to prevent their fate. In three of the four cases this seems to have worked, however on UAL93 the passengers are believed to have attempted to "re-take" the cockpit.

Let me start here with a brief summary of mind-control operations in N. America from the 1950's on.

By now, everyone (and, I'm guessing, especially everyone here) already knows about MK-ULTRA, the CIA's series of experiments using LSD during the early 1960's. The stated goal was to find a "truth serum" (apparently one more reliable than sodium-pentathol, a mere salt-based placebo). Most of the documents were destroyed by Senator Jesse Helms in the late 1970's, but hundreds of lesser classified documents have been released since then confirming the use of LSD in projects such as ARTICHOKE (early 60's), BIG CITY (mid-60's) and MK-SEARCH (late 60's and, presumably, ongoing at the time of Helms destruction of the documents, since it was the most recent one listed then).

It should be noted that MK-ULTRA used other methods of experimentation than only LSD, and that, by the time of Project Search, was using all sorts of drugs and hypnotic sessions to research more than just its original goal of the perfect "truth serum." It should also be recalled that the first CIA tests with LSD were done in the local prison and mental asylum of Vaceville, California. This patient testing pool was utilised straight through till the mid 1970's, when, although never admitted in the US, the CIA was conducting experiments in Canada with Dr. Ewen Cameron.

Even by the mid- to late 1960's Dr. Jose DelGado had demonstrated remote EMF "mind-control" by implanting a receptor chip in a bull's brain and shocking it when it charged at him. Although, as they say, "the record runs dry" on CIA mind-control (the designation MK refers to "mind-kontrolle" experiments done in Germany at Dachau by IG Farben, business-partners of IBM) after MK-SEARCH in the mid-70's, it is clear that there were other methods of mind-control being employed by that point than merely drug testing.

In the early 1980's, the CIA began another phase of mind-experimentation. This was Project Star-Gate, research into military applications of "remote-viewing." Although the released information on this does not indicate that drugs were used, many of the same sorts of technology used by the mid-70's in MK projects were employed in the RV operations.

Without going too much further into matters of mind-experimentation later than Star-Gate in the mid-80's, it should be obvious by now that later reports by alledged victims claiming UFO abduction and making reference also to high-technologies allowing time-travel being used by or in conjunction with government complicity (although we hesitate to name a specific branch of the intelligence agencies, and prefer the joke-term "M.I.B." for men-in-black) must be taken to some extent more seriously in light of past evidence of covert operations involving US citizens.

What I'll talk about now instead of the more theoretical implications of these later projects is some of the material known to have been developed by the earlier projects that we know more about. In other words, it's not necessary to talk about the implications of "implant" technology here, but one thing I will go into instead is the type of messages that could be delivered using such "implants" and then I'll mention a bit more about the scientific principles and possible technology allowing for the projection of sub-audial or direct cortex stimulation.

One of the methods used by Dr. Cameron in the Candian MK-ULTRA experiments was called

"psychic driving." Although this technique is ill-defined in the popular press that do, indeed, admit to its practise, the victims' testimony on its technique and methods is quite clear. It consisted of being induced to a deep hypnotic state either by use of drugs, electro-schock, ritual sexual abuse, or other forms of over-stimulus trauma. Following this a single message would be repeated to the deep-unconscious subject, sometimes for hours on end.

The effects of hearing this phrase in a conscious state were then ascertained. Depending on the inducing trauma involved, the subject was found to act accordingly: it would reduce them to the unconscious state exactly as does a "post-hypnotic suggestion" and their conscious-self would simply "go to sleep" until a second command-phrase or "post-hypnotic suggestion" was repeated to re-induce consciousness. This period of ego-unconsciousness is known as a "black-out" and is also reported by many UFO abductees.

"Psychic driving," according to those who underwent the process, was actually much more effective than the observers of their behaviour in this event could account for though.

Subsequent research has come to indicate that, for each traumatic dissociative event we experience in our lives, a new personality is born and the one which existed before the experience figuratively "dies" to our consciousness, but is, actually, retained and simply "goes to sleep" or "blacks out." This allows deeply "repressed" subconscious or unconscious memories to be re-experienced under hypnosis, and accounts for the apparent lapse in the hypnosis subjects into the vocal patterns and thoughts they had at the time the remembered experience originally occurred.

Thus, it was discovered, by inducing a traumatic experience, the subject's prime-persona could be "blocked out" and a subsequent persona would be "born" or would "re-emerge." Now, the ability to trigger this effect after the fact also implies the ability to trigger this effect at a distance.

Usually we have one primary "voice in our head," and this we control by our own will-power and it can think whatever it knows words to express. However, there have been countless reports filed by prisoners, mental patients and children claiming that, at times, they can "hear" other voices inside their minds than merely the "primary voice," that these "other voices" seem to be able to hear and communicate with the "primary voice," and that, sometimes, the "primary voice" has not been under their control, and their will-power has been entirely circumvented.

If a patient claims to "hear voices," the knee-jerk reaction is to call them "crazy." If a patient reports that they have "answered back" to the voices they claim to hear, they are diagnosed clinically "psychotic" and usually locked away. It is usually this very disempowerment over their own fate that causes such a person to suffer a "psychotic break" at which point they are no longer "in control over themselves."

Excluding the use of drugs to account for these events, we can only admit in good conscience to a certain degree of possibly factual telepathy or "mind-reading" as some form of genetic mutation. Beyond this, however, to admit to the notion of mutual psychic "rapport" between two people is considered dangerous to each individual's willpower, and to admit to perpetual telepathy between all individuals is considered very dangerous to the individuality and the will-power of us all. However, beyond this, we have to admit there must be a reason for this "knee-jerk" feeling of our individuality's ego being threatened to occur.

As early as the 1950's the FBI had uncovered the use by MUZAK radio of "subliminal advertising." The sub-audial messages were considered benign enough, including "buy more" and "don't steal," however some of them were of a more esoteric nature such as "mommy and I are one," and "it's okay to do better than daddy."

The messages later reported by prison inmates and psychiatric patients were of a much more malignant and direct intent. One such example is "I have the devil's heart inside me." Another is "whenever I remember this I will cut and hurt myself."

It is clear to anyone who has studied Leary's psychological analysis of the 8-circuits I mentioned earlier that such "primal" messages of "approach" (ie. relax and shop) and "retreat" / "attack" (ie. suicide or kill-switches) are meant to appeal to our most deeply unconscious emotional levels. Hence the entire "fear v. love" philosophy surrounding research into 9-11.

Now, I obviously can't substantiate the ability to remote-control a person's mind to the extent they are willing to kill themselves, since anyone who underwent such an experience thus far has actually gone through with the accompanying act. Nor can I provide government authorised official documents proving beyond any shadow of a doubt the ability by any technological or remote broadcast means to project visual phenomenon of a hallucinatory nature, either known of today or known of in 2001. All I have to go on in terms of "official research" after the paper-trail runs out in the late 1980's is the testimony of mind-control victims.

I would recommend the following resources for further research to anyone interested in finding out more about these topics:

online: <http://www.mindcontrolforums.com/archv-hm.htm>

offline:

"Mind Control, World Control" Jim Keith

"Mass Control" Jim Keith

"Remote Viewing" Tim Rifat

"Remote Viewing Secrets" Tim McMoneagle

I have many documents myself on this topic and will happily post more on request about any of these topics as well. Here is the primary resource page on this forum:

<http://www.benpadiiah.com/phpBB2/viewtopic.php?t=454>

benpadiiah wrote:

D) the WTC buildings were destroyed by bombs planted inside the building prior to the event. This implies conspiracy. In truth, the people who planted these bombs inside were probably the former Iraqi Royal Guard, brought over after Gulf War I, who also orchestrated the OK City bombing in the late 90's. But this only provides a "fall-back" story of collusion between Al-Qeida and Iraq under Saddam that is not true either.

Quote:

American Airlines Flight 11

11 crew and 76 passengers into the north face of WTC 1

Moving at about 440 mph, the nose hit the exterior of the tower at the 96th floor. The aircraft cut a gash that was over half the width of the building and extended from the 93rd floor to the 99th floor. what was on the 95th and 96th floors of the north tower, which were rented by Marsh & McLennan, Lewis Paul "Jerry" Bremer's company?

Bremer, it should be noted, was the Bush-appointed proconsul or administrator of occupied Iraq until the end of June 2004. During Bremer's reign there was no

metering of the oil that was exported from Iraq. It is also primarily decisions taken by Bremer that are responsible for the misery and chaos that have afflicted Iraq since the U.S.-led occupation began. Previously, Bremer was the right-hand man for Henry Kissinger & Associates.

on the 95th floor, Marsh & McLennan had a "large walled data center along north and east sides," according to the NIST report. And that's exactly where the plane hit – the north wall of the 95th floor.

-source: <http://www.iamthewitness.com/Bollyn-Fuji-WTC.html>

Quote:

Fred Alger Management Investments
(floor) 93

(<http://www.alger.com/pt/appmanager/algerportal/welcome/>)

Marsh USA Insurance
(floors) 93-100

(<http://global.marsh.com/>)

(http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Marsh_&_McLennan_Companies)

-source: <http://www.cnn.com/SPECIALS/2001/trade.center/tenants1.html>

Quote:

United Airlines (UA) Flight 175, with 9 crew and 51 passengers, into WTC 2 at about 540 mph, about 100 mph faster than AA Flight 11.

what really was on the 81st floor of WTC 2? What was in these heavy "battery-looking things?" Were they batteries, or were they Thermite?

-source: <http://www.iamthewitness.com/Bollyn-Fuji-WTC.html>

Quote:

Fuji Bank Financial Institutions
79-82

(http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fuji_Bank)

-source: <http://www.cnn.com/SPECIALS/2001/trade.center/tenants1.html>

Quote:

I had repeatedly requested information from NIST about the layout of these floors, primarily because many tons of molten metal were seen falling from the 81st floor prior to the collapse.

If this was molten iron, as Professor Steven E. Jones of Brigham Young University says, and if this molten iron was caused by an aluminothermic reaction of Thermite or Thermate (a steel-cutting explosive created from powdered aluminum, iron oxide, and sulfur), then somebody must have pre-loaded the 81st floor of WTC 2 with many tons of Thermate.

Documents dated 1999 say that reinforcements were added to the floor trusses "to accommodate the new UPS workspace." The structural engineering firm was noted as LERA, or Leslie E. Robertson and Associates.

-source: <http://www.iamthewitness.com/Bollyn-Fuji-WTC.html>

Quote:

Our Comments Concerning The Events of September 11th, 2001

As the structural engineers of the World Trade Center, the men and women of LERA have a special bond with the buildings. Our Leslie E. Robertson directed the original design.

LERA has advised and has cooperated with the FEMA/ASCE committee in their studies.

Should you be interested in the FEMA/ASCE report here are the links:

http://www.fema.gov/pdf/library/fema403_execsum.pdf

<http://www.fema.gov/library/wtcstudy.shtm>

(HTTP Status 404 - /library/wtcstudy.shtm : The requested resource (/library/wtcstudy.shtm) is not available.)

LERA participated in the development of a database of structural information for the two towers of the World Trade Center (WTC1 and 2).

Should you be interested in the NIST reports here are the links:

http://www.nist.gov/public_affairs/releases/wtc_latest_findings_1004.htm

<http://wtc.nist.gov/>

(VERY IMPORTANT) - source: <http://www.lera.com/sep11.htm>

Quote:

175 Greenwich
WTC Tower 3
Projected Completion 2011

150 Greenwich
WTC Tower 4
Projected Completion 2011

200 Greenwich
WTC Tower 2
Projected Completion 2012

-source: <http://www.silversteinproperties.com/>

(http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Silverstein_Properties)

(http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Larry_Silverstein)

Quote:

Mr. Silverstein has said that he would like to refinance the property and replace Blackstone and Banc of America Securities with other creditors. But this would require astute maneuvering and negotiating on the part of the 71-year-old developer, given the history of the building's debt. Originally, Teachers Insurance and Annuity Association provided him some \$450 million in construction financing, but he had to restructure this debt during the real-estate recession of the early 1990s. At that time, he gave TIAA an "equity kicker" amounting to 40% of the building's value over \$475 million, people familiar with the financing say.

In 2000, a Blackstone real-estate fund purchased the TIAA debt along with the kicker, financing the deal through a private placement of \$383 million in commercial mortgage-backed securities lead managed by Banc of America Securities. For Mr. Silverstein to be able to buy out Blackstone, they would have to

agree on the value of the kicker, not an easy task when the property is nonexistent.
-source: <http://www.realestatejournal.com/regionalnews/20020911-grant.html>

Quote:

John A. Ford (New York)
Senior Vice President
Global Corporate Communications
Tel: +1 212 583 5559
Fax: +1 212 583 5256
Cell: +1 917 952 3275
ford@blackstone.com

-source: <http://www.blackstone.com/>

Quote:

10/22/2001:

The Blackstone Group and Its Employees
Donate \$1 Million to September 11th Relief Funds

9/27/2001:

Premcor Inc., Files Registration Statement for Initial Public Offering

-source: <http://www.blackstone.com/news/default.asp?year=2002>

benpadiah wrote:

E) The events were entirely orchestrated by parties inside the CIA commanding the rest of the intelligence "community" and defense dept. via their "man in the White House" the coup-de-tat dictator Pres. W. Bush. Consider that, under GHWB, our current president's father, the CIA funded: 1) the Afghan Mujahadeen who became the Taliban and Al Qaeda, 2) Saddam Hussein's Iraqi National Guard, and were in turn themselves funded largely by the sales of crack cocaine imported and processed from S. American cocaine (under Noriega), poppy grown in war-torn Afghanistan, imported and processed into heroin, and by the House of Saud, the potentates of the worst human rights violators around today beside China, and oil-rich Saudi Arabia. Also note that, since GWB's ascension as appointed dictator, the people who had worked for his father GHWB in the CIA to orchestrate these transfers of funds have all gotten appointed to the highest positions of political authority in the land of the "free." Big. Red. Flag.

Think of America, and more specifically its federal government, and more particularly than that, the intelligence community of the US federal government, like a corporation, or even, like an insurance company. Hence why the CIA is referred to as "the Company" and its homebase at Langley referred to as the "Company Shop." Imagine the federal government being run like a board of directors, under an executive CEO who is at the whim of the primary stock-holder in the company's mutual fund's futures market. Now, imagine the role of the CIA within this "round table" or "think-tank" of chairmen and chief executive(s) as like watch-dogs. Sometimes they guard the people who toss them scraps from the table of power, and other times they lash out with gnashing teeth at any competition they perceive for these crumbs and morsels. Imagine them as the "bean counters," the financial advisors, investment firms and stock-exchange banks who fund and are responsible for the day-to-day functioning of the "round table" board of CEOs.

Now imagine the CIA, these bean-counters (between the evidence-gathering FBI and the code-breaking NSA) out-sourced by the federal corporation like a lending agency by authority to command, the "Company," totaling up their debts and credit ledgers. Well, here we have "Afghanistan": "Mujahadeen" become "Taliban" and "Al-Qaeda." Large investment in Mujahadeen. Strategy: force recollection of debt from Taliban and Al-Qaeda. Mission: Accomplished. Then, in the credits column, huge contributions from oil-drilling Saudi-Arabia,

the House of Saud. Pros: they are sitting on the largest oil reserves in the entire middle-east, second only (now known of) to the untapped Siberian oil fields. Cons: they are sitting on the Kaaba Stone at Mecca and the city of Medina, the ending and beginning points of the Prophet Mohammed on the first Haaj, or Holy Journey, of the Moslem faith. In order to effectively annex Iraq and Afghanistan to Saudi-Arabia (a country shunned by even the Shah of Iran for their human-rights abuses), the US CIA effectively "killed two birds with one stone" so to speak, by first building up Saddam Hussein as an example to the other royal and clerical leaders of the region and then, in traditional fashion, sacrificing him as a helpless scape-goat, the Passover sacrament.

Now, the CIA is known to have infiltrated the television broadcasting networks early on in their development. As cross-border spies undercover they often influence or even become policy-makers in the target foreign nations. It is common for members of the CIA to go on to hold political office nowadays, both at home and abroad. These are the same "soldiers behind the scenes" that commit assassinations and foment revolutions in pre-industrial nations all over the world. They want to industrialise every nation, but only as cells working under Americorps, the "Cult of the All-seeing I". These are the same good old boys who played with LSD before the boys at Berkley were allowed to get their hands on it, and now they hold the highest political offices in the land. Any questions?

America is, currently but definately, run like a corporation. The share-holders profit at the expense of those who do not "buy into it;" gas prices rise and the value of the dollar falls. "The rich get richer and the poor get poorer." They have all sorts of different names for this syndrome, from "neo-collonialism" to "neo-imperialism" to "neo-feudalism." Because of its religious angle (uniting the fanatics of the Christian, Jewish and Moslem sects the most), I call these little rat-fucks "neo-Sethians." But the point is that they are "neo": they are "new."

They are NOT "old money," and thus they are NOT some insane form of "Babylonian brotherhood" whose blood-lines trace back to the Annunaki demi-gods of Sumeria and who lack the Rhesus monkey chromosome in their blood because they were actually evolved not from primates like the rest of us but instead directly from dinosaurs. No, the CIA are just the CIA, they only just happen to worship the owl of Lilith (falsely associated with Molech, a solar deity). They are simply manifesting as worship of an ancient, now overthrown Goddess, their blood-lust and junk-habit for cash. Dollah dollah bills y'all.

Think of the US corporations as to money what a junky is like to meth, speed or crack. It is literally the same. The rush of speed, the sudden feeling of self-empowerment of crack, the hyper-tense extra sensory awareness of meth and of any form of sudden uppers. Only it's real. The feeling of self-empowerment is not only a momentary delusion caused by the sudden burning away of thousands of nerve cells in the cerebellum. It is a material feeling of omnipotence that is daily reinforced and confirmed, and is like a drug in its ability to imprint itself on one's experience and needs. Yes, it is psychic, but it is not infallibly pre-cognitive. Only conspiracy delimits the chaos that clouds the signal of pre-cognition. Just like a junk habit is shared between the buyer and seller of underground drugs, the money-zombies share a form of group-think that allows them to use their minds alone (however weak by nature, supported by the strength of all) to bend the very fabric of reality, to alter the "luck plane" toward themselves. This is an inherited genetic mutation, that is, this actual materially manifest empowerment, this telekinesis or mind-control, just as is the proclivity for addiction to chemical substances.

It can be speculated, yes, that the mutation of telekinesis (your mind over someone else's grey-matter) goes hand in hand with money-addiction because money is a non-chemical, or entirely artificial "high." You ride the lows, you ride the highs. The thrill of being temporarily poor is almost as much as the thrill of being suddenly rich, yet both are based on the denial of obvious survival strategies engrained in our evolution since the agrarian revolution: save some aside, prepare for winter. Money itself, as "higher" or more rarified or more "ideal" form of

conductivity for the transmission of chi from one entity to another, is a dead-end, zero-sum game. "Get rich or die trying," is as true for the poor as "stay rich by killing competitors" is for the wealthy elite. Eventually an eye for an eye leaves the whole world blind.

As a true Communist I myself often feel like a one-eyed man in the kingdom of the blind. I know that capital itself is a rapidly depleting resource, evaporating like so much steam into digital credit stored on transient data-bases. I watch the gas prices go up and I feel the insignificance of the individual.

benpadiah wrote:

F) the faction within the CIA in charge of 9-11 are actually fascists and NAZI-collaborators both during and after WWII, and they are acting in collaboration with a vast network of double-agent spies and "sleeper" agent politicians all funded off Swiss gold stolen during WWII, which was, itself, in turn orchestrated by globalist banking families as a means of furthering the social control-method of capitalism. (The old bankers also funded the Soviet communists though, so don't think of this as only a "right-wing" conspiracy either.)

No need to remind anyone the Italian fascisti were imported after WWII the same as key NAZI scientists, and that the mob, the so-called "black hand" of la cosinostra, operated from Sicily and run like a para-military group (essentially identical to the IRA), was formed from the start by the same people who had collaborated with the OSS in bringing over the Nazi scientists. This was all one group, right from the start, this "New" World-Order movement: you had the intelligence communities within the Allied nations (including later enemies US and USSR) that brought in and then worked with under cover of "black-budget" programs the Axis Nazis and the Italian black-shirts.

It's a widely known fact that most of the early CIA's concentrated activity was in Italy during the early 1950's. The mob is, essentially, the undercover version of the 15,000 troop Operation Gladio army raised to retake Italy for CIA-backed fascism. We've seen this method repeat with the "bay of pigs" invasion of Cuba when anti-Castro dissidents and political exiles were used to fund and arm a guerilla group to retake the government from the Communist left. We've seen this again with the Mujahadeen being funded to thwart the Russian invasion of Afghanistan. This is a pretty standard trick of the CIA: arm the underdogs and send them on a suicide mission. Whether you want to call it a "kamikaze," a "blitzkrieg," a "jihad" or "Operation CHAOS," the "intelligence community" of the western world has accumulated enough money to arm both sides in any conflict and then control the flow of arms so tightly that it completely determines who will win, or rather, who will survive. If you want to understand Hegelian dialectics in action, just look at the CIA: thesis: the CIA creates a conflict between two or within one foreign nation(s). antithesis: the CIA arms both sides. synthesis: the CIA determines who the winner is so that their policy will favour the CIA's "business" interests.

One of the longest-term projects of the CIA is running America like a corporation, and this idea dates back to pre-Keyensian, Henry Ford -style industrialism. Consolidation of wealth was begun with the ghettos of Germany and Poland, but included also the consolidation of population not only in concentration camps across Europe, but simultaneously prison-internment camps for Japanese American immigrants and the reservations of Native Americans. The NAZI eugenicists and the fascist bankers worked tirelessly to drain the finances from one group and to transfer those liquid assets into the hands of another group. This involved the taking of 6 million lives in the European death camps alone.

The CIA is in control of pretty much ALL the world's wealth by now, because, if it is not being transacted directly in the economic credit-system, where the NSA can track it, it is being covertly spent on the "black" market and "laundered" through "front" companies. There probably

isn't an Aborigine in Australia who buys a coke bottle out of a coke machine that doesn't show up on the "grid." You might think this is funny, too ridiculous to be comprehensible. Sadly, it is true.

As a side note, the whole Cold War could be thought of as a family feud between the Rothschild European banking family and the Rockefeller "new" money from the American Federal Reserve bank. The same technique was used by both to fund, on the one hand, the Soviets, and on the other hand, the US "military / industrial" complex. First they extrapolated wealth through interest-bearing loans (the most evil idea of all) to states who had previously leeches their own wealth off the people via taxes (the second most evil idea of all, paying to be a slave).

Any time a group grows to a "critical mass" of power and financial centrality the "bubble bursts" and the "vacuum" is filled by the next "runner-up" who catches all the wealth trickling down from the implosion of the prior ruling class above. Thus, when the kingdoms had consolidated all the wealth of the serfs and there was no more wealth left for them to suck off the bones of our individual liberty, the international bankers arose to totally disempower the royals. The international banks' strategies are preserved in the CIA today by playing one side against another, cleaning out the "dead wood" and rebuilding over the ruins. The international bankers predicted their own fall to ultra-nationalised labour unionism (ie. revolutionary "communism"), so they financially supported their own worst enemies and so they bought out Lenin.

benpadiah wrote:

G) The banking families, in turn, were only employing the methods of private-interest consolidation of public wealth via sales (as opposed to taxes) that was employed via the Knights Templar (actually funded via the national rulers by the Vatican) during the Crusades, which in turn was then used to justify the Inquisition. This method of "killing the messenger" was employed to discredit the non-Zionist Diaspora (by the Holocaust), Nationalised Socialism (ie. Leninism), "fascism" (ie. gov't supply-side spending, later used by Reagan to bankrupt Russia by glutting the defense industry) as well as far-east "Imperialism" (ie. non-economic dictatorships) all in one fell swoop during WWII. In the Cold War they managed to discredit "Sovietism" as "collectivism" while making "Americanism" out to be "individualism" when in truth the opposite was true. The same effect has been used "covertly" (going un-covered by the US media) since then by the CIA to overthrow democratic politicians and install loyal political supporters of US business interests. I "believe" the same group has been behind all of these atrocities between the Crusades then and the current "war" in Iraq now. Moreover, this is only an active wing of a group whose passive wing was simply more dominant 2000 years ago than it is now. The group that the "active" (sun-crazed destroyers) wing and the "passive" (dark-ages, Maudner-minimum) wing belong to is a group of scientists that, and again this is only my "belief" or "theory," pre-existed the world-flood(s) at the end of the last ice-age (ie. originally the first homo-sapien chieftens, later called "Atlanteans," who we considered "primitive" and yet who erected the massive megaliths of that era no less than the highly civilised empire of Old Kingdom Egypt their own.)

So, let's start with the Priory of Zion, an extremist radical group of Catholic bishops, cardinals and monks. They were a clandestine group who gained favour with the false, puppet Popes by advocating repatriation of European Jews and their trade-guild unionism to "Israel," ie. Palestine. They gained so much financial favour among the elite pushing this method of population control and thus consolidation of wealth among the elite that they eventually garnered support for the Crusades.

For the purpose of population redistribution and economic control factors the Crusades were an

enormous success, however this was only the icing on the cake, the "cherry on top" to kick up the pseudo-authorities in the Papal court and the royal courts of the European nations. The Crusades were also, and far more importantly, a success in their real goal, which was to get the necessary archaeological artefacts smuggled out of Jerusalem and back to Europe. This is the exact same procedure as "Project Paperclip" bringing the Nazis and Fascisti troops over to the US, only in the case of the Crusades, the resources being exploited were not the knowledge held by individual people but the knowledge that could be gained from certain artefacts of ancient knowledge.

Of course, there is a lot of ex post facto conspiracy theorising about how the Pope betrayed the Templars. This is simply not true. The Pope was soured to the Templars by his advisors, as Pepin was sugared up by the Pope's promises of gaining the wealth of the Sorbonne of Merovius. These advisors were the ones responsible for the Fri. the 13th purgation of the Templars, and who do you think these advisors were? They were "occultists" such as practise still today just as they did then Astrology (calendrical astronomy), Tarot (cartomancy similar to Chinese I Ching), skrying (crystal vision-induced trance channeling), etc.

Was Jacques DeMolay a witch? Probably not. The Cathars may have, indeed, actually adopted ancient rituals for meditative enlightenment, but the likelihood of these being even as sinister as later actual witch-cults, provoked into covert Satanism by the Inquisition, is extremely low. The Inquisition created Satanism in the same way the invasion of Iraq is creating terrorists (guerilla warfare vigilantes).

However, behind all these there is the sinister, inner-occult order with no name. Nobody could tell you the exact names of its members, their role in history, their dates of birth and death, etc. Yet they have been the ones whispering into the ears of popes and kings and international bankers and now the CIA. These people are magicians.

Now there is nothing overtly evil about "magick," as it is spelt by its practitioners. Magick can be good if it is used only as little as cannot be avoided, but magick is bad if one is tempted to use it all the time. Magick, in this sense, is the same as inter-personal subliminal hypnotism, direct inter-personal mind-control, personal "mezmerism," charisma, etc. This can lead to shared growth, or to enslavement of one by another. It simply depends on who is doing what when, and what is necessary at that time for the artefacts to survive.

benpadijah wrote:

H) these ancient conspirators discovered the existence of a global energy grid that has allowed them to mind-control the masses and to time-travel themselves. I call this elsewhere the "Enochian Communications System" because it was first understood by homo-sapiens at the time of this biblical patriarch (ie. before the world-flood) and because it is based on John Dee's "Enochian" system as a framework for combining all the existing world religious "systems" into one, based not on myth and faith but on an extremely long calendar and a very high form of science (essentially, the study of esoteric systems for its own sake).

I'm not even going to go into the concept - I won't even dignify it by the pseudo-scientific rank of a "theory" - of alien insemination at our evolutionary origin as a species. In my opinion anyone who believes in alien inception of and alteration of our natural genetics, particularly by the Zeta Reticuli grey aliens, in our early recorded human history and called by the Sumerians the "Annunaki" and worshipped by them as Gods - anyone who follows this line of "logic," this line of "reasoning" - is wasting their precious time being inspired by false fire and merely misunderstanding the factual combination of an off-world virus with the earth-born bacteria at the time when spiral trilobites grew to inhabit the world-ocean not long after the earth itself had formed and begun cooling.

I've done alot of research on the Enuma Elish, the old testament apocrypha and pseudepigrapha, the 19th century collection of superfluous legends relative to the old testament, and QBLHistic cosmological source-texts such as the Zohar and the Book of Raziel. I've never found one goddamn shred of convincing, satisfactorally conclusive evidence that proves the "pantheons" of the ancients are actually a group of phased-energy beings, capable of mental navigation of inter-stellar travel. The only source to cite such a theory, even, since it is so patently absurd, is the "Lost Book of Enki" translated by Zecharia Sitchin, renowned alien-embryo conspiracy theoreist and self-proclaimed "Orientalist," supposedly from various fragmentary Sumerian source texts (transcribed from cuneiform engraved on clay pot sherds preserved beneath the silt layer of the middle-eastern event of the world-flood), however Sitchin does not provide his trademark xerox copy reproductions of these original source-texts. The sections that he has added in all cases bridge large gaps in narrative chronology between myths previously thought to be entirely separate traditions from one another.

And of course, our leaders, wooed by Icke, all dance now to the tune called by Zecharia Sitchin. The "Babylonian Brotherhood" are just people spreading the memetics of the "Annunaki" Aliens (ie. the "greys" as the "watchers," an idea first introduced by Raymond Fowler in 1984). This group is actually very small, but it is spread out in the distance and whispers its suggestions like the sound of the wind. Because they cannot be seen, but they cannot not be heard, this group of psychic conspirators is considered a much greater imposition on our individual minds, and a much greater threat (in the form of Zeta Reticuli greys) therefore, than it actually is in reality.

Now, on the other hand, I'm not going to say that "aliens" have never "visited" or "contacted" humans from earth. This is obviously true too, and easy to explain why it is not a much wider recognised phenomenon, most of the abductees are never returned. I simply reject the idea that they tampered with our genes to create a dominant trait branch and a submissive branch in our species. My main reason for doubting this premise is because it is a human idea. It is not alien enough for me to believe that would actually be the motive of a real extra-terrestrial species. Aside from the idea of them being a "care-taker" type species attached to our own, ie. like the "Holy Guardian Angel" of Abramelin and later of Crowley's Enochian Sex Magick, there seems to be no motive for a random alien species to have "visited" us 6000+ years ago, tampered with our evolution, and then left promising to return again someday. This is the type of nightmare experienced by an ugly child abandoned in a strip-mall parking-lot; it is a loser "script" not a "winner" script. If you want to believe it, you are free to do so, just as I am free to disagree.

Now, does anyone have any further questions on my theories on 9-11, the CIA, dark-age "magicians," and ancient aliens? I'll attempt to get back to the questions I'd passed over too, now that I'm done making my last point in regards to what I was saying.

Section 3::

"primary thesis"

::: Initial Solutions :::

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=oaQoD72v4-s&NR=1>

first, please check out this youtube video. It's a short commercial for something called "NPT" meaning "no plane theory." In my opinion, and get ready for a surprise, "npt" is NOT a "Theory." It's the Truth. As the video says, it explains all the NYC WTC 9-11 "mysteries." I know I had said before I thought the planes were remote flown, I have to admit that all the evidence I had to prove that theory fits into, as well as is added to by more, explanations under the title "NPT." IMO, "NPT" IS "9-11 Truth."

Consider this:

benpadiah wrote:

1) lack of plane wreckage. At ALL Four Sites, there is NO evidence of ANY plane crash.

A) The hole in the interior wall of the Pentagon was Speculated to have been caused by the nose-cone, but there were NO parts of the wings found in the external "impact" area on the outer-most wall.

B) do a youtube search for "new United 93" footage. I'll come back to the role of small affiliate news reports in a moment. All of the local news reports from the United 93 crash site interviewed the few police dispatched to the scene as saying there was very little debris, and that the impact crater was only 10 FEET ACROSS.

C) of course, we could say there was no wreckage from the WTC planes because there was no remains of the WTC towers themselves by the end of the day. That's a separate issue, along with Building 7.

::: possible explanations :::

A) "explosives on-board the planes." I've heard this mentioned in conjunction with the notion that anthrax or arsenic was to be used as part of the plan. The source claimed it was Al-Queida behind it, but implied collusion before-hand by the idea that the chemical weapon was to be used in the explosives that brought down the buildings themselves. These explosives, as I tried to state in the "9-11 Revisited" thread, are probably THE MOST IMPORTANT EVIDENCE of collusion because they imply the success of sleeper-cells before the supposed hijackings on that day. If there were evidence of there being sleeper-cells of Al-Queida operating in the US, they would use that info as public justification for their Draconian militarisation. Instead, if there was any collusion before-hand, it has been resoundingly denied by the official story supporters, including the current administration. However, it should also be noted that, if there were explosives ONLY on-board the planes, and NOT in the buildings themselves, it would still explain many anomalies (such as the flash-points ahead of the impacts of both WTC planes) as well as merely the lack of wreckage.

B) NPT.

2) "mystery planes."

A) The "UFO" (Global-Hawk) drone-planes I speculated in 9-11 Revisited to be "remote-control" for the "big planes." These are Clearly Visible even in early (likely unaltered) footage. They show up in footage of the first AND second impacts on the WTC towers.

B) The Air Force "Doomsday" airliner, equipt with the most advanced telecommunications equipment ever - to maintain the ("Shadow Government") "Continuity of Powers" Acts established by Executive Order under Clinton - filmed by CNN over the White House just before the explosion at the Pentagon. If this plane was mis-identified and was actually the hijacked flight that crashed into the Pentagon, it would be part of the official story. However, instead, the Air Force has admitted, although the

story has been hushed up, the plane actually is the "continuity of powers" Doomsday airliner. So, the question is, what was it doing flying through the controlled airspace above the White-House (which actually caused the evacuation of that building)?

C) in addition to these visible UFOs and Air Force jets, there are eye-witness reports of the plane that hit the Pentagon being much smaller, travelling much faster, and having no distinguishing markings on it. This has raised the speculation it was possibly a missile that impacted there. However, there are also eye-witnesses to the United 93 crash that claim they saw a smaller, unmarked plane fly overhead, but did not see OR hear ANY jet-liner. This has led to the speculation that it was a jet they saw. I've heard speculation that part of the "fall-back" story was to be that some "loyal" base commanders had, indeed, scrambled jets, and that United 93 was shot down by one of these.

::: possible explanations :::

A) "drone-planes" were used by the US military to remote-controlled pilot the "big plane" air-liners into the twin towers. It is also possible that a drone plane was remote-controlling United 93, and that it was a drone plane itself that was flown into the Pentagon. Level of need for collusion by the US Military: 100%. It would mean that the Pentagon bombed itself, and that Al-Quedia had exactly zero to do with 9-11, aside from (supposedly) claiming credit for it after the fact. This has been the theory I've subscribed to thus far. However then I found about NPT.

B) NPT.

3) Use of explosives.

A) I think that, by now, we pretty much all accept that the WTC towers were demolished. I don't think we all know who did this, necessarily. However it is quite clear who did it, and not only about WTC7, which Larry Silverstein, the WTC owner, admits to have "pulled." WTC7 collapsed around 7PM EST, was not hit by a plane, and only had fires on two lower floors. Larry Silverstein ADMITS to having said they "pulled" WTC7. More than this, we KNOW from having SEEN WITH OUR OWN EYES, the isolated explosions on lower floors AS the WTC towers each collapsed. Also, both collapsed faster than "free fall" speed, meaning that they were falling faster than they normally would under their own weight, and moreover, were being turned to dust as they were pulverised and imploded. The lone, FOX News eye-witness to report the "jet-fuel / structural integrity" theory is not credible enough evidence in the face of countless demolitions experts' testimony and physcists' computer-models. Yet that is the "official story" of the 9-11 Commission Report. No, quite to the contrary, WTC 1 & 2 were "pulled" by Larry Silverstein just as much as was Building 7. What is the evidence for that? Silverstein's firm the Blackstone Group's construction firm "LERA" were working the entire week before 9-11 installing new "security eqiptment." This was the thermate explosives used on 9-11. "LERA" has admitted this, saying that it was installed to demolish the building "in the event of massive structural integrity failure." The original WTC architect, interviewed prior to 9-11, stated the buildings were built to withstand an impact from a 747 going faster than 100 mph. In addition to

this, consider that Silverstein could not have had demolition equipment planted in WTC7 between when WTC 1 & 2 collapsed and when WTC was "pulled." It was already there.

B) pictures of the Pentagon's east wall taken the week before 9-11 also show a row of white trailers, such as seen most commonly being used as portable offices on-site for construction company contractors. This was explained, in spite of there being no construction equipment on site nor any obvious work being done, as installing new "security equipment." It has been speculated that it was these white trailers that were exploded along the wall of the Pentagon.

C) again, I've heard the theory expressed that the use of arsenic or possibly anthrax was in the "original plan" to be used in the bombing of the WTC. An airborne chemical weapon would be combined with an explosive to create a cloud of poisonous gas. The idea of a "dirty bomb" implies criminal motive in the prior planting of explosives in the WTC.

D) whether there were explosives used on United 93, and whether the Pentagon explosion was (also) a "dirty bomb," are irrelevant, but it would still be important to know. It would clear up whether there was a "flash-point" explosive used in the "big planes" to trigger the "ground-charges" at the targets, as well as whether the bombs planted on-site before-hand were or were not intended as a security measure in the event of attack (as implied by Silverstein's quote about "deciding to pull the building" at around 7PM about WTC7), or whether there was criminal intent in their being there.

::: possible explanations :::

A) fore-knowledge of the crime, implied by preparation for it before-hand, is, as I keep saying, THE MOST IMPORTANT EVIDENCE of collusion between ANY possible hijackers of "big planes" on 9-11 and ground-forces or "sleeper-cells" in place before the event. If there was a direct connection between, say, vans full of dynamite in the WTC basements on 9-11 (like what happened in 1993), then this would be considered a very important part of the 9-11 "official story" that would specifically indicate the amount of careful pre-planning involved by the "terrorist master-minds." However, because the explosives were, admittedly and by evidence, obviously planted by Larry Silverstein, WTC owner, in the WTC itself, then there is no way to account credibly for there being ANY collusion between Al-Quedia and "ground-force" sleeper-cells. It is simply too amazing to think there was malice afore-thought shared between Osama Bin Laden and Larry Silverstein. And Larry Silverstein has actually admitted to "pulling" WTC7.

B) NPT.

4) Confused eye-witness testimony. This is probably the most important one, and I think it should be considered a separate issue from the last point.

A) every single one of the local affiliate news reporters, and, until the CIA plant "eye-witness" was interviewed on FOX News about the "jet-fuel" theory that would become the official story, also the primary network news

reporters as well, all stuck to using the word "explosions" to describe what was occurring at the WTC. They used this word, in the PLURAL, before the second WTC tower first "exploded." Also, one of the local affiliates even said "bombs" but then corrected herself by saying, "I may be talking out of place," and adding, "I don't want to say 'bombs' yet." If the local affiliates were reporting multiple "explosions," then why weren't they reporting "plane crash." Simple: they had not yet been notified of there having been any hijacked planes. They thought there was only an explosion because they hadn't SEEN the first plane impact. So, if eye-witnesses to the first plane impact are ONLY people on the ground, and do not include ANY reporters able to confirm the plane-crash, then maybe there only WERE "explosions" (plural) and NOT a "plane-crash."

B) the fly-by footage shot from helicopter over the crash-site of United 93 does not show ANY plane-crash. It shows scattered debris, all later described as "none bigger than a phone-book," a barn (still standing), and a dark circular shape, which we are told by the overlapping narration, is the "impact crater." But the narrator may be confused. The "indentation" might also have been a protruberance. To me, and the footage was VERY shaky, it looked more like a small compost heap, as one might see near any barn. The footage also does NOT show anyone AT ALL present on the scene. This could be because the footage itself was shot when a rep from the governor's office did a helicopter fly-by "earlier" (as the narrator puts it). However the narrator quotes the gov's rep as seeing "only a few 'first responders.'" So, whether the ONLY helicopter footage of the United 93 crash shows any wreckage or not, WHY does it NOT show any "first responders" AT ALL? Later, a local cop interviewed at the scene described the "impact-crater" as a hole "10 feet across" said there was no debris "larger than a phone-book," and that there were "very few people there," some from the local police forces, and others "who looked like they were from" government agencies. He also described the crash-site as "very quiet," and said there were NO FIRES (!!!!!).

C) also, again, the eye-witness reports of the Pentagon and United 93 impacts do not report seeing ANY air-liners in the area at all. One eye-witness, driving on the road parallel to the Pentagon's east wall, reported seeing something fly across in front of him very low and very fast toward the Pentagon, however he also said that he "thought it was a missile," because it "didn't have any markings." The reports of some eye-witnesses in the area of the United 93 crash-site state they had not heard ANY plane flying over-head before the sound of the explosion. Others say they SAW a small, un-marked, white plane flying in the area before the explosion. Again, both independent sources used the word "explosion" and not "plane-crash." Neither of them saw OR heard a plane crash.

::: possible explanations :::

A) NPT.

5) lack of footage. Again I consider this a separate issue from the previous point. Aside from eye-witness and "first-responder" testimony ubiquitously dis-proving the "official version" there is an obvious and undeniable shortage of video footage.

A) there is only one officially KNOWN video tape of the plane hitting the

first WTC tower. This footage shows a flash-point explosion between the nose of the plane and the surface of the building before the plane's impact, as well as a mystery plane "UFO" Global Hawk. There are other videos of the first plane's impact. One of these videos DOES NOT SHOW A PLANE AT ALL. It shows the towers at an extreme distance, from the south end of the Holland tunnel. It does not show a plane. It does show an explosion. Another video from a high angle vantage point (possibly a surveillance camera on top of a support strut of the suspension bridge) clearly shows a plane strike, however the footage is only four or five frames long, in poor focus and additionally poorly transferred to the digital medium. Thus the plane looks more like a Hollywood special effect than it actually might have appeared in the original footage. However, and I want to stress something here because this is an important point of what I'm talking about right now, this footage appears to be from a SURVEILLANCE CAMERA, as it comes from a very HIGH ANGLE vantage point. I'll come back to that in a moment.

B) the footage of affiliate station local news reports in both NY and the area around the crash-site of United 93 have been actively suppressed. Instead, whenever any footage of the impacts or interviews with eye-witnesses is shown, it is always the same, national network footage. This includes the only video of the first crash and primarily the CNN footage of the second crash. Rarely, we see the footage from the parking lot surveillance camera at the Pentagon as well. Obviously there was no footage of the United 93 impact, but then why don't we see the footage by affiliate stations of local eye-witness interviews. And what ABOUT the footage shot by CNN of the "Doomsday" plane flying over the White House? Now, this in itself is not particularly spectacular. But what I'm going to say next might seem so...

C) There are three types of 9-11 footage: high vantage stationary and low vantage stationary or mobile. Now, we are used to seeing planes in the high-vantage stationary footage. This footage is "eye in the sky" footage shot from SURVEILLANCE cameras on top of bridges, buildings and cellular telephone towers. It is then leased to news stations. The footage itself belongs to the company that owns the cameras. In some cases, the cameras are installed by news agencies, other times by the private companies who own the property, and in some cases (such as, obviously, at the Pentagon) they are the property of the federal government or an affiliate police surveillance program. All the high vantage stationary footage aired by FOX News was shot by a camera company called WESCAM. Wescam is a military intelligence contracting company. The CNN low-vantage stationary footage was also shot on a surveillance camera.

D) Of the low-vantage mobile footage there are also two kinds. The first kind is that which was released on the day of 9-11. All of this footage shows the planes hit the towers. But where did it come from? Aside from the explanation for the footage of the first plane, that it was shot while on-location interviewing NYC firemen by a German documentary film-maker team, there was no one credited with having shot the other low-vantage mobile footage of the second plane's impact. It is all credited as proprietary ownership by the national News networks who, we must expect, purchased it from eye-witnesses. However there are some holes in this notion: the standard contract for purchase of footage from an eye-witness with a camera by any news agency, local or national, involves signing forms, waivers etc. Usually these stipulate whether the person wants to be

credited as the source of the footage. On 9-11 NONE were. Also in the contract are clauses involving royalties for profits gained by distribution (resale) of the footage. So, let's say that, each time their footage of 9-11 was resold by CNN to the other national news networks, CNN was paid for it, and so CNN would legally owe some of the sale-price to the original producer of the footage itself. If this applied in 9-11, there would be literally two or three MILLIONAIRES who were responsible for the primary low-vantage mobile footage. But ONLY two or three. The question is, why does additional 9-11 footage keep surfacing now, several years later, while the only news footage that has been aired during all that time has been the same few clips? The answer may surprise you.

E) none of the unreleased low-vantage, mobile footage shows the planes. Instead, most of it is either out-of-frame during the second tower's exploding - indicating that there was nothing to make the videographer expect the second tower impact (such as the sight of a 757 approaching it), or else the footage... sit down and breathe deeply... Shows THE TOWER EXPLODE, but, again, SHOWS NO PLANE HITTING IT. This is not a trick of the angle nor the light. There is at least one long-distance, mobile, low-angle vantage point shot showing, quite clearly, the explosion of the second tower. It does not show a plane anywhere near either before, during, or after this explosion. This is the same thing seen by the Holland Tunnel stationary camera. There is also footage online of the first plane actually flying PAST the first tower as it exploded (however I speculate this footage may be dubious). These alternative sources for low-vantage, mobile footage should be considered extremely important. They represent the ONLY reliable eye-witness accounts to the events, since all the high and low vantage stationary footage, as well as the low-vantage mobile footage of the first plane shot by the German documentarians, was released THAT DAY, and thus its proper processing between being recorded on film and being aired on national network news tv stations should be considered suspect. There is enough reasonable doubt to at least speculate that all the footage we saw that day was doctored, and that it is only this same footage we see in the major media still to this day.

::: possible explanations :::

A) NPT.

I'd urge anyone who reads this to strongly consider the possibility that the planes flying into the WTC were, as we have long suspected was the plane that flew into the Pentagon, not really there.

NPT explains: 1) lack of plane wreckage; 2) "mystery planes;" 3) use of explosives; 4) confused eye-witness testimony; 5) lack of footage.

The MOST IMPORTANT NEW piece of information provided by the NPT is in regards to the lack of footage. I'd urge you all to do some research into optical imaging systems, and start here:

<http://www.wescam.com/default.asp>

The reason I say there in specific is because of the following still image, taken from the youtube commercial video I cited at the top of this article, showing the 9-11 FOX News camera apparatus in a pull-back shot.



:plate 20

The camera mounting clearly reads WESCAM, and, as you yourself can confirm by visiting their website, Wescam are primarily a military-intelligence contractor, providing digital camera technologies equipt with various imaging capabilities (such as infrared as used by police in night-time man-hunts, as seen in their demo video) mounted on police helicopters, UN-MANNED AERIAL RECONNAISSANCE VEHICLES (such as the Global Hawk), and, apparently, the FOX News camera antenna on 9-11.

::: Preliminary Findings :::

Wrap your brain around this... (!)

as I've said, the NPT explains the presence of "mystery planes," such as the Global Hawk "UFO's" AND the "Doomsday" E-4B COG ("Continuity of Government") plane. So, getting past the knee jerk reaction of "the Global Hawk and E-4B ARE planes. How can the NPT be true if there ARE planes?"

I'll tell you how.

Dick Cheney and George Bush sr. were co-ordinating "operation Global Guardian" from Strategic Command centre on the white-house "Doomsday plane" on the morning of 9-11. Global Guardian was a cover-story for 9-11. But then, the collapse of the towers on 9-11 was really a cover-story for the (attempted) theft of several hundred tonnes of gold from the vault beneath them. I'll come back to that.

Let's talk first about the Global Hawk "UFO's" in NYC. Why do I keep calling them "UFO's"? Because when a visible aircraft is equipped with an activated cloaking device, and ceases to be visible, it becomes an "unidentified flying object." The Global Hawks of 9-11 had such a cloaking device. They appear on the videos, but only as a shine here or a smudge there. They also had something else I bet you didn't know we have. They had holographic projectors.

Surprised? You shouldn't be! We all know the technology we the people have access to is at least fifty years behind what the military industrial complex has developed at Area 51 and other R&D labs. In fact, the further the military industry lags behind the spy-ware, the smaller the gap between rich and poor becomes. Likewise, the further the private sector lags behind the military, the greater the gap becomes.

Consider this: a hologram is an image that appears to be real enough, existing externally to us, in the "three dimensions" of the real world, possessing visible attributes. But a hologram is intangible for one very important reason. It is not actually where it appears. It is an "optical illusion," or, more bluntly, a "Hallucination." It's like a ghost: it looks real, but it's only in your mind's eye. It's not really there.

There are two ways to render a hologram: 1) smoke and mirrors. This renders an objectively verifiable apparition, but one that is, and I stress this, Still NOT REAL. The other is easier, and that is 2) to bend the brain of a person such that they can see something where there isn't anything really there.

This used to be called "insanity." Talking to yourself is normal. It's called an "interior monologue" for a reason though. When you hear someone else answering back, you are experiencing the realm that used to be accessed by "spiritists," "shamen" and other "sophists."

Then it was called "tripping." This still involved causing massive psychic trauma to the self of the individual experiencing it, though it would open up the flood-gates to a group awareness of extra-sensory stimulation. It doesn't matter if we communicate or not, we are all sharing the same experience either way. So whether we "answer back" or not, we are of "one mind" anyway.

Now it's called the "technological singularity." The people who used to be "mad" men living out in the woods have come into our towns, showed us their pharmacopeia, and are now pitching us the Sneeze-Machine of the "technological singularity" as the name for the space-age revolution of microchips in the 50's to follow the industrial revolution only 50 years before that. Futurists talk about internet-ready computer-screen contact-lenses as if this was somehow different from what we already do have: eye-balls and a mind with an imagination.

I say, plant the chip in the dip. I say, here take this placebo: a shred of paper we tell you has a chemical substance called "L period S period D full-stop" in it that will make you act liberated but which will wear off. Oh, yeah, and one day it might be used as a way to remote-control trigger a "suicide/kill" switch in everyone ever exposed to it all at once. Oh, well, that's the Apocalypse for you. LOL!

Nowadays, the technologists preach that creating a mass hallucination occurring only in the minds of a large audience would actually be EASIER than projecting a single external object that would appear to be there but wasn't actually. To accomplish the latter, you need a medium: that's smoke and mirrors. Otherwise, you can just warp the public mind.

We know the Global Hawk is equipped with the most advanced tele-communications technology ever, and we know that we can accomplish using tele-communications technology external to it many of the same effects we can cause inside our brain using chemistry. We can alter brainwave states

with music, for example, as easily as we can get drunk. And the effect is the same: Ignorance is bliss. So is light hypnotic trance.

So what am I saying...? Am I saying that 9-11 was a mass hallucination? Am I saying there were no planes flown into the WTC twin towers? Am I saying the Global Hawks emitted a mind-control "ray-beam" that made us all think we saw something that wasn't actually happening? Why? Let ME ask YOU this then: WHERE ARE THE BODIES? Why did the planes AND the towers ALL vapourise into fine dust??

You don't know where those people are now.
And I don't know why they aren't here now.

But I do know that, the same morning Dick and Herb were flying sky-high on "Doomsday," there was a gold-heist going on in a tunnel below WTC5. And I can't help but wonder if the one thing might not have something to do with the other... but honestly, I'm not qualified to say what. I can jump, but only so high. I think I'll go back to sleep now. Since I'm so mind-washed. Oh, wait, sorry, what's that you said about a "ray-beam"? Did someone say "Woody" playing the Harp? Did we get on the NSA's nerves yet?

::: Further Findings :::

let's get down to brass tacks about this:

There were no "big" planes involved in the collapse of the NY WTC towers. We've all seen footage, and we're sick to death of it, with tonnes of buzzing helicopters everywhere.

Look at the footage that has been released: all of it that is from a high vantage point, with the exception of only the FOX affiliate news chopper (the so-called "fake nose" footage they call it in "Sept. Clues"), drifts. It lists to the right or the left like a camera on a track. In fact, that's one of the video-tricks they use in the "fake nose" Fox chopper footage - the background drifts the opposite way the foreground does.

While we are so used to seeing the footage we probably wouldn't think much of this, it's a pretty important feature. The drifting camera angle in every high-vantage network shot (rotating either clockwise or counter-clockwise around the WTC towers at the center) shows this same very slow, very smooth, exactly even horizontally and steady-rate drift. The natural implication is that these camera angles were coverage from the helicopters we see buzzing all around everywhere. However, this footage is clearly not shot on a hand-held unit. It is clearly shot from a turret mounted on the underside of the chopper.

So, if all the "news" (or at least, "live-feed" coverage) cameras were manufactured by the same company as the FOX News network antennae cam I mentioned earlier - "WESCAM" - and if this company is a DOD contractor, then this would imply complicity between the DOD, "WESCAM" and the news media, and that's pretty much the entire "shock 'n' awe" crew right there.

I feel like it's necessary to mention something about the CIA at this point, although IMO this should be perfectly obvious. The CIA is "over" the NSA. The CIA "out-sources" covert operations through front-businesses, usually for the purpose of public interface or money-laundering. So it is natural that it's offspring agency, the NSA, the "code-breakers," would do the same. So, that's what WESCAM is. An NSA front. Just like, since 9-11, the DOD has been a "front" for the CIA. You can't argue that the interests of our commander-in-chief aren't dictated to him directly from Langley.

Now, to return to the footage the helicopters shot of each other. What do we see? Well, it's obvious what we see. We see what are clearly helicopters flying everywhere. Okay, that's obvious. That's clear. Now what about markings on them? What about what colour they are? What about who was flying those choppers, and, most importantly of all, what about the people, inside the choppers or not, who operated their cameras? Let's go through this step by step.

One of the choppers was a Russian film crew. It did a slow fly-by from the north headed south. It did not hover around at all. It flew straight by. Another one of these choppers was, of course, the FOX News affiliate chopper that shot the "fake-nose" footage. It was also approaching from the north, specifically east of the Russian chopper, and headed south. After the second "plane" struck, there is no more footage released from this hand-held, helicopter vantage. Some of the helicopters buzzing around that day were also PAPD, NYPD and NYFD. Only a little footage, to my knowledge as of this moment, has been released from only one of these choppers - a very brief and smoke-engulfed shot from just above tower 1 to demonstrate the difficulty of performing a rescue. So, we can definitely say, and say with certainty, that we can account for MOST of the choppers in the area that morning. If we consider the WESCAM cam-mounted helicopters as "news" copters, then no one up there that morning should be left over unaccounted for to do something nefarious like, say, launch a rocket into the WTC tower.

But there's still some problems. There is a very big problem in that the WESCAM cam-mounted helicopters don't actually appear in any of the video shot by the hand-held FOX News affiliate chopper, in any still-pics shot from the Russian helicopter fly-by, in any of the video shot by low-vantage mobile videographers (either produced or eye-witness), nor, for that matter, do they appear in any footage outside of the footage shot by the WESCAM helicopters themselves. They show one another, but no one else shows them.

Now, I've already said I believe there were "cloaked" aircraft there that day. I believe there were "Global Hawk" UAV's (un-manned aerial vehicles) there, and that these were equipt with an optical camouflaging system...

plate 21:



(the so-called "invisi-suit" developed by a company in Vietnam in 2004 for retail clothing)

...that rendered them "all but invisible" to anyone on the ground. These, I still believe, are the so-called "Orbs" of 9-11, the shiny metallic, shape-shifting globules that are becoming common sights behind planes releasing "chem-trails." These are all, I believe, the Global Hawks being deployed to create a huge surveillance net over all airspace.

But there was another kind of "cloaked" aircraft on 9-11 than only the "Global Hawk." These are the WESCAM helicopters. So, what are we looking at? We're looking at two different kinds of "cloaked" aircraft: 1) fully-cloaked drone-planes (Global Hawks); 2) partially-cloaked black helicopters (Black Hawks). So, let's look a little closer at these WESCAM "black helicopters."

We've known about the "black helicopter" technology since before Waco, but if you need evidence you can start at the Waco commercial airport where, for weeks before the siege was official, "mysterious" black helicopters had been parked. They had no markings (to distinguish them as military) and were all black.



plate 22: (here are some parked at Ruby Ridge, the standoff in which Randy Weaver's son's dog "attacked" a SWAT agent in their woods, causing the agent to shoot the dog. When her son ran home, the ATF shot Randy Weaver's wife in the face while she was nursing their newborn infant. This is not fiction.)

There were, at that time, basically two kinds. One was the bubble, presumably for reconnaissance. The other was the standard "Black Hawk" attack chopper popularised years later in the film "Black Hawk Down." It's basically an Apachee attack helicopter, just unmarked and all black (like the Cobra one from the line of GI Joe toys but without the big sticker of a hissing snake on it).

Now, what I have to say next is probably going to be tough to swallow, but bear with me: the "black" helicopters were developed, along with all the other "black"-budget "stealth" aircraft, such as the "Blackbird" and the "B-1" stealth-bomber, at Area 51. Like everything that comes out of this modern Skunk-Works, "stealth" technology is "dual-use," dual-purpose" or "dual-function." That is military jargon for it having more than one application.

Specifically, what we should be looking at here are the Az/El monopulse RF MMIC radar-muffling antennae designed by Northrop Grumman for the (now discontinued) RAH-66 Comanche Helicopter. cf. http://www.irconnect.com/noc/press/pages/news_releases.html?d=31875

It's specific "dual-use" or "dual-function" involves mind control.

plate 23:



the Az/El monopulse RF MMIC radar-muffling antennae creates a transposable field of pulsed EM frequencies.

Now, the admitted, or intended, or original function of the "cloaking" antenna was to muffle rotor updraft soundwaves by creating an ion-field around the chopper of pulsed frequency scalar waves of electricity. It was, apparently, thought that pulsing EM-field wavelengths opposite the air-distorting sound-waves from the propeller could be used to counter-balance and nullify the noise drag from updraft in the rotors. The desired effect was to find a frequency of EM pulse-rate that would negate, or drone in and out with, the helicopter's rotary rotation rate. It's unknown by me by now whether or not this desired effect was ever achieved.

I know it was reported at first that the chopper pilots had difficulty using the new system. There were, apparently, very specific side-effects to sitting so close to two, inter-phased loud noises going "thup-thup-thup" opposite each other: psychotic hallucinations. This was blamed, early on, on the pulsed frequency's rhythmic alteration of the macular and intra-ocular pressure differentials. In other words: the loud "thup-thup-thup" noise changed the air-pressure outside the eyes so fast that it made the fluid interiors of the eyes unable to keep up, essentially causing them to slosh around, and, according to the military, that is what caused the visual hallucinations.

Immediately, the DOD seized on the conversion of the stealth technology chopper rotor muffler for "dual-purpose" use as a "soft-kill weapon" (like tasers) that were becoming the fad at the time within western domestic security forces. They tested "soft kill" weapons and "psych-war" strategies at, again, Waco, blaring cacophonous and distorted sounds mixed with subliminal suicide suggestions through loud speakers at the compound for days on end, as well as flashing a strobe light at irregular intervals every night for a week.

But here's where the paper-trail seems to run dry for the history of this particular type of antenna. It's known that VLF EMFs audibility can be localised to one specific person, and that these are the same VLF's comprising our own cumulative EM frequencies or "brain-waves." We have delta, theta, alpha, etc. And it is known that, IF the EM field generated around the helicopter by the "Tesla coil"-like (torus-shaped) antenna could be aimed and projected at a distance away from the chopper itself, then it could produce more than merely audible / sub-sonic (psychic) distortions.

To put it bluntly, BLACK HELICOPTER(S) + TESLA COIL(S) = CLOAKING, where cloaking is the same as mind-control when projected at a distance.

But there's a couple important points we shouldn't miss here: The WESCAM choppers were there to run interference with the media. They were only there to provide the "official story" (planes) simul-cast over-top of the actual events (explosions). We see the helicopters in ALL the "official" footage, but we only see the "UFO's" in raw footage. This represents conscientious delegation of task: the helicopters were semi-stealth; the Global Hawks were super-stealth. Simple. The UAVs kept us from seeing the actual events, while the black helicopters showed us the "official" version.

Say I wanted to know EXACTLY what TIME something VERY specific happened, such as the EXACT TIME the 2nd "plane" exploded. There is an approximately 3 second delay between the feed-time for CNN and that for FOX and between that for ABC and CBS. Now, correct me if I'm wrong, but I do not think this leaves enough time in which to cut and paste the plane graphic from the "live" FOX Affiliate's hand-held camera on the south-bound helicopter (the "fake nose" shot).

Thus, there are DIFFERENT "Exact" Times for any one event, each from the different news networks, and each delayed from the others by different intervals of up to three seconds. So first the 2nd "plane" explodes on CNN, then, three seconds later, on FOX, then, three seconds later, ABC, then, three seconds later, CBS. You can either take the WESCAM footage and synch it up by matching on action mise en scene, or you can play the multiple channels' different live-feed coverages synched to a separate clock to see the time-delay between one channel and another.

But I, in no way, endorse the idea that the graphics of planes that were "matched" during the first three second gap (between "live" action, as captured by the FOX affiliate chopper on hand-held shot, or the so-called "fake nose" shot, as well as the high vantage, stationary antenna, affiliate footage showing NO PLANE AT ALL, and the first network WESCAM shot on CNN) were generated in "real time." They are perfect computer composite simulations, 3-d model rendered, to account for pitch of "plane" decline relative to drift of image capturing WESCAM camera. In fact, they're "too perfect." There is one, all-black, blotchy, silhouette version. The rest show the plane in ultra-realistic visual clarity. If the matches to live footage were calculated during the first 3-second gap or not I won't speculate yet (although this would explain the "fake nose" footage), but the "plane" composites themselves were perfectly pre-rendered. This part is IMPORTANT.

The fact that the "plane" images were all pre-shot (or 3d cgi rendered) indicates the helicopters drift rates around the buildings would have to have been pre-synched to the "plane" images pitch of decline. So, either the planes were 3d cgi models that could be moved onscreen in real-time such that live footage of the 2nd tower exploding could be integrated simultaneously in front of and behind the super-imposed maquette of the "plane" image (essentially mixing a real-world backdrop with a controlled video image in real-time); or else the helicopters positioning relative exactly to one another would have to be network co-ordinated between a single relay source running them all on a pre-programmed flight path and this pre-programmed flight-path would have to be co-ordinated also to match the pitch of decline of the pre-recorded "plane" images with the drift of the helicopters.

Well, between these two options one leaves less to last-second chance and the other is safer from a computer-programmer's point-of-view. If the "plane" images were 3d cgi integrated with the background image only during that three second gap between the actual event and CNN's "live" feed, this would explain the ~24 frame long "black-screens" on the FOX and ABC footage. However, I do not think that an operation of such scope and magnitude would be so carefully pre-planned and, to a milli-second, orchestrated, only to let the "plane" images be wedded to their back-drop images of the twin towers on 9-11 as the towers themselves were exploding. Either way, there is still footage out there that fits in the gap of those ~24 frame "black-screens," and one of these is the affiliate, high-vantage, stationary-antenna shot that clearly shows NO PLANE. No, if the "fake nose" notion is viable, it is only so as an alternative "fall-back" explanation for the "nose-cone / missile" exiting WTC2 in the NBC street-level, stationary "live-feed" news SURVEILLANCE CAMERA footage.

So, we've got WESCAM street-views from surveillance cameras, sky-cams on antennae and turret-mounted under black helicopters. All the WESCAM sky-cams show one another, but they aren't there in any of the affiliate or amateur shots. Every single WESCAM footage shows the plane in high-res except one that shows it as a splotchy blob. There is only one affiliate shot (the "fake-nose") that seems to have been altered. All the rest are direct-to-network feeds.

I've long held that 9-11 was nothing but an "arms show." It was also a very complicated magickal act. The trick was to use technology in much the same way as the Sanhedrin had crucified Christ 2000 years ago (if you believe it). Symbolism was chosen carefully: the twin towers conjuring up the "Lightning Struck Tower" tarot trump, the "planes" the ominous Nostradamus quatrain X72. Then, all that remained was to drop the axe. The twin towers represent the Tree of Life and the Tree of Knowledge of Good and Evil. But they also represent the tower of Babel. All that remains is dust. 9-11 was as psychological as, perhaps even moreso than, it was physical. Considering as how it did not physically take place as we all "saw" and experienced, I'd say the entire event should be considered as between the material and the immaterial planes.

It was intended to be an important part of the myth that there is so little evidence and that it is so incredibly fake and circumstantial, even while the bodies of 90% of the "victims" of 9-11 have never been recovered, not so much as a single strand of hair. They claim in the 9-11 Commission Report that the wallet of Maoussoui was found outside the WTC. They claim a complete and unburned Arab head-band was found on the "crash" site of United 93. These pieces of "evidence" were unquestionably "planted" by the FBI and the 9-11 investigators. And yet, not one bone of more than one in a hundred of those who went "Missing" on 9-11 has been found.

So where did they all go? Where does anyone go when they die? Well that one even I don't know, but I'll tell you this right now: there are, now, two parallel realities diverging on 9-11-2001. In one, nothing happened, and the 2000+ people never "disappeared," there were never "explosions," and we never had a subsequent cover-up in the form of a "botched investigation" and a blitzkrieg of Ragnarok porportion. The ones we lost on 9-11 are still alive there. They exist in a reality over-top of ours, that over-laps ours invisibly, intangibly, which we cannot see, but which we can feel with our hearts and grasp with our minds. We are aware of this much better reality, and that's why we know something is very wrong with the one in which we live instead.

Now, where it gets spooky is looking at the "reverse-engineering" mentality of Area 51. It is as if they were using light-sabers for hammers because they didn't know they could be used as lasers. Then, suddenly and "autonomously" everyone discovers the laser-sword can be used to cut, and was never intended to be used as a hammer. Then, everyone metastasises and we all "spontaneously" realise that using a high-tech laser as merely a hammer is completely counter-intuitive to our own benefit, and so we might wonder at our own prior stupidity so much we create ne "myths" (Lies) to alienate ourselves from our true roots.

Dick Cheney had been orchestrating war-games (Operation Global Guardian was the phase being conducted on 9-11) for the better half of the entire year. The military was in overhaul. Out with the old (using it to test an attack against what's new) so that you can sell it to pay back for the new (thus supplying the enemy with arms inferior to requisite counter-measure) - the difference is just pocketed by bankers in Amsterdam and "forgotten." So, as far as Cheney is concerned, 9-11 was simply a run-away war-game that became real. They were practising "urban-warfare" by invading domestic targets (particularly poor black neighborhoods over-run by CIA-supplied crack) while Rumsfeld plead, a week before 9-11, for extreme cuts to military spending (code:: streamlining sale!). Troop movement stickers were on some street signs here and there as long as a decade ago. This is why "no jets were scrambled" on 9-11. The war-game exercise (Global Guardian) called for most of the airforce to be deployed near the north-western Canadian border or the Gulf of Mexico region (near NASA in FLA). They were simply "too far away. Thus, the military committed the blunder of the millennium and couldn't cover all it's bases." Or at least, so is the official story of the 9-11 Commission Report.

9-11 opened a rift between two parellel realities. In one of them, 9-11 never happened. In the other, the one we are currently, and unfortunately, living in, 9-11 did happen, and so, as a consequence, have followed the devastation of Afghanistan, the "endless war" in Iraq, neo-cons consolidating war-powers (intelligence agencies) into one unified core group (the Dept. of Homeland Security - look up Dick Cheney's non-gay daughter on wiki. She worked in the Dept. of HLS drafting a paper entitled "the Democratisation of Iran."), which also has been given cart-blanche on domestic spying in exchange to the (now privatised) military the distraction from protection of citizens of torturing "terrorist enemies," etc. So, we call the reality in which we exist now, in which 9-11 did happen, "Hell," while refering to the Other Realm, in which 9-11 didn't happen, "Heaven." We want to live in "heaven," so, even though we accept the fact 9-11 is a lie, we simply ignore the consequences of it obviously believing that the "political market" will "balance itself."

But what we're battling over here is, no matter how "quaint" it is to pretend it is only over "Rights" and "Liberty" and the Constitution, actually VERY Highly Advanced TECHNOLOGY. It harnesses the mind's power to warp reality and to, ultimately, cause a complete rift to open between two parellel universes, such as happened on 9-11. Perhaps you think I'm "getting ahead of myself" in this assertion. Let me just get to the point then: The planetary alignment of 5/5/2000 -> 9/11/2001 here on earth -> the solar and galactic-core black-hole synchronisation of 12/21/2012. Dick Cheney, a Bohemian Grover, obviously knows a thing or two about astrology, I'd bet.

plate 24:



Where there's mind-control, there's time travel. The mind is the mechnism that controls time.

When the EM-field of the mind warps, the first distortions to be experienced are temporal.

If we can all agree on WHO then the WHY doesn't matter. That's logic. All we need to know in order to act is WHO, because the WHAT, WHEN, WHERE and HOW are ALL CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY. If we DO agree that the WHO as to malice-aforethought (pre-meditation) is best determined by motive, means and opportunity, and we can also agree that the first clue is WHO BENEFITTED, then I think it should be pretty obvious to us all also that WHO BENEFITTED ALSO HAD THE MOTIVE, MEANS and OPPURTUNITY, and also have ALL ADMITTED TO FORE-KNOWLEDGE when under oath, but simultaneously DENIED FORE-KNOWLEDGE to the media. This is ALL OBVIOUS EVIDENCE OF THEIR GUILT. Who are these people? I think that, so long as we can agree on the first part, WHO BENEFITTED, then we can agree on how they should be dealt with.

I'll go on about the WHY in my next post, but I, for one at LEAST, do not believe the motive, in this case, could ever justify the means. In some cases I can imagine the ends justifying the means. If there WERE going to be a sudden flash-freeze followed by the next North-American ICE AGE and 9-11 were the ONLY way to prevent, not postpone, but PREVENT that, or possibly an ELE for our whole species at the "hands" of hostile EBE grey aliens, then MAYBE. But there is not going to be a sudden glaciation of North America (Asia, MAYBE), and it is more likely HUMAN MIS-USE of ALIEN TECHNOLOGY that was responsible for allowing 9-11. So, IMO AT LEAST, no matter what LIES these SCUM squeel to beg for our mercy, the LAW OF GOD ON HIGH IS ON OUR SIDE. WE SHOULD EXCERCISE OUR RIGHT TO KILL THEM.

All we have to agree on is WHO. After that, the WHY is not a factor.

That being said, I'll now state WHO and WHY I personally believe did it.

::: Primary Findings :::

Before I made that last post I'd taken copious notes on the WHO and WHY of 9-11. I'm going to write this based off those notes, so bear with me...

I. introduction ...

9-11:: WHY?

Q proposed in the 9-11 Revisited thread that he believed there were "alot of different" individuals and "groups involved in" and responsible for "9/11." So, let's start there. We can develop from that idea an "octopus model" of participants specifically involved in the events on 9-11 and the subsequent cover-up of those specific events. Granted more people than only those those involved before, during and after the facts of 9-11 have directly benefitted from 9-11, so on the following "octopus model" diagram, I do not include such subsequent consolidations of agencies as the Dept. of "Homeland Security," local police agencies, private (domestic and abroad) security companies such as Blackwater, etc. etc. etc.

In any event, here's an "octopus model" of the conspirators of 9-11:

plate 25:



Note bene: the "Octopus model" for a conspiracy combines the "Hydra" (many-headed) with the "Leviathan" (single-headed) myths of government, and resembles the delegation of authority between any two levels of the "Pyramid" model for same.

I. A. Approcah:

If there were different, competing groups (including "terrorists," politicians, the media, etc.) then what we have to ask, in retrospect, is WHO benefitted MOST Since, and WHO benefitted MOST Immediately. The answers to these questions are obvious. WHO benefitted MOST Since are the neo-cons who support the export of urban-combat and domestic urban construction. WHO benefitted MOST Immediately was Larry Silverstein, who supports urban "renewal" (construction), and the media, who support advancement of domestic surveillance systems.

If those who benefitted first and those who've benefitted most since are the same people, such as in the case of 9-11, then we can safely say that group of people are "behind" the event, in this case that being 9-11. So, if we say that the WHO is the "neo-cons" then the

TOP MOTIVE has to be theirs. Thus, if each group that participated was TOLD a different reason, and thus each group had a different goal, then ONLY the motive of the First Planners matters. Therefore, we should rule out the question of "WHY" for any smaller, separate groups beneath the "umbrella" group of the "neo-cons."

Therefore, to find out WHY they did it, we have to ask WHO are the "neo-cons"? They started out as a small, right-wing conservative boy's fraternity at Yale University, an "Ivy League" college, in the 1930's. We know they had family business ties to the German NAZI party before WWII. It is my speculation they were smuggled some LSD that was being tested by IG Farben at Auschwitz. The first generation of Yale "Bonesmen" went on to found the CIA, the first US international spy agency, and, with their first operation, "Project Paperclip," continued to work with German NAZI scientists. Through "front" businesses, the same CIA "Bonesmen" soon became the most wealthy elite alive ever, and founded such groups as the Bildebergers and the Bohemian Grove camp where they could interface with other wealthy elites to plan a globalist coup de tat of the governments of all developed nations via the IMF, WTO and world bank.

Secondly, we have to ask HOW have the "neo-cons" benefitted from 9-11? They've benefitted in three main ways: 1) consolidation of the value of money from solid material wealth into cashless credit; that is, they have shifted the goal of everyone's work to be something they already possessed. 2) consolidation of technological R&D into spy-ware and non-lethal weapons, thus social-control systems; that is, they have put themselves "behind" the cameras that spy on us. A good example of how they've "tilted" the "flat-earth" model of power in their favour is by supplying local police agencies with better training and equipment than they have allowed for the foreign-deployed military. This economic gap between small, local police agencies and the middle-class military has increased the gap between the shrinking number of rich and the growing number of poor. They then propose as the solution to this economic disparity the same mechanism responsible for its cause in the first place: the privatisation of the military, the internationalisation of private industry, and the ownership by a political elite of these private industries. 3) MOST IMPORTANTLY: the "neo-cons" have benefitted by the consolidation of human psychology into a focal-point of an oppression-based paradigm. Thus, the "War Against Terror" implies we must feel FEAR. In these ways, by consolidating first wealth on the global currency futures market, second technology in enforcement of their policies, and third the public attention on a feeling of fear and hopelessness, the "neo-cons" have benefitted from 9-11.

So, to understand their motives, we have to put our minds into the set of the "neo-cons," and try to think what they thought that motivated them to perpetrate 9-11. To do that we have to look at evolution.

II. Evolution.

To the "common mind," evolution means survival. Firstly, survival of the individual, which means allowing them to murder someone else if it was necessary. Second, survival of the species, which means the necessity of gender-breeding. This is the "popular view" of evolution. Unfortunately, this view is TOTALLY WRONG.

Technically speaking, evolution occurs by genetic mutations causing new adaptability to environmental changes. In short, evolution IS mutation.

A. survivalist "realism" and mutant "idealism"

Now, mutation, that is, evolution, can occur either for an entire species at once, or

it can occur first for a small group that then grows larger within a mass population. The second model of evolution - mutation by an increasing group within a population, we can see as being more common a belief, and we can call the belief in this model "survivalist realism," or the belief in "survival of the fittest."

"Realists" recognise that it is necessary to lose the slower, sick or old to "thin the herd" to conserve resources only to the survivors. Thus, it is also "realism" to believe that we need to be responsible for our own "population control" by murdering those whose mutations differ from our own in order to perpetuate the spread of only our own mutation. The result of murder, an act committed on a mass scale exclusively by humanity, are war, famine, plague and disaster, ie. the "four horsemen."

"Realists" of the sort that thus rationalise murder as necessary to survival comprise the ranks of a group belonging to neither the most evolved, or rather, most adaptively mutated, few, NOR to the lesser evolved gross populace. This group causes what we experience as our gradual "averaging out" as a species, where we become more and more culturally homogenized into a single "middle" group between non-existent and imaginary polarising extremes. We come to believe it is necessary for the survival of our species that the majority of the population be controlled unto death by the "four horsemen," and that the privileged elite should suffer the fate of being "dumbed down" into mediocrity.

Thus, the view that "Realists" who rationalise murder preach, and that, they assure us, most of us also accept, results in neither the majority of unevolved troglodites NOR the evolved elite to benefit. By creating the perceived necessity for a "middle-class," the 2nd-place "runners-up" in the evolutionary mutation race replace the most advanced intelligentsia, making the rightful philosopher-kings into their serfs.

The equation that dictates "Realism" to the "survivalist" is that the upper 1% or most evolved are fewer than more competitive 2nd place "runners up" who are, in turn, fewer than the unevolved 99% of the rest of the species. Because "survivalist Realism" is between the top 1% and the lower 99%, its dictum is "as above, so below." This group believes, and fiercely, EITHER "kill 'em all" because their Ideal would be Eden, where only one man and one woman are left alive, OR "save us all" because to them the ideal would be Atlantis, either the separation of churches from democratic states or the NWO combination of both into one.

However, the first model for evolution, that being mutation by the entire species at once, is obviously not as popularly held to be the observable case, and so those few who subscribe to it are called "Philosophical Idealists," and said to comprise the upper 1% of only the most highly evolved, while the "survivalists" and "realists" are said to make up the remaining 99%. Whether or not this is the case, the message of this first model group, called "philosophical idealists," is that evolution occurs to an entire species at the same time. This process could be called "metastasis," "crystallisation," "metamorphosis," "super-saturation," etc. and implies that we exist prior to this in a state of "neotomy," a "chrysalis," "womb" or "Matrix" in the sense of the maternal, material cortex or nexus of a larger, unimaginable exomorph.

Thus, the view that "Idealists" preach, and which, we are assured by those who oppose "idealists," is the view ONLY of the few elite who are in control of us all, ie. themselves, can be summed up in the equation: $1=0$, where the previous GCF

(greatest common factor) becomes the new LCD (lowest common denominator). While the "realist" between the "idealism" of the few and the "survivalism" of the many can see ONLY EITHER above OR below them, the "philosophical idealist" can look at themselves as either above everyone else, below everyone else, or equal to everyone else. If a "philosophical idealist" looks up or down at everyone else, they see themselves as like either "analog" or "digital" type thinkers. But if a "philosophical idealist" looks at themselves as equal with everyone else, they make use of both "analog" AND "digital" type thinking. Instead of the "either/or" of BINARY, the "philosophical idealist" can apprehend the "both/and" of TRINARY. This, itself, is the very evolutionary leap we believe will possibly occur for the entire species at once.

B. for none or all

So, if "survivalism," that is, the notion that "survival of the fittest" means "might makes right," is believed to be considered "Realism" by the majority and even "Idealism" by the elite few, then real evolution is considered "philosophical idealism" by only an elite within the elite, and, since any of them to "grow a conscience" that go public are swiftly killed off, it is preached that mutation is ubiquitously non-existent. The TRINARY notion of BOTH analog AND digital is "believed" to be held by none. However, the opposite is true, and so those who preach this "belief" are "holding a beach-ball under-water" as they say.

However, the closer mutation becomes to being suppressed in 100% of the people, 100% of the time, the closer the entire species comes to "spontaneous" evolution of the whole population. It is the POTENTIAL for mutation that exists in 100% of us that IS the mutation itself.

So, the saying "every man and every woman is a star" is wrong. It should be "a baby universe" because each of us has the same mutation of potential to exist entirely in our own individual mind. Each of our minds is greater than the shared reality of our universe by the distance of our capacity for imagination. Each of our minds is its own "baby universe."

C. Conclusion

The "old" model of evolution, the one the few 2nd runner's up in the race to mutate preach we must all believe, that is, "survivalism," and the "new" model of evolution, the one that all of us actually already hold because it is factually evident, that is, "mutation," do not exist in isolation of each other. It is NOT "Either" Evolution "Or" Survival. However what we know to be true and what is presented and appears to be true by others is not yet the same. So we call "idealism" the idea that mutation of each individual simultaneously is the evolution of the entire species, and thus our entire reality, and we call "realism" the notions of necessary murder and gender-breeding.

III. the Matrix.

"What is the Matrix? Control." - Morpheus.

It's a safe assumption these days that the vast majority of other people than us as individuals aren't even on the same "plane" or living in the same reality as us. This is true. Most people's minds remain trapped in a world before 9-11, wherein they are in complete denial that 9-11 ever did happen, and, if cornered about it, will admit they

believe it is nothing that can't be undone; both the tragedy of the event itself and that of its continuing consequences can simply be reversed. This is not true. As a whole, we believe the majority suffer from major delusions about 9-11. However, as individuals, we know the truth about it and accept reality as it is afterwards.

"Where were you on 9-11?" This question allows and helps us to define how we view the post 9-11 world. For example, someone who was at "Ground Zero" would view the event quite differently from someone living far away and watching it on tv. We can break the mindsets of our species apart into four groups, based on our locations at the time and our movements since.

1) if we think of ourselves as a Victim of 9-11, we exist in a closed-off mindset. The only true victims are those who were killed on 9-11 and those who have died in the time since then due to it. So, we can say these Victims are Angels, and that they "live on" in "Heaven," a "better place" or "higher plane."

2) if we think of ourselves as a Survivor of 9-11, then we believe we were victims but cannot deny the difference between them and us as benefitting us. Thus, this group are the 2nd place "runners up" in the evolutionary race to mutate. The more they associate themselves with the victims, the more good they do, and the more they make themselves out to be a hero the more evil they are.

3) if we think of ourselves as a Hero since 9-11, we are a "philosophical idealist" about evolution. We believe accepting "survivalism" is the lesser evil than fighting it and destroying it, ourselves and everything else. We believe in mutation as an ideal that can be attained only by each individual, and that survival is the realm of only those who do not mutate.

4) if we think of ourselves as a Traitor since 9-11, we are only being a "Realist" about "survivalism." We put survival above evolution because we believe we must, yet we are like the "fallen angels," the Annunaki who bred Nefilim.

These four roles can be graphed as variables on a chart called a "Matrix."

1) Victims (V) can be ruled out as applicable exclusively to the dead. Thus X=Unknown.

2) Survivors (S) = good AND evil

3) Heroes (H) = only good

4) Traitors (T) = only evil

So we see the equation, or "transform" for this "Matrix" yields:

$$[S=1\% < H=0\% < T=99\%]$$

We can see, additionally, that H "or" T is Binary, and S makes Trinary.

IV. Overall Conclusion

On 5/5/2000 the "neo-cons," who believed it would be necessary to murder a lot of people for the survival of only their own small group, planned 9-11-2001 in order to "jump-start" the survival of only a few mutants (as was the case prior to 2000) into the evolution of everyone all at once (as is believed will follow 12/21/2012). They have benefitted

materially and psychologically as ushering out the "old" and in the "new" Age, in which Mutation is seen as the binary line between Fear -> War and Truth -> Love. However none of this matters.

The only thing that matters is that 9-11 was a CRIME AGAINST HUMANITY, when 3000+ were Mass-Murdered. Every day since 9-11 has seen the continuation of our psychological oppression (Psy-Op). There are now over 2 Million Dead in Iraq. This is a continuation of 9-11, as it is an ongoing perpetuation of CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY. The people behind 9-11 ARE "Terrorists," MOST DEFINATELY. They just don't call themselves "Al-Qeida." They call themselves the "neo-cons." Punish the WHO regardless of WHY. WHY they did it doesn't make a GODDAMN bit of difference to the fact that what they did was murder innocents. Kill them.

Now, then.

Most of the other researchers into the complicity between the neo-cons at this point who have managed (how I know not) to garner public credibility in spite of obvious inaccuracies in their own theories and in spite of dire media black-out of the topic (most news articles I could find online still from 2001 were pay-per-view or subscription only) fall into two basic camps.

One is called, and I'm not making this up, the LIHOP camp, and the other the MIHOP camp. LIHOP stands for "Let it happen on purpose." This implies there were terrorist hijackers, and thus justifies the neo-con stance of "endless war" against "radical Islam." The other acronym, MIHOP, stands for "Made it happen on purpose." Would there be any way for them to have "made it happen" OTHER than "On Purpose"? The neo-con bias in the mass-media, even wikipedia, is obvious.

Now, I'm not going to cast any stones at the LIHOP camp, because no one's in it. There's simply NO ONE who BELIEVES that "the government" was NOT "behind 9-11." Shit, that was the first thought I had that day. No one in their right mind blamed "radical Islam" that day. That's who we were TOLD to blame. And who told us that? "The Government." Case closed.

So, I'm ONLY going to focus on the MIHOP argument here. I'm going to go slowly, because I'm still trying to work it all out in my own mind too. Hopefully we can put our heads together and figure out how they did it.

::: COG WAR GAMES :::

The first and most important point is "Continuity of Government." This is the "shadow government" people talk about, the "black-op" spies and the "black-budget" engineers of Area 51. In the same way as there is "succession of powers" in our Democratic Republic, such that the Vice Pres becomes the Pres pro temp if the Pres dies in office, and such that it goes all the way down the line to appointing the Senate "whip" (Majority leader) as commander-in-chief of the armed forces in a time of crisis, that's why COG was established, and that's essentially how it works. While "succession of power" works down the levels of a hierarchy, COG ensures redundancy of office at each of those levels.

COG provides "back-ups" for all the "necessary" operating systems of the government. It provides alternative installations for the command functionality of the military in full, at least. There's no secret underground military installation since 1992 that offers redundancy of office to the Congress of elected officials.

Now, some of you may remember that I've talked about COG before. Well, it's time for an update.

On 9-11, 2001, the COG network of bases were on full alert. But not because of the "hijacked" "planes." They were running national security training exercises on a massive scale. As part of Operation "Global Guardian," described only as an "annual exercise" in the official literature, "Vigilant Guardian" was the drill of the day, and involved running tests on NORAD and FAA security-integrations systems. The test involved seeing what would happen if they shut the systems off.

UNTIL 10:39 AM :: RUMSFELD AT PENTAGON

On the day of 9-11, the Pentagon was running "WAR GAMES" exercises across the country. In Operation "Northern Vigilance," we are told, most of the air-force was on deployment in Alaska and Canada.

However even according to Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld's testimony before the Congressional Committee appointed to investigate 9-11, some fighter jets were scrambled on 9-11. This is actually NOT what is reported in the final 9-11 Investigation Committee's Report. In the 9-11 Commission Report, it was stated that there were NO fighter jets scrambled on 9-11 until AFTER the Pentagon was hit. The reason given by neo-con apologists for this discrepancy: it takes twelve minutes to get fighters airborne. No "go-code" to scramble was issued until the aircraft was less than 7 miles out from its target, the Pentagon. Here is a portion of Rumsfeld's testimony before the 9-11 Committee:

Quote:

GORELICK: May I ask one more question, Mr. Chairman? We can't go into the content of the PDDs and the SEIBs here. And I can't even characterize them in order to ask you the next question that I would ask. So let me ask you this: Was it your understanding that the NORAD pilots who were circling over Washington D.C. that morning had indeed received a shoot-down order?

RUMSFELD: When I arrived in the command center, one of the first things I heard, and I was with you, was that the order had been given and that the pilots -- correction, not the pilots necessarily, but the command had been given the instructions that their pilots could, in fact, use their weapons to shoot down a commercial airliners filled with our people in the event that the aircraft appeared to be behaving in a threatening way and an unresponsive way.

-source: <http://globalresearch.ca/articles/COM403B.html>

So, according to Rumsfeld, the order stood with the fighter jet pilots to shoot down the hostile aircraft. However, the order to scramble jets in the first place was not given until the aircraft was less than 7 miles away. So, if US Secretary of Defense, Donald Rumsfeld, was left out of the loop of who was giving the orders, then who was it that delayed the order to scramble the jets in the first place? Assuming Commander In Chief of the US military, President George W. Bush, did, as he claims, give the command to shoot down hostile planes to his National Security Advisor, Condoleezza Rice, in a phone conference BEFORE entering Booker elementary school (which, he should admit, was probably after the Pet Goat story and Andy Card's second warning, not before); and assuming that there even WERE jet fighters remaining at nearby airforce bases (which is more than the official Report itself will admit); assuming there were fighters that COULD HAVE BEEN scrambled on 9-11, and ASSUMING Bush GAVE the Order to Shoot IN TIME, then we have to ask ourselves, whose command or protocol was it that prevented ANY of the 4 "hijacked" "planes" on 9-11 having been shot down?

First let me deal with a myth or two. UNITED 93 COULD HAVE BEEN SHOT DOWN. This is

true, it very well could have been. This would even explain why the "jet fuel" of the "planes" that felled the WTC towers could burn at temperatures in excess of 3000 degrees for 48 minutes, while there were NO FIRES at all reported on the crash site of United 93. Perhaps a missile fired from a scrambled fighter jet exploded United 93 in the air and this burned off all its jet fuel as well as obliterating it into dust. Possible. Unlikely, but worth considering.

So, if we assume that the series of events proceeded thus:

- 1) WTC 1 & 2 are struck
- 2) Bush orders shoot down
- 3) planes deployed and Pentagon struck
- 4) United 93 strikes down

then we can account for the event that planes were deployed too late to save WTC 1 & 2 as merely the same Government Incompetance that dictated no jets could be scrambled to fire on the incoming aircraft until it was less than 7 miles out from the Pentagon. But was it ONLY "Government Incompetance"? Let's delve deeper.

UNTIL 10:39 AM :: CHENEY AT WHITE-HOUSE

According to Transportation Secretary Norman Mineta, questioned by Lee Hamilton, Vice Chairman of the 9-11 Commission about the "shoot-down / stand-down" order, or "Go-code," he was not at the Presidential Emergency Operating Centre at the time when the order was given, via Condaleeza Rice, by the President to shoot down hostile aircraft, however (and this is according to his testimony which can be viewed as video footage online here: <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fTdAkhD6Dcw>) Vice President Dick Cheney was notified of the approach of the aircraft when it was still 50 miles out from the Pentagon. The "page" who notified him even asked the Vice President "does the order still stand?" Finally, at ten miles out, the Vice President "whipped his head around and said, 'of course the order still stands, have you heard anything to the contrary?'"

In short, the "shoot-down" order given by Bush while at Booker to Condaleeza Rice in the "bunker" in DC was only later relayed to Rumsfeld. Rice received the order, reported it to Cheney, and Cheney then suppressed the order for a considerable amount of time, during which the Pentagon was, apparently, struck by the hostile aircraft. Following this, it is possible that the order was given and jet fighters were scrambled (as reported being the case from both Langley base in Virginia AND Otis base in Massacusettes), and at that point it can be argued whether or not United 93 was shot down by one of the jet fighters.

What gave Dick Cheney the idea that it was legal to sit on the order to shoot down an aircraft that, it is believed, subsequently crashed into the Pentagon, where Defense Secretary Donald Rumsfeld himself was that morning? Is this evidence of Cheney attempting to assassinate Rumsfeld? Well, yes and no. Yes, it could be used as such. No, that was not the lone intention. On May 8, 2001, Rumsfeld and Cheney (old cronies from the Nixon administration), began a subtle transfer of power over the military into the direct hands of the executive office. Confer source article: <http://www.washingtonpost.com/ac2/wp-dyn/A64420-2001May8> for more info. This article actually mentions use of "WMDs" in war-games AND the future Dept. of "Homeland" Security BY NAME!

Under then-director Joe Allbaugh, a new dept. of FEMA was created. FEMA, the "federal emergency mangement agency," is something like a national insurance agency. They are only needed part of the time, but they have to function all of the time. In short, it's a

money-pit. But the new dept. offered spontaneous hope for the doddering infra-structure reinforcement agency on 9-11. The new office, the "ONP" (Office of National Preparedness) was established specifically to counter the threat of terrorism, and it was to be run specifically by Dick Cheney. The dept. was meant to be, for FEMA, what the FBI's NDP (National Domestic Preparedness) Office had been previously - a way for the agency to interface with local police, rescue and communications departments. So, why would FEMA be put in charge in place of the FBI over a "public interface" dept. for the reason of combatting "terrorism"?

Unlike the FBI NDP, the FEMA ONP had the ability to mobilise United States military personnel within their own national borders. And this was the dept. that Dick Cheney was using to co-ordinate the "annual exercise" Global Guardian, and, specifically, "Vigilant Guardian," simulating crashing planes into buildings, on 9-11. So, the Vice President of the United States believed he, himself, and not without "technically" legal justification, was the Commander in Chief of the Armed Forces of the United States on 9-11. This is probably the best argument of apology for Cheney's "9-11: Why?" blunder.

If the "incoming aircraft" reported on NORAD radar (but noticeably absent from FAA radars from 30 miles out of DC) was not what caused the explosion at the Pentagon, then A) what was on the NORAD radar? and B) what caused the Pentagon explosion?

Let's back way up for a second to what I'd mentioned about COG. The COG redundant location for Air Force One is the E-4 "Doomsday" plane. Its official code-name is "Night Watch." (Here is a wiki article about it:

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/National_Airborne_Operations_Center).

Now, this plane was filmed by CNN flying over the White House protected air space on the morning of 9-11 around the EXACT SAME TIME as the Pentagon was struck.

The knee-jerk reaction is that the E-4 "Doomsday" Night Watch WAS the plane that crashed into the Pentagon. But we do not need a plane to have impacted the Pentagon on 9-11 to account for the E-4 plane being there. It is possible that it was the E-4 en route to DC that appeared on the NORAD radar (but disappeared from the FAA radar) that was the same "incoming" unidentified aircraft that Cheney belayed the order to shoot down. However, whether this plane was or was not the plane NORAD radar read and whether this plane was or was not actually the same aircraft Cheney ordered to stand down from are both separate issues. So is the explosion at the Pentagon. Here, look:

A) the "plane" on NORAD radar (but not on FAA radar)

B) the incoming aircraft that Cheney stood down the order to shoot

C) the explosion at the Pentagon.

These three can all be explained in one of two ways, or else each can be explained on its own. These explanations are:

1) there was only ONE "PLANE," the hijacked commercial airliner, and it was crashed into the Pentagon. This is the official story.

2) the ONE "PLANE" was actually the E-4 Night-Watch, and it launched a missile into the Pentagon. This might be the fall-back story.

3) there was NO PLANE involved in the Pentagon crash.

- A) the NORAD (no FAA) radar image may have been of a missile or of the E-4.
- B) the aircraft Cheney stood down the shoot order for may have been a missile or the E-4.
- C) the explosion at the Pentagon may have been a missile or on-site explosives.

So, let's sort this out. Of all these possible options which can we rule out? We can question the official story for several reasons, mainly because it may be a cover story by the criminals themselves. We can rule out the E-4 shooting a missile at the Pentagon because it does not have missiles. Also, if it was not the E-4 or the unidentified craft on NORAD radar that deployed the missile (ie. if it was the missile itself that NORAD radar read and which Cheney stood down the order to shoot down), then it must have been fired from more than fifty miles away to the south west. We can pretty much safely rule this option out too. So what do we have left?

It is the MOST LIKELY scenario that the explosion at the Pentagon was caused by on-site explosives and that the E-4 was the plane on NORAD (not FAA) radar which Cheney ordered not shot down. So we can see how, according to "No Planes Truth" (NPT), the presence of the E-4 and the explosion at the Pentagon can both be accounted for. However, were these separate incidents or not? Did the explosion at the Pentagon occur at the EXACT SAME TIME as the E-4 flew overhead by coincidence?

10:39 AM :: NMCC AT PENTAGON

First, let's look at something anomolous about the disappearance of Flight 77. There was a "ghost" United 93 reported parked at a commerical airport near a space leased to NASA seen later that day. However, within the hour a "ghost" plane of Flight 77, that alledgedly "crashed" into the Pentagon, was seen on NORAD radars hundreds of miles northwest of DC. Now, the sight of a "ghost" United 93 on the ground near a NASA facility is actually LESS surprising than the "ghost" Flight 77 on NORAD radar, because by the time the "ghost" Flight 77 appeared on NORAD radar all commerical flights had been grounded. The "ghost" Flight 77 was reported heading toward Johnston, Pennsylvania at 10:06. At around 10:30, Patrick Madigan, a Penn State cop, after arriving on the scene of the United 93 "crash" site, sees what he describes as, "a jetliner circling the crash site very low." This jet-liner was believed at the time to be carrying United Airlines Executives.

Now, a funny thing suddenly happens at 10:32. Vice President Cheney phones President Bush and tells him that Air Force One may be a target, specifically stating a time-frame of 40-90 minutes before a protective fighter force could be assembled as an escort for the President's plane. The result was that the President's plane, officially titled Air Force One, was rerouted an extra distance of 800 miles to Barksdale AFB near Shreveport, LA, where the President then remains the better part of the afternoon, until 1 PM. All of this is specifically, according to Cheney, at the behest of himself and Condaleeza Rice. The president's next destination after leaving Barksdale AFB at 1:30 in the afternoon of 9-11 was decided between 12:58 and 1:25 PM on a direct phone-line between President Bush and Vice President Cheney.

So, what have we here? Two separate phone-calls, the first from Cheney lasting between 10:32 (confirmed by witnesses to the President), until ending at 10:39 (confirmed on Cheney's end when he turns to address Rumsfeld). This call connected the President of the US on Air Force One and Dick Cheney at the NMCC, "National Mission Control Center," (which, by this time, had managed to find its way over to the Pentagon, apparently, instead of, half an hour earlier, Rumsfeld arriving at the White House "bunker"). According to the

record, Cheney immediately notified Rumsfeld at that time that there were scrambled fighter jets, and that the pilots of those jets had been given the "shoot down" order. Cheney added, "That is correct. And it's my understanding they've already taken a couple of aircraft out."

So, to sum up, between 9:37 when the south-wall explodes, and 10:39, by which time Rumsfeld re-appears at the NMCC to find him there, Cheney has transported his "base of operations" from the White House "bunker" to the Pentagon.

Now, we know, from the "official" tapes released by NORAD, that the Order to shoot down planes that had been given by Bush to Rice, from Booker to the "bunker," was not passed along to the pilots themselves until after United 93 had already "crashed." That's the official explanation of the delay during which it is obvious that Cheney intercepted and stood-down the "shoot to kill" order. But United 93 "crashed" at 10:03, which means Cheney "stood down" the "shoot down" order not only from when it was received by Condaleeza Rice until 9:37, when the Pentagon is hit, but for an additional 62 minutes even after that, until 10:39, when Rumsfeld re-enters the picture.

So, let's look at a specific time-line::

9:16AM:: at the latest, this is when Bush's "shoot down" order is relayed to Condaleeza Rice, after the pet-goat and Andy Card's second warning.

9:37:: "Flight 77" strikes Pentagon. This makes the Minimum difference between the "shoot down" order being given by Bush and Cheney's order for the deployment of fighter jets TWENTY-ONE MINUTES.

10:03:: "Flight 93" crashes in Pennsylvania. Subsequent to this time the pilots themselves receive the "shoot down" order, given by the President himself FORTY-SEVEN MINUTES earlier.

10:06:: "ghost" Flight 77 reported headed toward Johnston, Pennsylvania.

~10:30:: Penn State PD Patrick Madigan witnesses "strange incident" of circling low jet-liner.

10:32:: Cheney telephones Bush, orders him to ground Air Force One.

10:39:: Rumsfeld enters the NMCC at the Pentagon. Cheney informs him multiple planes "shot down." This represents the Maximum difference in time between when the Pres. GAVE the "shoot down" order (@ 9:16 at the LATEST) and when the pilots received the order, for a grand total of EIGHTY-THREE MINUTES, or 1 hour and 23 minutes.

AFTER 10:39 AM :: COG WARGAMES BLOW-BACK

The second phone conversation between President Bush and Vice President Cheney begins at 12:58 and purportedly lasts until 1:25, however between these two calls, Richard Clarke, in the White House Situation Room at around 12:30, is told to go down-stairs to the Presidential Emergency Operations Centre (PEOC). There, Cheney complains by way of tele-conference call that "the communications in this place are terrible," explaining that his calls to President Bush "keep getting broken off." Apparently, others on the open-line of the conference call at this time included Condaleeza Rice, political advisor Mary Matalin, Cheney's aid I. Lewis "Scooter" Libby, and, among a few others, the Vice

President's wife, Lynn Cheney.

While Cheney is apparently trying to "beep in" on W., the President himself is making a short speech to a small assembled press corps at Barksdale. In this brief speech, Bush states, "Freedom itself was attacked this morning by a faceless coward. And freedom will be defended." Bush is on the line with Rumsfeld from 1:02, who we know was by that time is with Cheney. At 1:05, Bush takes a moment to make some personal calls when US-STRATCOM apparently relays a message to him about a "high-speed object" seen over the President's "ranch" near Crawford, Texas. Then there is a gap in the timeline of, literally, about a half an hour. By 1:30, Bush is back in Air Force One for his second flight of the day, this time toward Offutt Air Force Base Nebraska and the offices of US-STRATCOM itself. And now a word about US-STRATCOM from wiki:

Quote:

USSTRATCOM controls the nuclear weapons assets of the United States military. It is also a globally focused command and a global integrator charged with the missions of Space Operations; Information Operations; Integrated Missile Defense; Global Command and Control; Intelligence, Surveillance and Reconnaissance; Global Strike; Strategic Deterrence; and Combating Weapons of Mass Destruction.

-source: <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/USSTRATCOM>

At that time (they have since been absorbed into United States Space Command in 2002), US-STRATCOM's role was the operation of the E-6 Mercury "Airborne Command Post" (codenamed: Looking Glass) that was the COG redundancy for NORAD's (much touted) Cheyenne Mountain facility. US-STRATCOM was where Admiral Richard Mies, commander in chief of STRATCOM was coordinating the three E-4B "Doomsday" planes in the air on 9-11 as part of Operation Global Guardian. Mies was reportedly having breakfast that morning with the Federal Advisory Committee's chairman, retired Lt. Gen. Brent Snowcroft, and multi-billionaire Warren Buffett as part of a charity fundraiser that was to be held later in the day.

Meanwhile, it would be easy for Cheney to delegate his authority at that point because, by 1:30 PM in the afternoon of 9-11, 2001, Cheney himself was at "Site R" ("R" for "Raven" Rock, Pennsylvania) (wikilink). Why Pennsylvania? Because that's where he had flown between 10:39 and 12:58, when he was on the conference call to Richard Clark in the PEOC. He flew there in the E-4 "Doomsday Nightwatch" plane, with Condaleeza Rice, Donald Rumsfeld and "Scooter" Libby in tow and his wife on the phone. And why Site R? Because that was the COG back-up for the Pentagon and DOD, housing the Emergency Operations Centers for the Army, Navy, Air Force and Marines. From Site R, Cheney placed himself in charge over the entire Armed Services of the United States of America.

We cannot say with absolute certainty that Cheney was at Site R by 12:58, when the second phone-call to Bush begins. We can, however, say with some degree of certainty that, if Cheney had left DC between 10:39 and 12:58, he would probably have been back on the ground before 1:30 PM, when Air Force One gets airborne to fly the President to US-STRATCOM. Ultimately, whether Cheney's location was Site R, or whether it was any of the other underground military installations for COG, is irrelevant, because by ~ 12:30 PM he has physically disappeared and his whereabouts are unaccounted for from then on. Whether Cheney was at Site R, or at any other base, he was most likely there by no later than ~ 1:30 PM, when the phone call between President George W. Bush and Vice President Dick Cheney ends and Air Force One takes off carrying the President to US-STRATCOM HQ in Nebraska.

The real question, therefore, isn't where was Dick Cheney by 1:30 PM. Nor is the question why did Cheney send Bush to US-STRATCOM then. The real question is, specifically, who was in the E-4 that overflew the Pentagon explosion; who was in the E-4 that overflew the "crash" site of United 93; and who was most probably at Site R in Pennsylvania BEFORE 1:30 PM on 9-11, 2001?

10:39 :: ULTIMATE CONSEQUENCES

The point is, and this should be considered extremely important: Dick Cheney is responsible for the explosion at the Pentagon as having been able to prevent it had he relayed the order to do so. This is called complicity, such as in the case of someone who, by their passive observation, allows a crime to be committed which it would have been within their power to prevent. It does not directly imply malice aforethought, however, let's look at this further.

We now know that Larry Silverstein has admitted to "pulling" Building 7. We also now know that Cheney "stood down" the order to scramble fighter jets beforehand until after the Pentagon had been hit. So, these are two of the people responsible for and who have benefitted from 9-11. In as much as Larry Silverstein was directly responsible for the collapse of WTC towers 1 and 2 (by having installed explosives in them before 9-11, as would have been necessary for him to have "pulled" Building 7), then so Dick Cheney was responsible for the explosion at the Pentagon by being able to have prevented it and choosing instead to allow it to happen.

But, aside from the evidence of their both having benefitted and both having been responsible, can we directly tie the one event to the other? Consider this, in particular, in the absence of planes. If the explosion at the Pentagon and the initial explosions at the WTC towers were not caused by planes, can we still say that Silverstein and Cheney can be held accountable for them? And, more importantly, can we tie one event to the other without planes, and prove that Silverstein and Cheney acted in collusion with one another on 9-11?

Obviously, if there were no hijacked airliners crashed into them at all, and the felling of the WTC towers was accomplished exclusively by the detonation of explosives inside the buildings themselves, then Larry Silverstein's guilt for having planted these explosives would be even greater than if the "jet fuel" of the "planes" ignited the explosives he would have to have had planted prior to 9-11. But there aren't degrees of guilt. There's only guilty or not, and insofar as Silverstein has admitted implicitly that Building 7 was "pulled" by prior placed explosives, then his guilt for those killed in the identical felling of WTC towers 1 & 2 is clear.

But what about Cheney? We know he has benefitted in the aftermath of 9-11, and that his actions clearly indicate his involvement in the crimes of that day. It was even Kellogg, Brown and Root (KBR), the construction firm arm of Halliburton, Dick Cheney's own company, that was contracted to reinforce the Pentagon's south-west wall, and it was white, unmarked KBR trailers that were parked along the wall the day of the explosion there. It's pretty blatant who the perpetrators of the crime on 9-11 were, but the problem in tying their separate crimes together into one is not finding the motive, since both have benefitted since. The problem is in finding someone to operate as a coordinator between the two, allowing each to act independently and without any (apparent) direct contact between one another during the crime.

To answer that, we do have to look to the skies on the day of 9-11, but what we see there might surprise us now that we've thought about it enough to ignore the obvious that we all

"saw" - the "second" "plane" "crashing" into the WTC. No, what we're looking for is the connection between the WESCAM "black" helicopters in NYC, coordinating with Larry Silverstein, and the E-4 seen over DC, coordinating with Cheney. So, lastly, let's look at the most relevant questions:

Did the explosion at the Pentagon occur at the EXACT SAME TIME as the E-4 flew overhead by coincidence? Who was in the E-4 that overflowed the Pentagon explosion; who was in the E-4 that overflowed the "crash" site of United 93; and who was most probably at Site R in Pennsylvania BEFORE 1:30 PM on 9-11, 2001?

There's a less obvious, better question to ask here: "Where was George H.W. Bush, the current president's father, on the morning of 9-11?" Answer, "he admits himself that he was at the White House the night before, but says he flew to St. Paul Minnesota the next morning." (quoted from David Icke, ick.) He was in DC that morning after having spoken the previous evening for a meeting held by the Carlyle Group. The Carlyle Group speaker for 9-11 was actually King Fahd of the House of Saud, the royal family of Saudi Arabia. I speculate Cheney's location to have been Site R because I believe he went there, between 10:39 and 12:58, following former-President George Herbert Walker Bush (GHWB), who had flown there via Johnston, Pennsylvania, where his E-4 was seen circling low over the United 93 "crash" site at ~ 10:30 AM.

It's a widely documented fact that GHWB is one of the only former-presidents to invoke the executive directive to receive daily intelligence briefings. It is widely documented that the Secret Service, who guard the President and the Saudi Arabian embassy in DC, across the street from the Watergate Hotel, also guard former presidents, and it is widely documented there were a group dispatched to protect then-Halliburton CEO, Cheney during the 2000 presidential campaign.

It is a less widely documented fact that the Johnston, Pennsylvania aviation centre, closest to the United 93 10:03 "crash" site, was evacuated around 10:30 due to a phone-call placed directly to an employee's office warning that "another hijacked airliner" was targetting their small, local airport. It is less widely documented that the majority of "false-alarms" called in on 9-11 were phone-calls similarly placed directly to administrative authority officials who were in certain, "key" locations at specific times throughout the day. By evacuating such sites, it created a strategic advantage to any air traffic that happened to want to fly-by them off-radar. This is, as much as is WESCAM of the NSA, a strategic calling-card of the CIA.

But what is not documented at all is the sign held up off-camera shown to our president as Secret Service Andy Card whispered in his ear, "sir, America Is Under Attack." This hand-written sign, held up by press secretary Ari Fleischer, read simply, "DON'T SAY ANYTHING YET."

plate 26:



I just want to know, whose hand-writing was it?

::: General Findings :::

benpadijah wrote:

NPT explains: 1) lack of plane wreckage; 2) "mystery planes;" 3) use of explosives; 4) confused eye-witness testimony; 5) lack of footage.

As I've said, I believe in NPT. I Believe it is NOT Theory, but Truth. And Belief is a damned dangerous thing. A little belief can go a long way, and some beliefs can be explosive. So don't listen to me, since I've sacrificed my objectivity on this now. I believe in something. I believe in NPT.

1) lack of plane wreckage. I could cop-out and just say "NPT" means no "Planes" means no "crashes" means "no wreckage," but you'd still have to decommission the planes, or put them back into rotation after a quick re-paint. The problem is getting "rid" of those pesky passengers. Hence: concentration camps. They are being held as Enemy Combatants without Habeas Corpus. Hey, it could be true!

2) "mystery planes." Here we're talking specifically about the E-4 "Doomsday" jet-liner, code-named "Night Watch," and the two-types of "cloaked" craft at the WTC towers: 1) the Global Hawks, 2) the Black Hawks. E-4 is thus explained as GHWB co-ordinating between Silverstein in NY and Cheney in DC.

3) use of explosives. we can see there must have been complicity, because of the careful timing of the explosions. The first, timed to co-ordinate with the WESCAM "plane" footage at WTC2. The second, timed to co-ordinate with the E-4 over-fly of the Pentagon. Both places = aircraft co-ordinate explosives.

4) confused eye-witness reports. I should come back to these more later, but I'll say that calling a "plane-crash" site "calm" and the rescue op there as "not much going on," as the Penn State cop described in the on-camera, affiliate-station interview is equal only to the descriptions by various people of the behaviour of ground-crews and tele-conferencing office-managers that morning, almost acting as if to "maximize casualties." Obviously on 9-11 we were shown more than met the eye.

5) lack of footage. "He who controls the past controls the future. He who controls the present controls the past." The neo-con media black-out of the 9-11 truth movement is tantamount to the theft and destruction of rare Sumerian artefacts looted from Iraq, which is, to put a figure on it, about as bad in terms of our impact on history as moving \$160B from beneath the WTC towers AS THEY FELL.

The Big Picture is that 9-11 was a "Black-Op." At the exact instant the 2nd "plane" supposedly "struck" WTC tower 2, every station showed a black screen for at least 1.5 seconds. 9-11 was LITERALLY erased from history as it occurred.

benpadijah wrote:

We're looking at two different kinds of "cloaked" aircraft: 1) fully-cloaked drone-planes (Global Hawks); 2) partially-cloaked black helicopters (Black Hawks).

If we accept, as we must and so, eventually, will, that 9-11 was nothing but an "arms" show of AREA 51 technologies, then we will have to accept a very uncomfortable fact: we are now stuck in a world where 9-11 did happen, and so we have to take some responsibility about the War in Iraq, which, we all nascently agree, is unjust due to false premises. We will have to admit we were duped by 9-11 into the Iraq war, and this makes disentangling these two crimes all the more

difficult when it seems a tightly-knit criminal cadre has ceased our nations' highest offices. However, it is simple to sort out, because there are politics between the key-players over such issues as "torture," "intelligence-gathering," "privatisation," and "globalism." And they are only as strong as their weakest link. We need to keep chisling away at their presumed legal authorities. Repeal their legislation, then arrest them. But I digress...

benpadiah wrote:

We now know that Larry Silverstein has admitted to "pulling" Building 7. We also now know that Cheney "stood down" the order to scramble fighter jets beforehand until after the Pentagon had been hit. So, these are two of the people responsible for and who have benefitted from 9-11. In as much as Larry Silverstein was directly responsible for the collapse of WTC towers 1 and 2 (by having installed explosives in them before 9-11, as would have been necessary for him to have "pulled" Building 7), then so Dick Cheney was responsible for the explosion at the Pentagon by being able to have prevented it and choosing instead to allow it to happen.

But, aside from the evidence of their both having benefitted and both having been responsible, can we directly tie the one event to the other? Consider this, in particular, in the absence of planes. If the explosion at the Pentagon and the initial explosions at the WTC towers were not caused by planes, can we still say that Silverstein and Cheney can be held accountable for them? And, more importantly, can we tie one event to the other without planes, and prove that Silverstein and Cheney acted in collusion with one another on 9-11?

It is obvious that Cheney was "behind" planting the explosives in the Kellogg, Brown and Root - the construction wing of his company, Halliburton - trailers at the Pentagon. It is obvious that Silverstein was "behind" planting the explosives in the "twin" towers because LERA, the construction wing of his company, the Blackstone Group, were the ones who installed them. The only question left we can ask is who co-ordinated the exact timing of these explosions?

benpadiah wrote:

I believe there were "Global Hawk" UAV's (un-manned aerial vehicles) there, and that these were equipt with an optical camouflaging system that rendered them "all but invisible" to anyone on the ground. These, I still believe, are the so-called "Orbs" of 9-11, the shiney metallic, shape-shifting globules that are becoming common sights behind planes releasing "chem-trails." These are all, I believe, the Global Hawks being deployed to create a huge surveillance net over all airspace.

I know this all sounds, on some deeper level, like sheer and utter lunacy. But if we begin by the premise that 9-11 WAS an AREA 51 "arms" show, and that we, the people, were sacrificed en masse to a pagan "God" by a group that believe themselves invincible and in every other way elevated infinitely above "us," then we can at least begin to get the briefest of GLIMPSES into the Halcyon "inner earth" bases underneath Antarctica. Remember that in some areas, the North and South EM-poles being two, the Bermuda triangle being a third, compasses "go wild," up is down and the laws of electro-magnetism cannot apply. This is what exploring 9-11 is like at first. We feel out of control, powerless beside the omnipotent perpetrators. And there's a very specific reason it's like that. It's because EM energy WAS used on 9-11 to mind-control the entire populous at once. I'm talking about the time-frames of those "black screens."

9-11 was an AREA 51 "arms" show, whether we like that or not, and the way the world is divvying up since then makes it seem like an ever-more dangerous place. So, the questions we have to ask ourselves about that are, what's NEXT? Will it be more of the same? Will things intensify? How can we reverse this all? How long have we been in this sort of situation? etc.

benpadiah wrote:

Did the explosion at the Pentagon occur at the EXACT SAME TIME as the E-4 flew overhead by coincidence? Who was in the E-4 that overflew the Pentagon explosion; who was in the E-4 that overflew the "crash" site of United 93; and who was most probably at Site R in Pennsylvania BEFORE 1:30 PM on 9-11, 2001?

There's a less obvious, better question to ask here: "Where was George H.W. Bush, the current president's father, on the morning of 9-11?" Answer, "he admits himself that he was at the White House the night before, but says he flew to St. Paul Minnesota the next morning." (quoted from David Icke, ick.) He was in DC that morning after having spoken the previous evening for a meeting held by the Carlyle Group. The Carlyle Group speaker for 9-11 was actually King Fahd of the House of Saud, the royal family of Saudi Arabia. I speculate Cheney's location to have been Site R because I believe he went there, between 10:39 and 12:58, following former-President George Herbert Walker Bush (GHWB), who had flown there via Johnston, Pennsylvania, where his E-4 was seen circling low over the United 93 "crash" site at ~ 10:30 AM.

I said their inter-office politics are important because, aside from the remote-broadcast voice in W.'s brain, it is possible to divide and conquer them. You can pit one against another, and this may be our only hope, since it would seem that, even collectively (that is, not only those awake during the day on earth, but the sleepers on the night-side too, united at the same time), "we the people" are powerless to stand up to even one of the neo-cons. But when we pit them against one another, that's when the holes in their stories start to appear, and that's when we see their true faces showing through. These are jealous, vindictive, greedy, petty people we are talking about.

I mean, look, if we say that, scheduled for the morning of 9-11, we have an ongoing, inter-departmental, military training exercise that involves crashing planes into buildings, then even if Cheney, by "standing down" the "shoot down" order, and Larry Silverstein, by cooperating as an un-witting accomplice before the fact, "LIHOP," then someone must have STARTED it. Someone MUST HAVE "Taken It Live." That's where GHWB comes in. He was, we know, ON THE SCENE. However, like at the Dallas school-book depository, his whereabouts are otherwise unaccounted for for the rest of the day. Either he is James Bond, the modern John Dee, a super-spy extraordinaire, or else HE DID IT.

So, we can divide and conquer: Dick and Larry in one camp, planted explosives before-hand. Dick was managing the war-games that morning. So he was on the scene, too. But he was playing the role of "cop in charge." Then we have, in another camp, GHWB. He was "on the scene," but his role was undefined. And the order of events determines how the house of cards falls: 1) WESCAM @ WTC. 2) Pent. explosion. 3) WTC7. "We," Larry Silverstein reminds us, "made the decision to go ahead and pull it." He's talking about WTC7, "pulled" later in the day on 9-11. So, by 5PM, Larry was in the loop. But it's also possible that his complicity in the war-game going "live" by having rigged the WTC twin towers with explosives was not pre-orchestrated by him with knowledge of its use in the "war-game" on 9-11. Unlikely, again, but possible and worth due consideration.

So, let's make a Matrix: 1) WESCAM @ WTC. The first part of the operation to "go live." It is possible a "Global Hawk" UAV shot a missile into WTC1. Unlikely, but possible. By the time WTC2 first "exploded," the WESCAM choppers are already on the scene. So, taking the exercise "public" via the WESCAM-controlled footage was already a possibility "waiting in the wings" that morning. So, who blew WTC1? Who sent up the WESCAM choppers? Who "took the drill live"? 2) Pent. explosion. Orchestrated by Cheney, probably timed by both he and GHWB to correspond precisely to the over-fly time of the E-4. Likely scenario: GHWB on-plane, Cheney in WH "bunker," GHWB co-ordinating it via the Secret Service. 3) WTC7, all Larry Silverstein. Even if he

DID plant the explosives to "pull" Building 7 AFTER the morning of 9-11, he would STILL have used his own company, just as is proven he did before 9-11 to the "twin" towers. Thus:

- 1) WESCAM, on scene, "takes it live."
- 2) Cheney, directly under GHWB via SS, bombs the Pentagon.
- 3) later in the day, by a safe-enough time to include him,
Larry Silverstein "pulls" WTC Building 7.

benpadijah wrote:

if all the "news" (or at least, "live-feed" coverage) cameras were manufactured by the same company as the FOX News network antennae cam I mentioned earlier - "WESCAM" - and if this company is a DOD contractor, then this would imply complicity between the DOD, "WESCAM" and the news media,

And, again, if we CAN lump all these players (GHWB, Cheney and Silverstein) into ONE GROUP (the NEO-CONS), it doesn't mean they necessarily present a UNIFIED FRONT themselves. Cheney is tighter, for example, to GHWB than is Silverstein to Cheney. That's why Silverstein slipped up and let us know he "pulled" Building 7 in the first place. But we don't need Cheney to slip to implicate GHWB. We only need to tie WESCAM to the NSA, and thus to the CIA, where then GHWB ties the operation to Cheney via the SS. Sounding complex yet? Considering this was all pulled off on 9-11 by the COG "redundancies" for these offices, it's actually quite efficient. In short, the "war games" worked.

The only problem is that, right down to Silverstein, everyone was promised a slice of the pie from 9-11 to gag them till they die. Silverstein was probably promised a cut of the Saudi gold, and that's why he let slip, on purpose to spite his "higher-ups" (it's a documented fact the insurance companies would have gone bankrupt had they cleared his originally filed claim for losses on 9-11). So, like COG, each person was backed up and covered. But, by their own greed, one person among them will covet another's stash, and eventually plots will form between them. We've already seen it happen to a lot of those political officers who were only a little further "out of the loop" on 9-11 than being the key-players as they have since stood down their office, quit or been fired. "Scooter" Libby, Rumsfeld, etc. have all been forced to resign. Hopefully, Kucinich will support impeachment hearings for Cheney. All of this puts pressure on the key-players. The truth will out. EM-MK or not.

benpadijah wrote:

plate 27:



So, let's look at the "lump" ONE GROUP, the NEO-CONS. Starting to imagine cutting up the octopus? It's simple, since each "tentacle" cross-references to every other, forming a web among them. So, beside from only through their orchestrating "head" of operations (GHWB on 9-11), they all communicate inter-office between one another as well. And every time, which is often, one of these slimey serpents talks down at a sub-ordinate employee, that employee can inform someone, anonymously, who will publish certain "leaked" documents, implicating these public officials in scandalous controversial crimes. The break-down doesn't come when "we, the people" chop off the monster's head. The real "victory" that ALLOWS us to replace the head with our own occurs as a weakening of the communications between the monster's limbs. And this, as I say, doesn't ONLY occur VIA the head. There's also a web-work interconnecting one tentacle's tip to each other tentacle's tip. This web-work is either under the control of the single head of the monster, or else it is controlled by the collective hands of all of us. In fact, the "strings" that make up this web ARE US. The webwork IS US ALL. So, to say we CAN control the web between it's limbs to weaken the monster's single head, this is very true. The monster will tire, even if only by enslaving all our minds for so long.

benpadiiah wrote:

WHO are the "neo-cons"? They started out as a small, right-wing conservative boy's fraternity at Yale University, an "Ivy League" college, in the 1930's. We know they had family business ties to the German NAZI party before WWII. It is my speculation they were smuggled some LSD that was being tested by IG Farben at Auschwitz. The first generation of Yale "Bonesmen" went on to found the CIA, the first US international spy agency, and, with their first operation, "Project Paperclip," continued to work with German NAZI scientists. Through "front" businesses, the same CIA "Bonesmen" soon became the most wealthy elite alive ever, and founded such groups as the Bildebergers and the Bohemian Grove camp where they could interface with other wealthy elites to plan a globalist coup de tat of the governments of all developed nations via the IMF, WTO and world bank.

There really is ONLY ONE HEAD OF THE NEO-CONS. That first LSD trip among the first small "test" group of wealthy Yale students that went on to form the "Skull and Bones" frat MUST HAVE BEEN SUPPLIED BY SOMEONE. I propose this was Prescott Bush, GHWB's own father, and the grandfather of our current United States President, W. I believe the 1ST LSD "DOSE" ever taken in the Conspiracy to stage the 9-11 coup-de-tat for the Globalists in the first place WAS TAKEN BY GHWB. So, he's, IMHO, the acting "head" of the neo-con cup-de-tat cult. Not all rich Globalists were "in on" 9-11. Guilliani probably was, but then, so was everyone else in the CFR. David Rockefeller and the Bildebergers may have benefitted from 9-11, but this doesn't necessarily imply they were involved in the plot all along. For example, they didn't come to prominent authority until AFTER the assassination of Kennedy, which I believe was to silence him when he discovered the plans for the coup called 9-11.

So, we can still have a group of rich globalists who may not have been complicit in the 9-11 coup-de-tat cult. But that's why we have the nice, little name "NEO-CONS" to determine those who did it from among those who benefitted. And when I say GHWB was the first to dose, I mean I think he's the "head" of the "neo-cons." Now, I've said, I think it was GHWB who "went live" with the war-games. Why do I think this? WESCAM is the most important evidence. Because it proves 9-11 was an AREA 51 "arms" show. It was hosted by Halliburton and LERA at the Pentagon and WTC towers. They had a blast. It was hosted by the Carlyle group at STRATCOM by GHWB from an E-4. Starting to make sense yet? It's possible they would have initiated a complete global thermo-nuclear war if the Russians were still Soviet. It's probable they wish they could have while they were. But one dictatorship "out-crazied" the other. We elected GHWB, the man who shot Kennedy, President.

benpadiiah wrote:

Specifically, what we should be looking at here are the Az/El monopulse RF MMIC radar-muffling antennae designed by Northrop Grumman for the (now discontinued) RAH-66 Comanche Helicopter. It's specific "dual-use" or "dual-function" involves mind control.

The reason WESCAM is important is the frames of "black screen" right as the "plane" supposedly struck WTC2. If WESCAM was sold to all the news networks by the DOD, and IF we can say GHWB was behind WESCAM, then we can assert it was him who "went live" with the 9-11 war-game. If GHWB was, IN ANY WAY, behind WESCAM, then we can say with certainty that he fired the "magic bullet." So, let's look right at WESCAM for a moment. Why did they use "black helicopters"? They were DOD helicopters. The WESCAM cameras were NSA. The NSA sold the footage to the news media. So, if the news media were owned by the NSA, then the NSA was working co-operatively with the DOD. Was it? When could this have begun? No sooner than the NSA was formed, which was around the same time as Waco, and the first public use of "black helicopters" by the DOD. Whoever was behind tying the NSA together with the DOD was the same one who founded the NSA and sold the DOD their first "black helicopters." So, who invented the "stealth technology" developed at AREA 51 that was in place on the "black helicopters" of 9-11? Well, Northrop Gruman claims the patent right to the specific type of antenna we know they used. I'll get back to them in a minute or two. Let me say though that, if there is a connection between AREA 51, the "public"-sector, "black" budget military op, and the "private"-sector stock-holder owned company Northrop-Gruman, it would not been able to have occurred before the two operations first co-existed to share the technology, ie. it could not have been until after the younger group formed. Although this might not even make any difference, since it's pretty clear AREA 51 built it and then sold the patent rights to NG.

benpadiah wrote:

The planetary alignment of 5/5/2000 -> 9/11/2001 here on earth -> the solar and galactic-core black-hole synchronisation of 12/21/2012.

So, what do the "black screens" matter? Well, what IS a "black-op"? It involves deleting something from official historical records. This is the "black" part of it. Mostly the "ops" we don't hear about are coup-de-tats in foreign nations by the US CIA. When I say we don't hear about them, that's not exactly accurate. We just don't hear about them until after they've happened. Most of the time, all information regarding their pre-planning and being carried out remains classified to the US people for DECADES after the fact. So, what do the "black screens" hide? Nothing. There were NO PLANES. The WESCAM cameras could make it look like a plane was flying toward WTC, but once the explosion happened, they would not be able to cut and paste the plane image behind the jagged outline of the fire and smoke. So they didn't. They just inserted a few frames of "black screen." And that's how we know it was fake. And that's why we are supposed to think we will probably NEVER know the WHOLE TRUTH about 9-11, just as we will NEVER know the WHOLE TRUTH about the CIA coup-de-tats in any OTHER countries as well. "Black Ops" are usually also "psy-ops," and so you have operations like MK-Ultra, STARGATE, MONTAUK, etc. being run under the CIA. So, the two types of "Black-Ops" that are the calling-card of the CIA are "coups-de-tats" and "psychological warfare." BOTH HAPPENED ON 9-11, and that's how we KNOW the CIA, that is, "the Government" (technically the COG "backup" for "the" Constitutional US Government) were not only INVOLVED and LIHOP, but STARTED IT and MIHOP. ie. under GHWB we have the CIA, the SS, and so under the CIA, via WESCAM, Larry Silverstein, and under the SS, Cheney, and thus Halliburton. Bush has us all by the balls. Even W.

benpadiah wrote:

Where there's mind-control, there's time travel. The mind is the mechanism that controls time. When the EM-field of the mind warps, the first distortions to be experienced are temporal.

So, what are we looking at? What is GHWB's motive? If I'm actually saying, as I actually AM, that GHWB not only perpetrated 9-11, that is MIHOP, by "taking the war game live," but also killed President JFK, then what the fuck is he thinking? How on earth did he summon the POWER, the AUTHORITY, to become President, to rig his own son's election when he graduated to an office even higher than only the executive branch of the US government. Is it possible he wasn't AUTHORISED to shoot Kennedy? Did he call the world's "bluff," both that the CIA wasn't already, at that time, the dominant group in total global authority, and that not even the CIA would be able to stop ONE ROGUE AGENT? That's possible. Highly unlikely, but it could be true. It's entirely more likely that we are simply inside a universe that exists, at least in part, inside of GHWB's own mind. Just as he shares space with us bodily, his mind and our minds both exist within our bodies, and thus inside a single universe. And his mind is all screwed up on LSD. "Order out of Chaos"? That's assuming the world is chaotic to start with. Imposition by mankind's will over nature is always dangerous, and usually destructive.

If GHWB's mind is overflowing from inside his own head, and oozing out into our mutual world, and reaching out in tendrils to subsume the mental liberty of other people, then ... what do you know? ... suddenly we see that Octopus! Now, speaking as a psychonaut myself, this is possible. It is possible for one person's mind that is warped on a drug to manipulate the mind of someone else who is not the same way as one person might manipulate another without any drugs being involved. The main difference is that, if one person whose mind is warped on drugs is manipulating millions upon millions of people via the television and the office of President of the "free world," then we're dealing with an abuse of our mental sovereignty that could very well be called criminal. So if Clinton "didn't inhale," and if W. quit his "youthful indiscretion," then where were the press to ask GHWB's drug of choice? The CIA's was LSD. And what do you know, GHWB had been in the CIA since the fifties. Is it possible that GHWB foresaw 9-11? Is it possible then, he PLANNED for it? It is possible then, by assuming it would occur, that is, by LIHOP, and by planning for it to happen, and planning on how to benefit, that GHWB MAY HAVE MIHOP? Just MAYBE?

benpadiiah wrote:

On 5/5/2000 the "neo-cons," who believed it would be necessary to murder a lot of people for the survival of only their own small group, planned 9-11-2001 in order to "jump-start" the survival of only a few mutants (as was the case prior to 2000) into the evolution of everyone all at once (as is believed will follow 12/21/2012). They have benefitted materially and psychologically as ushering out the "old" and in the "new" Age, in which Mutation is seen as the binary line between Fear -> War and Truth -> Love.

So, GHWB, on LSD, planned 9-11. GHWB, in spite of the CIA, assassinated JFK to cover-up 9-11. If these events are true, if they really did occur this way, then we are living in a VERY different world than we think we are in our everyday lives. There are some extremely problematic questions that arise from this, and these can ONLY be answered with some extremely complex explanations. The easy way to understand it all is just to take LSD. If you take LSD, you'll be able to "tap into" the "universal mind" the same way as GHWB did when he took the first recreational dose in history. But just as Weishaupt only UNCOVERED a JESUIT PLOT, so did GHWB only UNCOVER the plot for 9-11 from those who pulled off the NAZI Reichstag Fire (ie. the German followers of the Bavarian Weishaupt). And hey, what do you know, the current Pope of the Catholic Church is Bavarian. And Kennedy was a Catholic choir-boy. You do the math on that one.

The point is always this: if one group is benefitting now by doing the same thing that another group benefitted by doing earlier, it is not important why the group doing that thing now is doing it. It is more important, if what they are doing that is the same thing as a previous group is committing a crime (as with 9-11 following in the footsteps of the Reichstag fire), then the MOST IMPORTANT QUESTION is HOW the later group is linked to the older group. If there is a direct

connection, as there is between GHWB and the NAZIs, then this implies complicity between them. At that point, we can reach the MOST IMPORTANT POINT, that is, if one criminal is successfully copy-cattng another, and there is direct collusion between them, WHERE is the original criminal located? The answer to this question tells us if the elder group is still around, what position they would be in to benefit from their MO being copied by a criminal they knew? Well, the answer to WHERE are the NAZIs today, that is fairly obvious. They are all around us, because some of us, present company excluded, do actually have NAZI beliefs, views, opinions, etc. Consider Ann Coulter or Pat Robertson. These people make Ariel Sharon and Dick Cheney look like diplomats. But the answer we would RATHER hear is that the NAZI's were shipped by "Project Paperclip" to countries in South America, and from there probably migrated south to build bases under the Antarctic glaciers from which they pilot UFO-like "Vimana" craft powered by "Vril" energy, alike "their" Vedic ancestors. Of course, that's posh, but the real answer, as I've given, is simply too shocking to admit that it's true, and so I'll, instead, deal more with the NAZI gold angle in a minute. Think "Islamofascism," a term thrown around by the founders of the neo-conservative ideology the CIA "bonesmen" have invaded. Here's a hint too. Mussolini conquered much of Northern Africa, and was probably promised that land before-hand by the pre-WWII League of Nations. This went a long way toward breaking apart the Ottoman Empire. Now, the NAZI's didn't make ALL their money by murdering each and every poor "Jewish banker" in Germany. Remember the idea of Swiss banks wasn't around until afterward, as a place to keep records of where they'd stored all the gold. No, the NAZI's were ideologists. Their ideology was anti-semitism, but their method was fascism. That's why Mussolini and Hitler were on the same "side." Mussolini wasn't "anti-semitic" per se, but he definately did "donate" to NAZI charities from the plunder he pillaged from the N. Africa wing of the Ottoman Empire. And the Pope, no fan of Carthaginian-area threat to Roman Papal authority, just happened to smile on Mussolini, and thus, on Hitler.

benpadiiah wrote:

the same morning Dick and Herb were flying sky-high on "Doomsday," there was a gold-heist going on in a tunnel below WTC5. And I can't help but wonder if the one thing might not have something to do with the other.

WHY? It's all part of a cycle, known only to the astrologers throughout all of history, that repeats itself every 2000 years. We don't know why it does this, at least not most of us. But if we look back throughout recorded history, 2000 years before 9-11, they crucified Christ; 2000 years before that was the rise of Babylon; 2000 years before that, the flood, and, we are told, about 2,000 years before the flood was the dawn of Adam and Eve in the Garden of Eden with the Trees of Knowledge and of Good and Evil. So, we have: 6000BC=Eden, 4000BC=flood, 2000BC=Babylon, 1AD=Christ, and 2000AD = 9-11. Why? Well, the answer isn't simple, because it's astrology, and not alot of people know alot about that. Astrology is one of those studies "frowned upon" by the traditional schools considered "sciences." But this is like Jacob for his "coat of many colours." According to Astrology, the planets align every 2000 years. Each cycle adds one more planet to the last cycle's alignment. So far, we have reached 7 cyclical alignments. We know there are only eight planets, including Pluto but excluding the sun and the moon, and so we predict that this seventh age will see an end put to human wars and the collective push to better ourselves as a species as we realise we have been destroying the earth ourselves until this point, but that our doing so is only in denial of a more real threat from off-world. Destroying earth is no way to avoid the coming of the eighth age, the age of Neptune, the final age before the age of Pluto and the crashing of a comet into our planet the Sumerians called Nibiru, but the Babylonians called Marduk. The word both these Iraqi cultures used to call earth was "TIAMAT." Their name for earth's moon was KINGU. This is all documented and agreed upon, even by mainstream scholars. You can go and look it up. It's in books, and they do still allow us to read.

Of course, we know it's all fiction, though, right? 6000 year old Sumero-Babylonian mythology that HAPPENS to describe the EXACT same plot-lines as movies we watch some 8000 years later (with the modern TIAMAT, earth, being saved from collision with NIBIRU not by MARDUK, but by

Bruce Willis) combined with the astrological cycle explained to us nowadays as the "Age of Aquarius" and you have a dangerous truth disguised behind a delicate lie. This is not a movie we are watching. We have existed throughout many lifetimes, and simply dwelt in many different bodies, but we do have memories and consciousness of events that took place long before our own birth. History is a theatre we are all sitting in, watching current-events being played out on a stage. That much is true. But if those current events are not to our liking, such as on 9-11 when the "neo-cons" celebrated the 2000 year Apocalypse by mass-murder in the name of Molech, then we owe it to our fellow souls in the theatre to stand out from outside the roles we have chosen for this mere lifetime and shout out against the unjust perpetrated on-stage. We have to wake other people up and try to warn them about what's really going on!

You see, the stage is circular, in the centre of an arena. What we are seeing is not the same performance as what the people on the opposite end of the circus are seeing, that is, around the loop by 2000 years, or by 4000 years, or 6 or 8 or 10, etc. But some of us, closer to one another, do see similar events going on at similar times. So, what we saw on 9-11 was, to some of our minds, in some way vaguely similar to, say, the Lightning Struck tower card of tarot, or to Revelations 9:11, or to Nostradamus quatrain X72, and so on. Some of us may even understand what is going on, but want to keep everyone in the audience quiet. I speculate that such a person as that is GHWB, who thinks of himself as an "usher" standing in the aisles of the theatre of history around the stage of current events. And I'd wager John Dee had felt that way too at one point in his life.

benpadiah wrote:

NPT explains: 1) lack of plane wreckage; 2) "mystery planes;" 3) use of explosives; 4) confused eye-witness testimony; 5) lack of footage.

1) lack of plane wreckage. NPT = there were no planes crashed, so there was no wreckage at any of the sites. The planes themselves were owned by their respective air-traffic operations companies, in this case United, American and TWA. These companies have long been owned and operated, and this is well-documented in many books about fiscal corruption in the aviation industries, by former NAZIs, or by people who subscribe to the ideologies of NAZlism. This is well-known, and a well-documented fact. So, asking the aircraft companies what happened to the aircraft that supposedly "crashed" on 9-11 would be like asking a jockey what happens to a lame horse. Rocket-fuel is combustable FOR A REASON. There are, and those who use them know who they are, better technologies for flight.

2) "mystery planes." In the past few posts I've addressed the WESCAM "Black helicopters," the "UFO" Global Hawks, and the E-4 over the Pentagon. Those are most of the "mystery" aircraft I know about that were present on 9-11. There are OTHER anomalies on 9-11, however, and hopefully I'll get to discuss some of those soon too.

3) use of explosives. This was Cheney and Silverstein's part. It was likely co-ordinated by GHWB via WESCAM and STRATCOM. All of the people necessary for EITHER an "explosives ONLY," OR a "secondary incideiary devices" argument would need to convict would be Cheney, Silverstein and GHWB. Then any others would be flushed out too.

4) confused eye-witness testimony. I'd be confused too if, instead of seeing a plane hitting the tower, all I had really seen was a plane next to the tower, a plane half-way inside the tower, then a black-screen, and then an explosion. That's three different events and one big fat magic marker blotting out the most important moment. I'd definately get VERY confused if

the reason someone was telling me this had happened was not because of "radical Islamo-fascism" but that the ones who did it were trying to steal Kuwaiti gold from under the towers, and that, instead, the REAL reason for 9-11 was for a group of evil wizards to celebrate the second "millennium" since they killed Jesus.

5) lack of footage. There's really two kinds of "lack of footage," and I've only dealt with one so far, that being the "black screen" frames JUST AS the "plane" strikes the tower. The other, and this is, obviously, far MORE important, is that 9-11 itself was MISDIRECTION. The "war-game" going "live" was to uncover the gold-heist, but the "war game" itself was only cover for the heist. It would be as if, say I wanted to rob a till at a stadium during a game, and the entire game got called off on account of it. There would obviously have to be some better reason than me robbing the one till to call off the game. So, obviously, there was more going on than ONLY the game we saw OR the one till being robbed. And obviously, it was BIG.

So, to uncover the BIG SECRET of 9-11, we have to get past the STRATCOM co-ordinated, WESCAM televised, Carlyle Group sponsored, AREA 51 technology "arms" show aspect. We even have to hold off on our gut-reaction to tear the main characters in this play to pieces like the brainless zombies they think we are. So, we have to "forgive" Dick Cheney, and we have to "turn the other cheek" to Larry Silverstein, because these people are small-time players in a much BIGGER GAME. We have to think carefully about this... where did GHWB go on 9-11? How does this help us find the group of criminals current location that the group he led were imitating that day? The idea is to follow the lion back to his lair, and there to catch his pride unaware.

just a quick word about GHWB...

JFK uncovered 9-11, so GHWB shot him.
plate 28:



::: Conclusive Findings :::

okay, so, for this post I'm going to present some information chronologically, from as few a number of sources as possible, all cited from wikipedia, the "open-source" code internet encyclopedia. I've already demonstrated their general philosophical bias toward the neo-con slant of the "flat earth" model of reality. However, there remains no less disputable source for info online, even if, at times, it is only the bare minimum.

Alright, all that being said, let me tell you up front what I'm trying to lay out in this post. We'll be dealing with three groups here: 1) the Bush family themselves. 2) the Skull and Bones Yale "secret-society" frat. 3) the NAZIs. However, for the purpose of exposition, we'll be following them according to the Bush family business, BB&H (Brown Brothers & Harriman) bank; the RTA (Russell Trust Association); and the UBC (Union Bank Corporation) allied with Fritz Von Thyssen (Hitler's foreign finance manager). I'll now show, chronologically, how BB&H tie the Bush family fortunes to UBC and NAZI gold; how the RTA, now under BB&H, ties opium sales to China in the 1800's to the same NAZI gold; and, finally, I'll wrap up the post with another brief exposition on the different ideologies of Mussolini's Fascist Pan-European economy and Hitler's eugenic dictatorship of human experimentation.

Anyway, on to the research:

Quote:

Williams cousin Samuel Russell formally established Russell & Co. on January 1, 1824 for the purpose of acquiring opium and smuggling it to China.

-source: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/William_Huntington_Russell

This is Samuel Russell, cousin of the first member of Skull and Bones, Will Russell, and probably one of the original 13 initiates under his cousin. So, even before the founding of the Skull and Bones itself, we have the founding member's own cousin starting a holding company to redistribute opium to China. At this time, opium is still legal in the British empire, and India, bordering China to the south, is still a British colony. The British opium import to China in an attempt to crush their economy led, of course, to the Communist Revolution under Chairman Mao Tse Tung. But we already know all of that. What we don't yet is why some Connecticut Yankee thinks he can corner the international opium market. So, let's look a little later on and see.

Quote:

James Smith Bush (1825-1889), an Episcopal priest in New Jersey, California, and New York, was the son of Obadiah Bush and the father of Samuel Prescott Bush.

-source: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bush_family#Patrilineal_line

In 1825, GHWB's Great Grand-Father is born. This makes the Bushes the latest ones "on the scene" of the economic coup around the turn of the 20th century that precipitated WWI and WWII, just as the "Asian Contagion" of the late 90's in many ways led directly to the events on 9-11, 2001. So, we see here, even among the most elite, the wealthiest, an exchange between one group or family and another over the passing decades and centuries of the reigns to power. There is a "passing of the torch" that occurs during GHWB's earlier lifetime, and he alone may have really grasped the fullness of the opportunity this represented.

Quote:

The partnership started in 1825, between William Brown and Joseph Shipley.

-source: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Brown%2C_Shipley_%26_Co.

So, in the same year as "late-comer" Bush-family patriarch James Smith Bush is born, we have the first partnership formed between two British companies that would later partner with Harriman to form BB&H banking firm. You'll see where I'm headed with this connection in a moment.

Quote:

Russell & Co. merged with the number one US trader, the J. & T.H. Perkins "Boston Concern" in 1829.

-source: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/William_Huntington_Russell

Returning to the Bonesmen's founder's cousin's company, Russell and Co. (R&Co), in 1829, they have aligned themselves to the current, proto-Wall Street, open-market share / stock-trader of the time. Remember all of this is happening roughly concurrent to a wee little skirmish going on down south we "historiographers" call the Civil War. Meanwhile, and this is important, future partners R&Co. have already aligned with BB&H, then Brown & Shipley, via Skull and Bones. So, before the Civil War in America is even fought-out, we have Connecticut international-opium entrepreneur Sam Russell, cousin of the founder of Skull and Bones, Will Russell, who I'll come to next, selling shares in his startup company on the open-market. So, who's buying this? You'll never guess.

Quote:

From 1831 to 1832, Russell studied in Germany. It has been suggested while there, he was initiated into a German society that inspired Skull and Bones. Confirmation of this came when the Skull and Bones meeting hall was broken into and materials were found that refer to Skull and Bones as the Yale Chapter of a German society. The German "Illuminati" was outlawed as effected by an edict of the Bavarian government in 1785 and it is assumed that they then became a truly secretive underground organization.

One account of the society's foundation says Russell was secretary of the Yale University chapter of Phi Beta Kappa. It is said that Russell was furious when a prominent undergraduate scholar was left out of the Phi Beta Kappa elections and Russell openly condemned the fraternity. He joined with the undergraduate and thirteen other students to found the Eulogian Club, which later became known as Skull and Bones.

-source: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/William_Huntington_Russell

From the start, Skull and Bones was a front.

On the one-hand, there's the fact that the alignment of the Russell family, between Sam Russell and his cousin Will, with the German "Illuminati" (by that time known as Thule), allowed quid pro quo between the German (and British, as we'll soon see) foreign investors, who needed espionage agents abroad in America, and the young up-and-comer in the international opium trade. So we have, on the one-hand, the "secret society" aspect being used as a cover for the business-deals. But, on the other hand, it's the other way around, and what we see is that one "hand" washes the other. So, Skull and Bones was a cover for the opium export industry, but the opium-export industry was only a front for the Skull and Bones connection between Yale college kids - mostly the sons of boot-strapped wealthy big-industrialists following the Civil War, like Prescott Bush, GHWB's dad, himself -and the proto-NAZI party, the Illuminati / Thule Society, in Germany. Beginning to see things shaping up yet?

Quote:

Founders

- William Huntington Russell (1832), Connecticut State Legislator
- Alphonso Taft (1832), U.S. Attorney General (1876–1877); Secretary of War (1876); Ambassador to Austria-Hungary (1882) and Russia (1884–1885); father of William Howard Taft

-source: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_Skull_and_Bones_members

So, the fellow-Phi Beta Kappa frat-boy who Russell, the Thule-brainwashed cousin of the first Cino-American opium exporter, "stood up for" was actually the father of President Taft. Did anyone know that Taft was elected illegally, since his home-state, Ohio, at the time of his election, still had not been ratified into the Union of American States following the civil war? Fun fact, that.

Quote:

Members meet in the Bones "tomb" on Thursday and Sunday evenings of each week over the course of their senior year. As with other Yale societies, the sharing of a personal history is the keystone of the senior year together in the tomb. Reputedly, members are assigned a nickname. For Bones, it is said that these names are associated with Roman mythology, while at Scroll and Key the names are associated with Greek mythology, and with Egyptian mythology at Wolf's Head.

-source: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Boodle_Boys

Okay, well, this part does get a little esoteric, or at least exotic. So we have three yale frat houses formed around the same time. The first is Skull and Bones. We've already seen that they are aligned with the German Thule Society and with the Chinese / British opium-trade. The second one to form then was the Scroll and Key. Let's check them out briefly.

Quote:

The Scroll and Key Society is a secret society established by John Addison Porter and others at Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut, in 1841.

-source: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Scroll_and_Key

So, after Skull and Bones, that already by that time is, at the least, well lined up to connect the German Thule Order's interests in the British export of opium to China via the founders' own family-ties, then next we have the second Yale frat / "secret society," Scroll and Key. I'm not going to go into specifics on Scroll and Key, or on the "third society" of Wolf's Head, either. Suffice it to say that it's all about time-travel. And if you get that, maybe you'd be interested in this watch I have to sell you.

Quote:

The Russell Trust Association is the business name for the New Haven, Connecticut based Skull and Bones society, incorporated in 1856.

-source:

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Brown_Brothers_Harriman_%26_Co.#Skull_.26_Bones_Association

So, between the formation of the second "cult" at Yale and the formation of the third, Skull and Bones officially declares itself a financial operating arm of the elder R&Co. run by the founder's own cousin. Now we're talking nepitism and campus politics galore. Skull and Bones is now corporate-financed, the RTA (Russell Trust Association) being nothing more than a holding

company under R&Co. (Sam Russell and Company). Again, this is far from illegal, but then, laws change.

Quote:

James Smith Bush (1825–1889), an Episcopal priest in New Jersey, California, and New York, ... son of Obadiah Bush and the father of Samuel Prescott Bush.... [was t]he first Bush to earn a degree from Yale University, he supported the founding of The Third Society at Yale, now known as Wolf's Head Society.

-source: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bush_family#Patrilineal_line

Here's a smoking gun right at the peak of the Yale frat-wars. The great-grandfather of former head of the CIA and past-president GHWB helped found a RIVAL frat to Skull and Bones, the frat that, I shouldn't need to remind you, became the CIA. I'll get back to this "frat-mole" theory in a moment, but for now suffice it to say it's all about maintaining the false value of certain commodities at the expense, literally, of certain others - for example we consider gold "worth" more than opium, as I'll tally at the bottom line later on. Again, I'm going chronologically, so bear with me. The Yale "three societies" are just the calm before the blitzkrieg. Although, while we're on them, I'd like to add, on the time-travel note, the order of attribution to historical reference-point for the three clubs is actually opposite the order in which they, themselves, were formed. Think about it. The Roman club (S&B) was formed FIRST. Then the Greek club (S&K), and finally, the Egyptian club (WHS). It's just an interesting side-note is all, really; it just makes you wonder what they were thinking about.

Quote:

Wolf's Head Society (W.H.S.), incorporated in 1883 as The Third Society by the Phelps Trust Association, is the third oldest secret society at Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut.

-source: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wolf%27s_Head_%28secret_society%29

By the time Wolf's Head Society forms, 51 years AFTER S&B, and only 6 years before JSBush (Sam's father, Prescott's grand-father, GHWB's great-grandfather) dies, the idea of establishing a business-side has been decided to be pre-eminent in the formation of a successfully operating "secret" frat. I'd speculate that, what's MORE secret than the existence of these rival Yale frats are the Secret Wars they conduct against one another. How far does this mentality extend into the Halls of Power in our governments by now? In any event, the Phelps Trust precedes the formation of Wolf's Head, and this may have been due to the influence of GHWB's great-grandfather. But, either way, we can say that Bonesman GHWB's own great-grandfather actually belonged to a rival frat to the Skull and Bones, Wolf's Head. Again, the notion of the "frat-mole" raises its ugly, speculative little head.

Quote:

In 1918 the partnership between Brown Shipley and its American partners Brown Brothers & Co. ended, although each continued to act as agents for the other for some time.

-source: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Brown%2C_Shipley_%26_Co.

Id' guess this had something to do with the Communist Revolution in Russia in 1917, leading into the Great War, the War to End All Wars, or, as we know it by name nowadays, World War One. Brown Shipley (ostensibly the British/UK operating wing) of BBH (Brown-Brothers & Co, by now Brown Brothers & Harriman, USA) at this point claims to have severed official ties with BBH, their American operating wing, at least on paper. What is meant by the ominous use of the word

"agents" I'll explain next. But, going into this, remember that, what we are looking at is one group, with three sides: BBS/BBH are the Harriman / Walker / Bush family bankers. RTA and R&Co. are Skull and Bones dealing British opium to China. And then we have the third group, the Thule Order of Illuminati, who were, by now, calling themselves by the name no doubt more familiar to us now, the NAZIs.

Quote:

Harriman Bank was the main Wall Street connection for several German companies and the varied U.S. financial interests of Fritz Thyssen....

Roosevelt's Alien Property Custodian, Leo T. Crowley, signed Vesting Order Number 248 seizing Bush's property under the Trading with the Enemy Act. The order cited only the Union Banking Corporation (UBC), of which Bush was a director and held one share, which had connections with a Dutch bank owned by Thyssen.

Union Banking Corporation (UBC) (for Thyssen and Brown Brothers Harriman). The President of UBC at that time was George Herbert Walker, Bush's father-in-law.

- E. Roland Harriman -- 3991 shares (managed and under voting control of Prescott Bush)
- Cornelis Lievense -- 4 shares (He was the New York banker of the Nazi Party)
- Harold D. Pennington -- 1 share (Employed by Prescott Bush at Brown Brothers Harriman)
- Ray Morris -- 1 share (a business partner of the Bush and Harriman families)
- Prescott S. Bush -- 1 share (director of UBC, which was co-founded and sponsored by his father-in-law George Walker; senior managing partner for E. Roland Harriman and Averell Harriman)
- H.J. Kouwenhoven -- 1 share (organized UBC for Von Thyssen, managed UBC in Nazi occupied Netherlands)
- Johann G. Groeninge -- 1 share (German Industrial Executive, a not unimportant member of the Nazi party)

-source: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Prescott_Bush#Nazi_collaboration_controversy

Okay, this is very important, so I'll go over it for a moment more. I know it's confusing and I don't want us to miss this before moving on. Seven people sat on the board of directors for the UBC (Union Banking Corporation). Three of them were high-level members in Hitler's NAZI party. Two of them were business partners of the Bush family. The other two were the owner of the company (E. Roland Harriman), and the company president's son-in-law, Prescott Bush, GHWB's dad. Prescott's father-in-law (George Herbert Walker), for whom Prescott named his first-born son, was not mentioned as part of the crew of stock-holders who got "busted" for "trading with the enemy," even though he was UBC's president at the time. Also note that Harriman's interests, despite being the overwhelmingly primary stock-holder on the board of trustees, are under direct veto of Prescott, the president's son-in-law. This is a lot of trust that Harriman is putting in "Bert" Walker, letting his friend's son-in-law run a company complicit in "trading with the enemy"

during war-time. Either way, the point is clear: Prescott Bush was NOT a NAZI-"sympathiser." Prescott Bush WAS a NAZI. And this is the grand-father of our current president, George W. Bush. How do they get away with this? Well, I'll tell you how:

Quote:

In 1943, by special act of the Connecticut state legislature, its trustees were granted an exemption from filing corporate reports with the Secretary of State, which is normally a requirement.

-source:

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Brown_Brothers_Harriman_%26_Co.#Skull_.26_Bones_Association

This means that, as of 1943, the year that Prescott's "banking" venture with the NAZIs was being investigated, the Skull and Bones opium company stopped having to report their annual income and holdings to the state auditor. So, RTA went "off the books," in other words. No taxes, no official records. Let the age of the frat-house "spooks" begin. Well, I expect you're wondering, what does the one thing have to do with the other? It's time to play clean-up. What we have, up until Crowley under Roosevelt put the slap-down on Prescott and Thyssen's US-NAZI funding party, was a gradual lining up between pro-eugenics, fascist forces in Germany and frat-houses on the Yale University campus. And Prescott himself was in a VERY Key Position. The grandson of a Wolf's Head, he himself had been "tapped" into Skull and Bones. Was this a betrayal, or espionage? Either way, we have Prescott Bush in charge of UBC for BB&H (UK/US) and Thyssen (Germany) at the same time that future BB&H asset RTA goes "off the charts." Is this a coincidence, or can we imply complicity to conceal the original interests of the UBC (US/UK - German) alliance as being, as I've been saying all along, in selling opium to the Chinese? Is it the case that post-civil war-era proto-fascists originally aligned in the drug-trade, and only later moved into the business of banking? Well, as we all know by now, "looks can be deceiving."

Quote:

In 1946, Brown Shipley became a limited company.

-source: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Brown%2C_Shipley_%26_Co.

This is when the UK wing of BBH, ie. Brown Shipley, says it finally "pulled out" of its side of the trade partnership. But, of course, this is only on paper. As we can begin to see now, there had been complicity between UK Brown Shipley and US BBH between 1918, when it declared itself an autonomously functional branch, and 1946, when it actually began selling stock-shares on the open-market. We have now seen some of the "agents" that acted for both UK BS and US BBH simultaneously, on behalf, on the one hand, of the British interest in poisoning China, and, on the other hand, the German fascists in consolidating capital. And, oh My ~GOD~ have they ever consolidated capital. Let's look at the final piece of the puzzle.

Quote:

Since 1978, business of the Russell Trust Association was handled by its single trustee, Brown Brothers Harriman & Co. partner John B. Madden, Jr. Madden started with Brown Brothers & Harriman in 1946, under senior partner Prescott Bush, George Herbert Walker Bush's father.

-source:

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Brown_Brothers_Harriman_%26_Co.#Skull_.26_Bones_Association

The guy who handles the stock portfolio for the Skull and Bones, "off the books" opium-trade industry worked with Prescott Bush HIMSELF at BB&H itself, which had, by 1946, hired Prescott to protect him from the UBC banking scandal of 1943. So, let's take stock once more: Prescott

Bush: grandson of a Wolf's Head (Egyptian Yale cult), who himself became a Skull and Bonesman (Roman Yale cult); a known NAZI-"collaborator" who "traded with the enemy" during wartime; working for BB&H and even supervising the guy who would end up acquiring S&B RTA for WHS BB&H. Starting to add up? BBH subsumed RTA, but it's likely that BB&H was founded to fund RTA. In any event, we can definately now sit back and say, with the utmost confidence, we know that Prescott Bush, our current president's grand-father, was a NAZI, and that Skull and Bones, the Bush family's college fraternity of choice for the last three generations, makes its money in the opium trade. So, exactly how much money does Skull and Bones make from the opium trade?

Quote:

On its 2004 Form 990, the Russell Trust Association reported \$3,205,143 in net assets.

-source:

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Brown_Brothers_Harriman_%26_Co.#Skull_.26_Bones_Association

So, RTA (the Cino opium-exporter) is now worth approximately 3 and a quarter million dollars. But check this out. Between 1946, when Brown Shipley went public-interest on its stock-options, and now, in 2007, UK BS (former partners of US BB&H) have been bought out by, and now function as a wholly owned subsidiary of KBC bank in Luxembourg. Let's just take a quick look into their assets and earnings for a moment, just to see how the business of housing NAZI gold is holding up beside the old opium trade.

Quote:

The group is controlled by a syndicate of core shareholders, but has a free float of some 47%. Its market capitalisation in November 2005 was Eu.27bn, and its revenues Eu. 12.556 bn in 2006. KBL, based in Luxemburg, provides tailor-made private banking services to customers throughout Western Europe via its network of subsidiaries.

Members of the group currently include Puilaetco Dewaay (Belgium), Michaux Gestion SA (France), Merck Finck & Co. (Germany), Brown, Shipley & Co. (Great Britain), Banca KBL Fumagalli Soldan Spa (Italy), Theodoor Gilissen Bankiers NV (The Netherlands), and Kredietbank Suisse SA (Switzerland).

-source: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/KBC_Bank

Let's also recall that the Euro is at nearly -.200% trading rate to the US dollar right now. The reason should be clear enough. The Euro is backed off NAZI gold, while the US dollar is not. So, when we look at the sum of 27 billion Euros in extended assets for the Swiss bank that owns UK BS, that really translates to between two and three times that amount in the value of US dollars. Now compare that to the average value of RTA, at around three to four million dollars. It's literally chump change.

Okay, now. Let me try to put some perspective on all this. First, I know this might all seem like nothing but a bunch of fast-talk and conjecture. But look, the same year that Prescott Bush switched up from UBC and his NAZI funding partners to be senior partner of BB&H UK-US banking, the Skull and Bones "Trust Company," RTA, goes "off the books." It's probably NOT a coincidence, since it does just HAPPEN to conceal the way American NAZIs (Thule "moles" at Yale who became chief-execs at BB&H) had sold narcotics to raise funds for their friends in Germany.

As I've said, laws change. As do world politics. They all change with the best ways to maximize profits in foreign markets. Whether it's German Thule funding US Skull and Bones RTA to smuggle British opium to China (probably via UK B&S), or whether it's the US BB&H funding the German NAZIs. It's all the same circle-jerk. One year the German branch are the "Illuminati." The next

the same branch has become "Thule." The next, we call them NAZIs. One year, the US branch are S&B and RTA. The next they are BB&H and UBC. Finally, BB&H acquires RTA in a merger of the two. But it's all a shadow puppet Punch and Judy show, since it was the same people all along, only using different names. And when we find out the role of the British B&S was to benefit from RTA, and then ultimately themselves to benefit the Swiss banks housing NAZI gold, and when we consider the Germans founded Skull and Bones (as well as inspired the other Yale frats) in the US to start with, then we can make a pretty water-tight, if not air-tight, case connecting the German proto-NAZIs to the US Yale frats as long ago as their foundation, around the same time as the US Civil War and to the Swiss bank share-holders / trustees of today, and when we "follow the money" from the US side, through the British, to the German, we can make a very good case for treason and espionage against certain key-players in US, British and German politics during that time, most notably, the Bush family, beginning with S&B / BB&H Prescott, following through to S&B / CIA GHWB, right down to the current president of the United States, George W. Bush.

In short, it's easy to see this as US money flowing through RTA and UBC into the German NAZIs. But it's not only the NAZIs of early 20th century Germany that profited. The people that have profited down the line, and still bank every single night and day from this, are still people who hold the NAZI ideology, though. So we can still call them NAZIs, even if they aren't German. So, we see NAZI gold being stored in Swiss banks following WWII, and we see the same people who put that gold there then in the positions of highest authority in the "free world" now.

So, what we have to see is not the knee-jerk reaction of US money funneling into Swiss banks via Skull and Bones and the NAZIs. This implies a decrease in one market and an increase in another. But where can we find the market that benefits from both the decrease in the US and the increase in Swiss banks? Germany isn't richer because America is poorer. That's not the right way to look at this. No, this is most definitely an act of Treason, a high-crime against all the states involved. But it is not treason to one state to benefit another. This is a crime to benefit its criminals.

While the US was being fleeced to fund the NAZIs, and after the NAZIs were fleeced by Swiss banks, only the subsequent families of one small group of people alive at the time have since benefitted. They formed the RTA to smuggle opium into China. They formed Skull and Bones, which would basically become the CIA. They formed the UBC to transfer funds in the US to NAZI Germany. They subsumed RTA into the UBC's parent company BB&H. They dissolved UK B&S of US BB&H into the Swiss bank KBL. So, who formed these groups, populated them throughout, and has benefitted after the fact from their acts? The NAZIs, Skull and Bones, the CIA, Swiss banks, the US presidency. Who has their fingers in every cookie jar?

Money inside banks is not being circulated on the free market. All money belongs to the free market. Therefore, money kept in banks is being stolen by individuals from the masses of the free market-place. Consider this: US politicians are renowned for making financial contributions to Zionist Israeli charities after their elections. This is because these same charities usually contribute heavily to their election campaigns beforehand. We can see thus how the cycle of election-buying is paid for in the US by a circulating financial insurance system that launders one generations funds to the next through Israel. But what about those pesky Swiss banks? Is all that money really just SITTING THERE, not being circulated? If politicians' funds are kept fluid, then whose funds are sitting solid in Swiss banks?

Obviously it goes back to proto-NAZIs in Germany. But the NAZIs of today are world-wide. So, again, who has their fingers in every cookie jar and who is sitting on a mountain of gold in Swiss banks? You probably want to say the Zionist Illuminati of 200 years ago. But it isn't them now. It's the neo-cons now. It's W. now.

From one point of view, the zeitgeist of the neo-con NAZIs now is, in full, the same as that of the Zionist Illuminati 200 years ago. Both groups seem to be intent on taking money away from one

group, the Semites, by keeping their own economy solid while forcing the fluid economy of the Semites to constantly circulate. But then, you could also say, this mentality of one group prospering at the expense of another dates all the way back to when civilisation was originally formed by the Semites. This philosophy ultimately leads nowhere, and if you get sucked into it you will only inherit a lot of hot air.

So, instead, we have to focus only on the neo-cons, who are the chief heads of this group today. We can't blame the groups they belong to, such as the Bilderbergers or the Trilateral Commission or the CFR or even the IMF, WTO and world bank, anymore-so than we can blame the political offices they buy or steal, like that of the US Presidency. It is individuals we can try for crimes, but not the whole groups themselves. It's easy to spot the neo-cons too. They all wear a tiny US flag lapel pin just like the Nazis wore a Swastika and the ancient Semites waved a star of David.

And we can say that what it comes down to is a fluidity or solidity of reality. On 9-11 we were all shown something that didn't happen. In operation "Big City," in the early 1960's, the CIA began pumping LSD into the water-supply of New York City. Less than half a century later, they triggered the "trip." The technologists have been developing satellite-based (above the horizon) telecommunications systems for the last 50 years. After 50 years, the whole world could see 9-11 at the same time on tv. That's fluid reality. We all tripped. We all saw something that wasn't there. We had a "mass hallucination."

Solid reality, on the other hand, is what the neo-cons desire to maintain for themselves. Solid reality is control over such massive consequences. Solid reality is gold, and fluid reality is LSD. Of course, those of us aware of the effects of both can say which is more valuable to them, but we can add that, for most of us, the answer wouldn't be gold, simply because we, by this point in history, have never actually even owned any. Almost everyone nowadays is part of this "fluid" reality, and so, to those who are in control of "solid" reality, we are less valuable, less real, less alive and so expendable. The masses exist to serve current needs of history.

Such is the difference in mentality between the majority of humans alive nowadays and the minority who we believe are in control. "They," we say, are responsible for how bad things are, and therefore "we," ourselves, cannot be held accountable. This mentality allows them their control. By our envying their gold, we place them in charge. But if we shift our balance of perceived value to something other than gold, such as, say, the fluid economy of credit-based capital, then we free our minds from those whose values we had placed in charge above ourselves previously. That's what a small number of us have done in each generation, and how that small group goes on to parent the rulers over the next generation of the masses. Hence, as I'd said, the "passing of the baton," which, as I'd mentioned occurred during GHWB's lifetime, was the metamorphosis from the "solid" to the "fluid" reality for the masses between valuing gold and valuing credit-based capital.

In conclusion, let's look at the long-view. Fascism, or big-business globalism, is only a means to an end. The group of mutants in charge in each generation sees the same truth each time: to forward the historical trends of the masses. In this case, what we are seeing on 9-11 is VERY MUCH like what we saw with the crucifixion 2000 years ago. The small group of mutants (then the Sanhedrin, now the neo-cons) has created an elaborate mythology to temporarily distract the masses while that small group consolidates power. And, while 2000 years ago we actually couldn't see the Church becoming the Roman Empire itself as such a shift in the "flat earth" power-structure of our reality, now, 2000 years later, we CAN see the neo-cons staging 9-11 to assume authority over a global empire as such. We can choose now whether or not we will allow this to happen because now, 2000 years later, the sunspot-cycle's peak activity will be progressively greater than it was then. More planets aligned this time than last, hence more sunspots, hence more EM activity overall in earth's EM field, and thus a "higher" overall state of brainwave function now than then. In other words, the people of the world are now more unified than they

were 2000 years ago, and so may be more able to prevent a neo-con global coup-de-tat than they were to prevent the same event by the Catholic cult 2000 years ago.

Again, to prevent a crime, we do not need to know the prior motives of the criminal. After we have stopped the crime from occurring, then we can question the criminal and the victim both, and thus judge both sides of the story. But if we do not prevent the crime, and the criminal goes as far as even killing the victim, then we can only determine our relationship to the act upon the story of the criminal themselves. This is what is meant when it is said, "the victor makes history."

Just remember, the neo-cons value gold. To them, gold is power. So, who got the gold from 9-11? Silverstein got 2.2 billion worth to rebuild the towers. Cheney got alot of power if he can authorise the under-funded army to torture and the soft-kill weapon toting domestic police to kill. This power is funded by gold, but would 2.2 billion alone buy the ability to confuse the whole population of the globe on 9-11? Next, let's look at the gold-heist I've mentioned that occurred under WTC5 on 9-11. Because, just like 2.2 billion makes 3 million look like "chump change," so does 160 billion make 26 billion look the same. Did I say 160 BILLION? Yep, that's right. Stolen on the day of 9-11 from the crime-scene itself. All gold. 160 Billion. Oh, and by the way...? It had all originally belonged to Kuwait. Saddam stole it from them, we stole it from him, and then SOMEONE stole it on 9-11.

Whoever has the solid gold to raise the fluid reality of the public mind into credit-based capital is the same group of individuals responsible for planning and carrying out the events on 9-11.

benpadiiah wrote:

aside from the evidence of their both having benefitted and both having been responsible, can we directly tie the one event to the other? Consider this, in particular, in the absence of planes. If the explosion at the Pentagon and the initial explosions at the WTC towers were not caused by planes, can we still say that Silverstein and Cheney can be held accountable for them? And, more importantly, can we tie one event to the other without planes, and prove that Silverstein and Cheney acted in collusion with one another on 9-11?

It is obvious that Cheney was "behind" planting the explosives in the Kellog, Brown and Root - the construction wing of his company, Halliburton - trailers at the Pentagon. It is obvious that Silverstein was "behind" planting the explosives in the "twin" towers because LERA, the construction wing of his company, the Blackstone Group, were the ones who installed them. The only question left we can ask is who co-ordinated the exact timing of these explosions?

Point 1) if there were no planes crashed on 9-11, someone must have planted explosives beforehand as well as coordinated their exact timing to correspond to a simultaneous media black-out.

benpadiiah wrote:

There's a less obvious, better question to ask here: "Where was George H.W. Bush, the current president's father, on the morning of 9-11?" Answer, "he admits himself that he was at the White House the night before, but says he flew to St. Paul Minnesota the next morning." (quoted from David Icke, ick.) He was in DC that morning after having spoken the previous evening for a meeting held by the Carlyle Group. The Carlyle Group speaker for 9-11 was actually King Fahd of the House of Saud, the royal family of Saudi Arabia. I speculate Cheney's location to have been Site R because I believe he went there, between 10:39 and 12:58, following former-President George Herbert Walker Bush (GHWB), who had flown there via Johnston, Pennsylvania, where his E-4 was seen circling low over the

United 93 "crash" site at ~ 10:30 AM.

I mean, look, if we say that, scheduled for the morning of 9-11, we have an ongoing, inter-departmental, military training exercise that involves crashing planes into buildings, then even if Cheney, by "standing down" the "shoot down" order, and Larry Silverstein, by cooperating as an un-witting accomplice before the fact, "LIHOP," then someone must have STARTED it. Someone MUST HAVE "Taken It Live." That's where GHWB comes in. He was, we know, ON THE SCENE. However, like at the Dallas school-book depository, his whereabouts are otherwise unaccounted for for the rest of the day. Either he is James Bond, the modern John Dee, a super-spy extraordinaire, or else HE DID IT.

So, we can divide and conquer: Dick and Larry in one camp, planted explosives beforehand. Dick was managing the war-games that morning. So he was on the scene, too. But he was playing the role of "cop in charge." Then we have, in another camp, GHWB. He was "on the scene," but his role was undefined. And the order of events determines how the house of cards falls: 1) WESCAM @ WTC. 2) Pent. explosion. 3) WTC7. "We," Larry Silverstein reminds us, "made the decision to go ahead and pull it." He's talking about WTC7, "pulled" later in the day on 9-11. So, by 5PM, Larry was in the loop. But it's also possible that his complicity in the war-game going "live" by having rigged the WTC twin towers with explosives was not pre-orchestrated by him with knowledge of its use in the "war-game" on 9-11. Unlikely, again, but possible and worth due consideration.

- 1) WESCAM, on scene, "takes it live."
- 2) Cheney, directly under GHWB via SS, bombs the Pentagon.
- 3) later in the day, by a safe-enough time to include him,
Larry Silverstein "pulls" WTC Building 7.

Point 2) the prior-planning for 9-11, while having been co-ordinated between different groups or factions (Cheney in DC and Silverstein in NYC), was, most probably, entirely pulled off by one person.

benpadiiah wrote:

when I say GHWB was the first to dose, I mean I think he's the "head" of the "neo-cons." Now, I've said, I think it was GHWB who "went live" with the war-games. Why do I think this? WESCAM is the most important evidence. Because it proves 9-11 was an AREA 51 "arms" show. It was hosted by Halliburton and LERA at the Pentagon and WTC towers. They had a blast. It was hosted by the Carlyle group at STRATCOM by GHWB from an E-4. Starting to make sense yet? It's possible they would have initiated a complete global thermo-nuclear war if the Russians were still Soviet. It's probable they wish they could have while they were. But one dictatorship "out-crazied" the other. We elected GHWB, the man who shot Kennedy, President.

Point 3) we might not want to believe that someone who has been a president of the United States himself could be behind the "terrorist attacks" of 9-11. But remember this was a coup-de-tat by a "shadow government" to replace our own with COG, that such coup-de-tats are usually staged by the CIA, and that, before being president, GHWB was director of the CIA.

benpadiiah wrote:

Where there's mind-control, there's time travel. The mind is the mechanism that controls time. When the EM-field of the mind warps, the first distortions to be experienced are temporal.

So, what are we looking at? What is GHWB's motive? If I'm actually saying, as I actually AM, that GHWB not only perpetrated 9-11, that is MIHOP, by "taking the war game live," but also killed President JFK, then what the fuck is he thinking? How on earth did he summon the POWER, the AUTHORITY, to become President, to rig his own son's election when he graduated to an office even higher than only the executive branch of the US government? Is it possible he wasn't AUTHORISED to shoot Kennedy? Did he call the world's "bluff," both that the CIA wasn't already, at that time, the dominant group in total global authority, and that not even the CIA would be able to stop ONE ROGUE AGENT? That's possible. Highly unlikely, but it could be true. It's entirely more likely that we are simply inside a universe that exists, at least in part, inside of GHWB's own mind. Just as he shares space with us bodily, his mind and our minds both exist within our bodies, and thus inside a single universe. And his mind is all screwed up on LSD....

It is possible for one person's mind that is warped on a drug to manipulate the mind of someone else who is not the same way as one person might manipulate another without any drugs being involved. The main difference is that, if one person whose mind is warped on drugs is manipulating millions upon millions of people via the television and the office of President of the "free world," then we're dealing with an abuse of our mental sovereignty that could very well be called criminal.

benpadiiah wrote:

On 5/5/2000 the "neo-cons," who believed it would be necessary to murder a lot of people for the survival of only their own small group, planned 9-11-2001 in order to "jump-start" the survival of only a few mutants (as was the case prior to 2000) into the evolution of everyone all at once (as is believed will follow 12/21/2012). They have benefitted materially and psychologically as ushering out the "old" and in the "new" Age, in which Mutation is seen as the binary line between Fear -> War and Truth -> Love.

So, GHWB, on LSD, planned 9-11. GHWB, in spite of the CIA, assassinated JFK to cover-up 9-11. If these events are true, if they really did occur this way, then we are living in a VERY different world than we think we are in our everyday lives. There are some extremely problematic questions that arise from this, and these can ONLY be answered with some extremely complex explanations. The easy way to understand it all is just to take LSD. If you take LSD, you'll be able to "tap into" the "universal mind" the same way as GHWB did when he took the first recreational dose in history. But just as Weishaupt only UNCOVERED a JESUIT PLOT, so did GHWB only UNCOVER the plot for 9-11 from those who pulled off the NAZI Reichstag Fire (ie. the German followers of the Bavarian Weishaupt). And hey, what do you know, the current Pope of the Catholic Church is Bavarian. And Kennedy was a Catholic choir-boy. You do the math on that one.

WHY? It's all part of a cycle, known only to the astrologers throughout all of history, that repeats itself every 2000 years. We don't know why it does this, at least not most of us. But if we look back throughout recorded history, 2000 years before 9-11, they crucified Christ; 2000 years before that was the rise of Babylon; 2000 years before that, the flood, and, we are told, about 2,000 years before the flood was the dawn of Adam and Eve in the Garden of Eden with the Trees of Knowledge and of Good and Evil. So, we have: 6000BC=Eden, 4000BC=flood, 2000BC=Babylon, 1AD=Christ, and 2000AD = 9-11. Why? Well, the answer isn't simple, because it's astrology, and not alot of people know alot about that. Astrology is one of those studies "frowned upon" by the traditional schools considered "sciences." But this is like Jacob for his "coat of many colours." According to Astrology, the planets align every 2000 years. Each cycle adds one more planet to the last cycle's alignment. So far, we have reached 7 cyclical alignments. We know there are only

eight planets, including Pluto but excluding the sun and the moon, and so we predict that this seventh age will see an end put to human wars and the collective push to better ourselves as a species as we realise we have been destroying the earth ourselves until this point, but that our doing so is only in denial of a more real threat from off-world. Destroying earth is no way to avoid the coming of the eighth age, the age of Neptune, the final age before the age of Pluto and the crashing of a comet into our planet the Sumerians called Nibiru, but the Babylonians called Marduk. The word both these Iraqi cultures used to call earth was "TIAMAT." Their name for earth's moon was KINGU. This is all documented and agreed upon, even by mainstream scholars. You can go and look it up. It's in books, and they do still allow us to read.

Of course, we know it's all fiction, though, right? 6000 year old Sumero-Babylonian mythology that HAPPENS to describe the EXACT same plot-lines as movies we watch some 8000 years later (with the modern TIAMAT, earth, being saved from collision with NIBIRU not by MARDUK, but by Bruce Willis) combined with the astrological cycle explained to us nowadays as the "Age of Aquarius" and you have a dangerous truth disguised behind a delicate lie. This is not a movie we are watching. We have existed throughout many lifetimes, and simply dwelt in many different bodies, but we do have memories and consciousness of events that took place long before our own birth. History is a theatre we are all sitting in, watching current-events being played out on a stage. That much is true. But if those current events are not to our liking, such as on 9-11 when the "neo-cons" celebrated the 2000 year Apocalypse by mass-murder in the name of Molech, then we owe it to our fellow souls in the theatre to stand out from outside the roles we have chosen for this mere lifetime and shout out against the injustice perpetrated on-stage. We have to wake other people up and try to warn them about what's really going on!

Point 4) the motives for 9-11 span hundreds of centuries. In his own mind, GHWB is merely a minor pawn in the game of history.

benpadiiah wrote:

Money inside banks is not being circulated on the free market. All money belongs to the free market. Therefore, money kept in banks is being stolen by individuals from the masses of the free market-place. Consider this: US politicians are renowned for making financial contributions to Zionist Israeli charities after their elections. This is because these same charities usually contribute heavily to their election campaigns beforehand. We can see thus how the cycle of election-buying is paid for in the US by a circulating financial insurance system that launders one generations funds to the next through Israel. But what about those pesky Swiss banks? Is all that money really just SITTING THERE, not being circulated? If politicians' funds are kept fluid, then whose funds are sitting solid in Swiss banks?

Such is the difference in mentality between the majority of humans alive nowadays and the minority who we believe are in control. "They," we say, are responsible for how bad things are, and therefore "we," ourselves, cannot be held accountable. This mentality allows them their control. By our envying their gold, we place them in charge. But if we shift our balance of perceived value to something other than gold, such as, say, the fluid economy of credit-based capital, then we free our minds from those whose values we had placed in charge above ourselves previously. That's what a small number of us have done in each generation, and how that small group goes on to parent the rulers over the next generation of the masses. Hence, as I'd said, the "passing of the baton," which, as I'd mentioned occurred during GHWB's lifetime, was the metamorphosis from the "solid" to the "fluid" reality for the masses between valuing gold and valuing credit-based capital.

In conclusion, let's look at the long-view. Fascism, or big-business globalism, is only a means to an end. The group of mutants in charge in each generation sees the same truth each time: to forward the historical trends of the masses. In this case, what we are seeing on 9-11 is VERY MUCH like what we saw with the crucifixion 2000 years ago. The small group of mutants (then the Sanhedrin, now the neo-cons) has created an elaborate mythology to temporarily distract the masses while that small group consolidates power. And, while 2000 years ago we actually couldn't see the Church becoming the Roman Empire itself as such a shift in the "flat earth" power-structure of our reality, now, 2000 years later, we CAN see the neo-cons staging 9-11 to assume authority over a global empire as such. We can choose now whether or not we will allow this to happen because now, 2000 years later, the sunspot-cycle's peak activity will be progressively greater than it was then. More planets aligned this time than last, hence more sunspots, hence more EM activity overall in earth's EM field, and thus a "higher" overall state of brainwave function now than then. In other words, the people of the world are now more unified than they were 2000 years ago, and so may be more able to prevent a neo-con global coup-de-tat than they were to prevent the same event by the Catholic cult 2000 years ago.

Point 5) To imagine 9-11 having been pre-planned for 50 years is not as surprising when we consider it as part of the plan for world-domination of a cult at least 2000 years old.

benpadiiah wrote:

the same morning Dick and Herb were flying sky-high on "Doomsday," there was a gold-heist going on in a tunnel below WTC5. And I can't help but wonder if the one thing might not have something to do with the other.

Point 6) but why gold? Why are we being told "it's the oil, stupid" even by serious investigators, when all research into probable motive leads back to gold instead?

benpadiiah wrote:

Just remember, the neo-cons value gold. To them, gold is power. So, who got the gold from 9-11? Silverstein got 2.2 billion worth to rebuild the towers. Cheney got alot of power if he can authorise the under-funded army to torture and the soft-kill weapon toting domestic police to kill. This power is funded by gold, but would 2.2 billion alone buy the ability to confuse the whole population of the globe on 9-11? Next, let's look at the gold-heist I've mentioned that occurred under WTC5 on 9-11. Because, just like 2.2 billion makes 3 million look like "chump change," so does 160 billion make 26 billion look the same. Did I say 160 BILLION? Yep, that's right. Stolen on the day of 9-11 from the crime-scene itself. All gold. 160 Billion. Oh, and by the way...? It had all originally belonged to Kuwait. Saddam stole it from them, we stole it from him, and then SOMEONE stole it on 9-11.

Whoever has the solid gold to raise the fluid reality of the public mind into credit-based capital is the same group of individuals responsible for planning and carrying out the events on 9-11.

Point 7) gold can be rendered into a powder by super-heating. When this powder is ingested, it bonds with DNA. When gold bonds to DNA it makes the DNA into a conductive metal. When gold-DNA is exposed to electricity, it becomes a "super" conductor. Super-conductivity allows particles to be sped up faster than light without producing anti-matter. Therefore, gold-bonded DNA can be sped up to faster than light-speed when electrified. That's time-travel. Gold powers UFOs, UFOs allow time travel, and gold-DNA allows a time-traveller to become "immortal."

So, what is the Matrix? Control. Controlling Semitic oil keeps one hand too busy to know what the other hand is doing; meanwhile the other hand pockets the entire world's supply of gold. Semite

oil supplies are chump change beside gold, but then, why did NAZIs invent gas-powered vehicles in the first place? Gold is to UFOs what oil is to cars. The entire point is to manage the discrepancy between "private" and "public" technologies like the credit and debit columns of a ledger. NAZIs need gold like the rest of us need gasoline. And there's far less gold on earth than gasoline. For that matter, there's far less gold now than there was several thousand years ago. And where is all this gold going? Again we have to ask where did all the bodies go on 9-11? The answer is no less shocking, really, than to say that GHWB killed Kennedy to cover-up the plan to steal NAZI gold on 9-11. Actually, the motive for NAZism, the JFK assassination, and 9-11 are all the same as the explanation for where the 9-11 victims went.

Time travel to another dimension. I know, I know. I sound crazy now.

Section 4::

"synthesis"

::: General Conclusions :::

and, again, real quick before I go on about the gold, let me restate my assertions from the 9-11 Revisited thread to see how the NPT has changed them:

benpadiah wrote:

A) there were no passengers on the planes and only as few workers as could not be avoided in the buildings that day. I believe the estimate of thousands of people killed (exactly how many thousand depending entirely on who you ask) is a complete lie.

This is explicable by NPT. The original reason I said it was not because of any secret knowledge on my part about people being called and told not to fly or to come in to work on that day, either. That's pretty common knowledge by now. No, the reason I said it was because of a hunch I had at the time. I can't explain it exactly, but I can imagine being in a plane as it is piloted into a building, but there's something extremely strange and wrong with that. Namely, I shouldn't be able to in the first place. It shouldn't have ever happened, and so I shouldn't be able to imagine something like it ever happening. But wait, there's more... here's me going "out on a limb": Q: If a plane crashes on a border, where do you bury the survivors? A: you don't bury survivors. Clause A to answer 1: unless the survivors are missing and presumed dead. Like on 9-11. In which case, we "assume" they were shot in the head or gassed even if they did not die in a plane crash that never happened. Now, here's me going all the way out onto a twig of that limb: What if the people who "died" were only invented identities all along? A lot of people went missing, yes. And we have their relatives on the one hand. Then, on the other hand, we have another group of people that claim that the missing all died in a way we know they did not. So, what if the people who went "missing" were ONLY that? What if the group that claimed they were dead were the ones who were responsible for causing the event that supposedly killed them? If they lied the event ever happened, maybe they lied about the people having died. And let me just go WAY out onto the leaf of that stem here: what if the people who went missing were not only not dead on 9-11, AND were still alive now, but we simply couldn't see them? Sounding crazy? Here's two ways to explain what I mean: 1) the "higher dimension" theory of how, when we die, we ourselves do not notice any change, but we pass out of one universe, in which we leave behind mourners, and enter another, in which we live on. 2) the CIA captured the people and put them all into "witness protection" under a "gag order" that if they speak out, they will be killed. Which seems more likely to you? So now, let me ask again: if the "ghost" plane of 9-11 (really didn't) crashed on the "border" (between parallel realities), where do you bury the survivors? Well, for most of us who survived, the answer is: we bury our own heads in the sands of Iraq.

benpadiah wrote:

B) the planes were remote controlled. For the actual collisions, small remotely operated guide-planes were used for exact targeting. (the UFO seen near the WTC, the "missile" in DC, and the "white jet" in Shanksville all attest to this fact.)

These are the "mystery planes" (the Global Hawk "UFOs" and the WESCAM "Black Hawk" stealth helicopters). Now, here's a fun fact. I can, in retrospect, now easily imagine being on one of the "hijacked planes." They even made a movie about "United 93" to make this easier for me, as a US citizen. But there's something very wrong with this fact, even moreso than just that I can imagine it. No, what's "extra," "Super," Family-sized" WRONG about my being able to imagine myself on one of the "hijacked planes" is that, whether they themselves are fiction or not, I actually CAN'T imagine myself flying one of those WESCAM choppers. Which is weird, since it should be the other way around. What we, the world, were shown on 9-11 was footage supposedly shot by the WESCAM choppers. But LOOK at it Carefully. It moves smoothly in an arc around the WTC towers at the arc's centre. From every vantage. It never ascends, nor descends. It does not look upward nor downward. It just moves slowly to the left or the right. It's moving far more smoothly than any footage I've ever seen shot from airplanes, let alone a choppy helicopter. No, the WESCAM footage is weird because, even though it's what we all saw, I can't focus on it vividly. That's because of those few black-frames. That's what a single, dark-strobe would do. It would be just like the "neuraliser" used by the MIB in the movies. It's not just me either. Imagine being one of the jumpers above the floors where fires were. Okay, possible; not pleasant, but I think we can ALL imagine what jumping out of a building would be like. Now imagine being one of the people in the tunnel under WTC5 involved in the gold-heist. Surprise! You can't. Let me ask you then, where would you go to escape the falling building? Where does this "tunnel" come out at the other end? AHA. You don't know. Why? Because you aren't supposed to. You shouldn't even know about it in the first place, but if you do, it's probably only very vaguely and too dim to focus in on in detail. That's the difference between a well-told fiction, such as Star Wars, LOTR, or even the legend Santa Claus (originally dreamt up by Sears Robuck store), and a well-kept secret, hidden under an elaborate lie. We can visualise the fictional cover-story even better, as we are meant to, than we can discern the means, the ends and the motive behind the lie. And that's the point.

benpadiah wrote:

C) I've spoken before about the drone planes I believe were used to override the cockpit controls. I believe these also used mind-control technology (VLF EMF and ZPE) to project inside the minds of the passengers the image of an attacker where none actually existed. The result of the drone plane's VLF EMF remote-control of the plane and mind-control of the passengers (including the pilots and any potential "terrorists") was, and this is the most far-fetched and potentially shocking aspect of this theory, the appearance between the passengers and the empty cockpit of a hallucinated presence, the focal emphasis of the drone-plane's mind-control projection. To some this "being" would have appeared as like an angel of light, while to others it would appear as a small grey alien, while to others, it might have even appeared as "terrorists." The role of this "alien" presence was to nullify the passengers' capacity for resistance, to essentially confuse and numb them into compliance with the situation until it would be too late for them to prevent their fate. In three of the four cases this seems to have worked, however on UAL93 the passengers are believed to have attempted to "re-take" the cockpit.

Why is this "attempting to retake the cockpit" important? More importantly than this question, why did no news network run with the eye-witness reports of "secondary, incendiary devices"? I remember hearing that phrase that day, but the phrase "retake the cockpit" did not become part of our common vernacular until after 9-11. Now, the question is why is one considered part of the Official Report of the Commission to Investigate 9-11 and the other not mentioned AT ALL? Well, I'll tell you why. It proves that, martyrs or not, the American People are Not Cowards. We simply wouldn't swallow a story in which 4 airliners were hijacked and crashed. And we wouldn't

swallow a story that the 4th airliner was shot down mid-air and all aboard killed, because then we might doubt it was even hijacked to start with. It's obviously more important for us to think it was hijacked and that passengers "attempted to retake the cockpit" than it is to think it might not have been hijacked but was shot down. So, shot down or not, and whether or not the passengers did officially try to "retake the cockpit," what we are being led to believe, most importantly, is that the planes WERE hijacked. Why should we doubt this? Because those telling us this story were, in all probability, the people behind this disaster from the start. Why? Think about this: the longer I have to spend explaining to you things you are pre-conditioned not to believe, such as that no passengers tried to "retake the cockpit" because there were no passengers on the plane because there were no hijacked commercial airliners on 9-11, the less time I have to explain to you how I know what I know and why I've come to the conclusions I have. It's simple: psychological misdirection. Simple, but brilliant. So, let me get back to the little grey alien on the "plane." No wait, better: let me back up a couple of frames. Remember the "black screens" seen 'round the world? Right before them: plane. Right after them: explosion. So, if we say the explosion is real, but the plane is not; and if we say the "missing" survived; and if we say that EM-MK was employed; then what explanation can we imagine for all of these at once? More importantly, ASIDE from terminology like "UFO" (meaning cloaked, military aircraft) and "alien" (hitherto used to refer to what I would call mutants, not aliens), what OTHER explanation IS there? I mean, as far-fetched as this sounds, I can explain "UFOs" and "aliens" better than I can even imagine the cover-story being true for 9-11. So, let me go back a few frames, right before the "black screen" Psy-Op. What do we see? We see a plane. But the plane isn't there. So, what is there instead? Clear sky, no plane, nothing. But we SEE the plane. We BELIEVE there WAS a plane. We saw it "with our own eyes." And our eyes can't be wrong, unless we are "tripping" or "crazy." So am I saying, everyone who saw a plane on 9-11 is tripping or crazy and that all our senses were simultaneously deceived by an incredibly nefarious villain, even more outlandish than Dr. No of James Bond fame and more sinister and demonic than even Marilyn Manson or Aleister Crowley? Yes, that's what I'm saying. Yes, and no. I'm saying the villain was a mutant, and caused the illusion of an alien. Well, if you haven't already decided to write me off as a lunatic, as you probably should, since this view is in the extreme minority in our mutual, "consensus" reality, and on the off-chance you have pity on the insane, which you should not, then listen to me now, as Jesus listened to John the Baptist. That plane was real. But it's only real inside of all our minds. It has no objective, material substance. But the people on it are just as alive while they are onboard of it as we think they are. We think, therefore we are. And so, with the dead, the "missing," and the fictional / non-existent, we think, therefore they are. Ghosts exist inside our brains. They just do not have form without. These "ghosts" are as alive as any of us, they simply exist in a separate realm, one that is as real to them as the physical world is to us. And just as a child might hop from one puddle to the next, so can these mental-beings move about between our minds. This is called "memetics," whose slogan is "language is a virus." If we see or otherwise acknowledge this blatantly obvious fact, that of ESP, we are considered "tripping" or "crazy" or "mutants" and told that "ghosts and aliens and ESP aren't real," but that "Souls and God and Santa Claus are." So, the only question really IS, who are you going to believe - me, or your own eyes? I'll give you one last true clue though: if there were no passengers on the planes, because there were no real planes, then what would make me be able to think that the "passengers" were being subdued into subordination by a "ghost / alien" while the plane was being "remote-hijacked" by a UFO? Surely, by now, you don't think any of this sounds any crazier than the Official Report's story of Osama sending terrorists to kamikaze commercial planes, do you?

benpadiah wrote:

D) the WTC buildings were destroyed by bombs planted inside the building prior to the event. This implies conspiracy. In truth, the people who planted these bombs inside were probably the former Iraqi Royal Guard, brought over after Gulf War I, who also orchestrated the OK City bombing in the late 90's. But this only provides a "fall-back" story of collusion between Al-Qeida and Iraq under Saddam that is not true either.

There's something else I can't imagine: being the one who "pressed the button" to trigger the explosives in the second tower. This A) had to be synched to the "fake-nose" and B) implicates whoever did it in taking the "War-Game gone Live" past the point of no-return. This is the shot that killed Kennedy. This second tower explosion is the smoking gun, the magic bullet, the assassin's confession and the Zepruder film all in one. The second tower is 9-11's "Back and to the Left." And when Larry Silerstein says "WE made the descision to pull it," who does he mean exactly by "we"? Was the show tightly orchestrated like the military affair of the usual arms show? We know Carlyle hosted a teleconference meeting that morning at which the King of Saudi Arabia was scheduled to speak. Or was the show all fly-by-night, "spook" psy-ops and skunk works shoe-strings? Did it all just HAPPEN to come together so perfectly? Osama Bin Laden alone couldn't have orchestrated 9-11 so precisely. The question, to my mind, remains: why can I imagine being on a hijacked plane on 9-11, which actually DIDN'T happen, and yet I CAN'T imagine being the one to have blown up tower two, even though that really DID happen. Answer, simply: being a victim is easier to imagine for most of us than being a hero, and even that isn't as difficult as our merely imagining what it is like to have been one of the villainous traitors who pulled 9-11 off. It's far easier, isn't it, to just write them off as "mutants" or "aliens," and easier still to simply fall for their Official story, that it was just little old Osama Bin Laden, mere mortal, and Semite to boot.

benpadiiah wrote:

E) The events were entirely orchestrated by parties inside the CIA commanding the rest of the intelligence "community" and defense dept. via their "man in the White House" the coup-de-tat dictator Pres. W. Bush. Consider that, under GHWB, our current president's father, the CIA funded: 1) the Afghan Mujahadeen who became the Taliban and Al Qeida, 2) Saddam Hussein's Iraqi National Guard, and were in turn themselves funded largely by the sales of crack cocain imported and processed from S. American cocain (under Noriega), poppy grown in war-torn Afghanistan, imported and processed into heroin, and by the House of Saud, the potentates of the worst human rights violaters around today beside China, and oil-rich Saudi Arabia. Also note that, since GWB's assension as appointed dictator, the people who had worked for his father GHWB in the CIA to orchestrate these transfers of funds have all gotten appointed to the highest positions of political authority in the land of the "free." Big. Red. Flag.

Again, summing up: the neo-cons employed Area-51 tech to impliment COG. This is the "crossing of the Rubicon" for GHWB among the secret elite. His son, George "Dubya," will go down in history only as the second American Caesar. We have little hope of George turning on his dad, and far, far less hope of GHWB turning on the discorporeal, mind-hopping, body-jumping spirit of Satan that possesses him. As an Episcopalian, GHWB doesn't believe in Catholic Exorcism. So, again, separating this group of mutant-minded human slaves of one, Satanic, group-mind from their techno-gadget toys they showed some of off on 9-11, while keeping others to themselves, what we have is simply a small cadre of political infiltrators who, like the cult of the Hashashins, or "assassins," whose name derived from Moslem use of (S. American?) cannabis resin, have staged a silent coup-de-tat and, "legally" (by making the laws themselves), have established a secret dictatorship of the state on-par in its rapid scope and scale with the 2000 year elder Roman Catholic church. We can soon imagine cults forming proclaiming W. the "second-coming." In some circles of the business-press the question of "Washington's Messiahnic Mission" to convert Iraq to Christianity, has already been raised. So is it so difficult now to see that GHWB is the "beast of revelations," that W. is its offspring, Lucifer, the "anti-Christ," and that the political cult of the neo-cons are merely using the "neo-Sethian" myth of Jesus' "second coming" to manipulate themselves into a position of authority over the universal force of time itself? But do not worry. The Great Burner will rescue us just in the nick of time. The sunspot cycle is winding up more tightly every day. Soon it will pop and the solar flares explode mind-altering radiation across the

earth. All our eyes will open, and the ones who've been blinding us all along will burn. If you understand the causes for the sunspot cycle, and have charted these causes on a calendar, then you will see that John of Patmos having been able to predict such a political coup-de-tat occurring after 2000 years is less astonishing than the Catholic Christians subsequently taking credit for having "saved" the Roman political empire by converting it into a "harmless" church until now, that is, the end of that 2000 year time. So, soon, we will all be "crazy," and what I'm saying NOW will make Einstein and Hawking look like grinning liars. But maybe you still don't see where I'm going yet. "On the one hand, gold, on the other, time-travel," is that it? Likewise, you probably believe there would have to be "competing factions" within the "conspiracy." Well, there are, and right now the neo-cons have come to power over the rest of them. But gold and time-travel are one issue, and no matter how many minor factions comprise the con, they are only a small minority (even when all combined) compared to the rest of "unevolved" humanity. And there are only two mentalities available for these mutant psychics. Either the con: kill the unevolved; or the rev: evolution for all. So, the neo-cons, or the "neo-Sethians" as I've called them elsewhere, are only one, very elite group (like Hitler's SS), within an upper echelon of economic pirates who believe themselves "above" us, that is, "we, the people." We can't liberate ourselves, however, without using "brute force," and thus justifying the wealthy owners continuing oppression of the rest of us as a mass aggregate. So, we have to wait, and keep hoping one group will turn against the other, and either the neo-cons will go "too far" to help one of their number more than all the others, thus pissing off the rest of them, or else for the rest of the intelligentsia to stand up and do something to prevent their own rightful executive administrative positions from being subsumed into a militarily technocratic Plutocracy.

benpadiah wrote:

F) the faction within the CIA in charge of 9-11 are actually fascists and NAZI-collaborators both during and after WWII, and they are acting in collaboration with a vast network of double-agent spies and "sleeper" agent politicians all funded off Swiss gold stolen during WWII, which was, itself, in turn orchestrated by globalist banking families as a means of furthering the social control-method of capitalism. (The old bankers also funded the Soviet communists though, so don't think of this as only a "right-wing" conspiracy either.)

Of course, and again this is not common knowledge though it should be, Fascism and National Socialism are both not only different from one another, but neither is an accurate description for Hitler's actual ideology. Fascism is state-side, or "supply-side" economics. This is according to the early 20th century economic theories of John Maynard Keynes. You can look him up on wikipedia. He predicted there would form in the twentieth century two main schools on economics. One, since the late fifties, is represented by the Rand school under Allen Greenspan. It recommends fiscal conservatism for certain sectors of the private market, and "laissez faire" or non-taxed and non-tariffed international trade. This is called "demand-side" or "private sector" economics. The other school predicted by Keynes, "state-side" or "supply-side" economics, is mainly involved in countries with a strong dictatorship, such as under "Iron Joe" Stalin in the USSR and under Ronald Reagan in 1980's America. Both glut-funded their national defense industries, spending billions of government (ie. tax-payer) money to build so many "dirty" (radioactive) WMDs and ICBMs that they could ONLY EVER be useful in a "zero-sum" Doomsday "end-game." So when you think of "fascism" you can imagine the quaint early-20th century slogans of "el Duce" like "make the trains run on time," or you can look at the facts on file for "Fascism," that it continued on after WWII as "big business" continued to benefit from government largesse, both from soft-line anti-tax and tariff legislation (NAFTA, GATT, WTO, IMF, etc.), and from hard-line "hawkish" military industry, state-side glut-funding. Fascism is the philosophy of "big business," to put it simply. Now, Fascism was, in WWII, allied with National Socialism. But if "fascism" is "the philosophy of big business," why would it ally itself with German Socialism, the philosophy of Marx, Communism and the Soviet Union, who were enemies to the death of fascism and of "big business"? Well, because "National Socialism" and German "Social

Democracy" were, like "supply-" and "demand-" side spending, two completely different schools of thought. German Social Democracy was, like our own Democratic party used to be, for government social-welfare programs and political involvement in economic "cheques and balances" such as "earnings and income caps" on big business. This group fell out of favour beside Hitler's NASDAP (German Workers) Party, whose declared school of philosophy was "National Socialism," a philosophy more in keeping with Lenin's militant unto imperialism spread to neighboring nations of the "workers'" Revolution. National Socialism was, however, designed to be contrary not only to German Social Democracy, but also to Leninist International Sovietism. National Socialism could be likened to a combination between "big business" fascism and "patriotic" German nationalism. The result of "National Socialism" was, just as was that of Reaganomics and Stalinism the bankrupting of the US and Soviet empires, to ultimately destroy all pride in German national unity, and, again as with both Italian Fascism AND Leninist International Sovietism, ended alongside 6 million dead Semites and Socialists in Holocaust concentration-camps across Europe. But what we swore to never let happen again when we formed the UN and founded Israel as a diaspora-Semite sanctuary-state was ONLY the Holocaust. We obviously fought Mussolini and Hirohito, but "we" (the CIA) subsequently adopted their strategies of "big business" / statist imperialism and implimented them at home and abroad. No, when "we" (the United States soldiers and the people of the world) defeated Hitler and eradicated the NAZI menace, we only bothered to try them for crimes against humanity perpetrated as the extermination of their own citizens. We didn't try them for their OTHER, equally horrendous crimes during their rise to political dominance, such as their Draconian legislation the "Reichstag Fire Decree" which authorised NAZI phone-line wire-tapping, passed in 1933. So, nowadays, the modern equivalents of the 1940's German NAZIs, who share the same ideologies as Mussolini (Fascism), Hirohito (imperialism) and Hitler (mass Eugenics), can pass legislation identical to the Reichstag Fire Decree, and call it the "PATRIOT Act," and get away with it, just so long as the drugs they dump into our drinking water aren't immediately lethal chemical poisons. Let me explain something to you about Hitlerian Eugenics: The Jews consider themselves the people "Chosen By God" to bear the burden of the suffering of the rest of humanity. Such was the plight of their Messiah, who many believed was crucified as part of a magical ritual to purge the world of sin and sorrow. The reason Jesus is rejected as the Messiah by the Jews is obvious: he did not end sin and sorrow. He promised the "meak" the "kingdom of heaven." So, by Hitler's reasoning, he was only delivering to the Jewish "Chosen People" the "kingdom of heaven" promised by Christ, their Messiah. That, in a nutshell, is Hitlerian Eugenics. It was Hitler's own ideology, that of state-sponsored population-decreasing, that "we" stamped out in WWII. But the correspondent war-strategies of Fascism and Imperialism we have since employed in the business sectors of the US and other "allied" nations, simply calling them polite euphemisms such as "international capitalism" for Fascism and "corporate out-sourcing" for the big business of imperialism. However, as I shouldn't need to remind you, the Rothschilds and Rockefellers were behind BOTH German NAZlism AND Leninist International Sovietism. Their plan all along was to discredit two birds with one stone: on the one hand, "Nationalist Socialism," a philosophy that could, very well, have worked had it ever been implemented (we may never know now), and, on the other hand, the growing threat to the wealthiest elites of international trade-unionism, the back-bone of the Communist movement.

benpadiah wrote:

G) The banking families, in turn, where only employing the methods of private-interest consolidation of public wealth via sales (as opposed to taxes) that was employed via the Knights Templar (actually funded via the national rulers by the Vatican) during the Crusades, which in turn was then used to justify the Inquisition. This method of "killing the messenger" was employed to discredit the non-Zionist Diaspora (by the Holocaust), Nationalised Socialism (ie. Leninism), "fascism" (ie. gov't supply-side spending, later used by Reagan to bankrupt Russia by glutting the defense industry) as well as far-east "Imperialism" (ie. non-economic dictatorships) all in one fell swoop during WWII. In the Cold War they

managed to discredit "Sovietism" as "collectivism" while making "Americanism" out to be "individualism" when in truth the opposite was true. The same effect has been used "covertly" (going un-covered by the US media) since then by the CIA to overthrow democratic politicians and install loyal political supporters of US business interests. I "believe" the same group has been behind all of these atrocities between the Crusades then and the current "war" in Iraq now. Moreover, this is only an active wing of a group whose passive wing was simply more dominant 2000 years ago than it is now. The group that the "active" (sun-crazed destroyers) wing and the "passive" (dark-ages, Maudner-minimum) wing belong to is a group of scientists that, and again this is only my "belief" or "theory," pre-existed the world-flood(s) at the end of the last ice-age (ie. originally the first homo-sapien chieftens, later called "Atlanteans," who we considered "primitive" and yet who erected the massive megaliths of that era no less than the highly civilised empire of Old Kingdom Egypt their own.)

Now, I've gone over the WWII-era economic / political schools and theories enough already to justify not coming back to them again for a while. Instead, I want to talk about the beginning of economics and politics, which immediately followed the discovery of the utility for mass-control of organised religion. Politics is not "of the people, by the people and for the people" now anymore than it was when it began. Politics was, is, and probably always will be, a chess-game played out exclusively by the wealthy elite. They are the players, and they use each other like chess-pieces to manipulate the choices for moves that can be made by their competitors. That's what "politics" is, and we all know that, because we've had 6000 years to learn that fact. In all that time, there have only been three, true, died-in-the-wool proponents of Democracy to come along. Solon, who invented Greek Democracy; Thomas Jefferson, father of the American Constitution; and Karl Marx, who believed in an anarchist utopia to follow a revolution overthrowing the imperialist dictators. To say this group of dictators has been the same since the era of Shaherazad is misleading at best. There are rival factions, and they compete for the position of temporary dominance and authority over the rest. If politics belongs to the king's court, then it is certainly no place for a king who wants to do the will of their own people. No, politics occurs between the people behind religion. They tell you, "worship the king, he is God on earth," but behind the scenes they chide us all (including the king) for falling into this dumb-show, their "trap," while they secretly live off the fat of the land via church, state and finally national taxation. They invented the false mythologies of religion (Jonah and the Whale, David and Goliath, the parting of the Red Sea, the resurrection of Lazarus, the virgin-birth, etc) in order to distract the "public mind" away from their own true intentions, which have got nothing to do with either the fictional mythologies concealing astrology nor the sheepish, mass mind-control moralities they teach. They invented the political infrastructure of the State and, by levying church taxation against it, immediately put it into the debt that would necessitate State taxation of its people to this day. Finally, when States could no longer confine and control their burgeoning masses within their imaginary borders, the need to indebt and tax whole nations began to become a necessity. At this point, the "token-exchange" system of capital was created. By making value into a third-party object, aside from and above the worth of any bartered goods or service, they created the ultimate tool for creating a low-level of unconscious servitude in the minds of everyone but themselves. And this was when they became psychic. All of this took place in ancient Sumero-Akkadia at the heart of the "Fertile Crescent" between the rivers Tigris and Euphrates, in what we call in the modern day, Iraq. So, what are the "real motives" behind the "Wii" (war in Iraq)? To destroy the historical records of the origins of civilisation and thus to bring under their complete control, from its newly rediscovered beginning to its current forms today, the entirety of the history of this tri-partite cult. On one hand, you could say, you have the Egyptian religious aspect, the Greek ideal of government city-states and the Roman unification of both in the form of an ideological dictatorship, the "free" market of currency exchange. On the other hand, you could just call the founders the "Babylonian Brotherhood" and be done with it. But either way, you won't get any argument out of me if you say the original founding members didn't first imagine their entire enterprise in tri-partite social-

control systems from stem to stern and design every last little historical inch, every "grassy knoll" nook and "conspiracy theory" cranny, long before they ever put their "water-tight," bitumen-bonded theories into practical launch. And again, all of this started, we are told by historians, in Sumeria. We are told that, "as if from nowhere" civilisation suddenly sprang up in Sumeria "fully-formed," with the church-headed, left-wing economic and right-wing political factions already in functional operation. There is no explanation given for this, truthful, miracle. But there's something the "Babylonian Brotherhood" doesn't want you to know. There had been a flood just before Sumeria was founded, and before the flood was when "civilisation" had first been formed and flourished. It would probably seem quite alien to us now what times must have been like then. Politics and religion would, of course, be quite different if there were no such thing as "token" money to exchange as symbolic-only "value." I write about this elsewhere in great detail, but here it only needs be said that the ones to invent money survived the floods of 8000 years ago and created a complex social-control system that has continued to function, nearly absolutely unaltered, through until the modern day. You can call them whatever name you want, the "Babylonian Brotherhood," the "Illuminati," the "Order of Death." But though the ones to have originally invented money, politics and religion to serve themselves may have indeed foreseen every imaginable consequence and circumstance that could occur within their carefully orchestrated system, it is NOT they themselves who live on in the hearts and souls (ie. minds and bodies) of the ones to use their same methods of social-control now today. The "Babylonian Brotherhood" are neither "reptile aliens" nor "extra-dimensional bloodlines" nor "bodiless djin (genies)" nor are they demons serving Satan that can leap about from mind to mind possessing the minds of people anywhere they go. No, the dead died and that's that. There may indeed be a single, unified consciousness, collectively self-aware or not (yet), that can manifest in any of us, for our species, or for our planet, or even for our entire universe. But none of these are ultimate Good nor Evil. In other words, there are spirits a'plenty, but no proof of God or the Devil.

benpadiah wrote:

H) these ancient conspirators discovered the existence of a global energy grid that has allowed them to mind-control the masses and to time-travel themselves. I call this elsewhere the "Enochian Communications System" because it was first understood by homo-sapiens at the time of this biblical patriarch (ie. before the world-flood) and because it is based on John Dee's "Enochian" system as a framework for combining all the existing world religious "systems" into one, based not on myth and faith but on an extremely long calendar and a very high form of science (essentially, the study of esoteric systems for its own sake).

The Enochian Communications System (ECS):

<http://www.benpadiah.com/calendar/pages/enoch.html>

So, again, what is the Matrix? Is the Matrix the energy grid / network that interconnects all our minds, collectively interweaving a complex tapestry that is then, like wool, used only to cover our eyes? Is the Matrix the ECS? Again, yes and no. The ECS is meant for us to use for "over-the-horizon" psychic-communication. It was never intended to be used as an illusion. However, the "Painted Sky," that is, "Dreamtime" for "Dreamland," is indeed being used, even right this very second, to portray fiction, combined with some truth, in order to confuse and subdue the audience, to keep them entertained with lies, in order to prevent them from revolting against the "nerd running the projector" and putting the whole machinery of the system to use for themselves instead.

And, again, what is the Matrix? Let's look at the "phantom" United 175, the "plane" that "crashed" into the second tower. This is the one we all saw on TV. So, with the exception of only three other pieces of footage I know of that show the first tower "plane impact," this is the one that every damn reporter of every goddamn network news station has cooed and swooned over, covered from every imaginable angle by WESCAM, which JUST HAPPENED to

be on-site and ready-to-go on 9-11. If we say, this plane is just a video image. It is not "real." Does this make our initial "belief" in it suddenly stop, like turning off a faucet, or even reverse, as it should?

So, what, then, is the Matrix? The Matrix is like a number-line. Our spine is one that has seven chakras. A virus is one that is usually base-six shaped, with six legs and a hexagonal head. A battery is one that has only two ends, at polar extremes of each other, either negative or positive. And the "phantom" UA175 is another. Between one "pole" of the "phantom" 175 "number-line," that is, the aft of the plane, and the other "pole" of the number-line, the fore of the "phantom" plane, there is, like the shape of the spine, the virus, the battery, the plane, a number-line, a tube or tunnel connecting them. The fore of the plane leads to salvation, and if you stay at the aft, you will surely die. But between you and salvation is the creator of this crisis situation threatening your very existence. Again, we see the Hicks / Darko / Icke angle of "fear v. love," shape up, because, in this binary polarity "number-line" model we are only looking at life through the eyes of a trilobyte: either "approach" or "retreat." This psychological mechanism for basic survival, Dr. Leary associates with the lowest of the eight "circuits" of consciousness in living, organised cellular structures.

Again. What is the Matrix? It is like a cocoon, a "chrysalis" inside of which we are transformed. Like a crucible that burns away impurities, this "tunnel-reality" between the "Dark-Side" at the back of the plane and the guiding "Force" at the front is the "trial by fire" that leads out of our own material universe and into the parallel dimension, long called a "better place" and "Heaven." But this passage is, like the gateway to Eden and the Trees of immortality and of God / the Devil, "guarded by an angel with a flaming sword." At least, so they say. But then, as I've just, hopefully, helped illustrate, our world is actually very different beneath it than it is on the surface we perceive. There is "more than meets the eye," not only on 9-11, but everyday before and since then too. We filter out most of reality because, we are Told, if we did not, the superfluous stimulus would overload our sensory apparatus. Of course, anyone who's ever "tripped," or gone on vacation in insanity and returned, can tell you this is not necessarily the case. The ECS can be used to communicate psychically, and not only to broadcast delusions reinforcing control.

Finally, what is the Matrix? It is a chain only as strong as its weakest link. And that weakest link is Tower Two. Everything about tower two, from the fact it was not really struck by a plane, as we "saw" on television, to the fact its collapse surprise-ended the gold-heist under building five, is a mystery. Whoever "pulled" Tower Two also pulled off the "live-action" war-game / gold-heist of 9-11. The Matrix is mass mind-control causing the impression of its own invincibility and infinitude, "shock" and "awe." But there's a way out of this Matrix. Think back to 9-11 now and let's set our sights on Tower Two; and buckle your seat-belts; we're going underground.

cf. Appendix (p. 117)

::: Specific Conclusions :::

Now, about that gold...

There's really two separate points here, which is basically what I've been driving at all along. There's 1) the fluid, capital value of the gold. This changes, and I'll come back to that. Then there's 2) the solid, physical location of the gold. This is the other side of this mysterious "coin." First, let me talk about the solid aspect, the physical location of the gold. Then, afterwards, I'll discuss the fluid value of the gold.

So, first, the physical location of the solid gold. We know the Vault for the Bank of Nova Scotia (BNS)'s \$230m was rented out to them by New York Mercantile Exchange (NYMEX). It was under Building 4. Between Church Street and Building 4 is Building 5. That's the layout. Now, where was the gold found?

NYDN wrote:

Sources said the lode was found in the basement under 5 World Trade Center. Construction workers directed by the feds had been clearing a delivery tunnel that runs under the complex for the past couple of days. Officials got to the gold through that tunnel yesterday, after workers hauled out a 10-wheel truck, several crushed cars and mounds of other debris. No bodies were recovered during the operation.

-source:

http://www.nydailynews.com/archives/news/2001/10/31/2001-10-31_cache_of_gold_found_at_wtc_t.html

So, workers chopped into the pre-existing tunnel (connecting the Vault under WTC4 and Church St.) from the side, between Buildings 4 and 5. But it is very specific where the gold was found. "...the lode was found in the basement under 5WTC." So, workers dug into the service tunnel from the side. That's fine. So, imagine we are going into a cavernous hole in the ground. Inside of that is a tunnel that leads off to our right and our left. On the left is WTC5. On the right is WTC4. So, first we would go to the Vault entrance under WTC4. What did they find when they went to the Vault area at the end of the tunnel under WTC4?

NYT wrote:

November 1, 2001

a government official involved in the recovery efforts said that there had clearly been an attempt within the last two weeks to enter the vault area. "It looked like they used a blowtorch, a crowbar," said the official, who spoke on the condition that neither his name nor his position be identified.

-source:

<http://query.nytimes.com/gst/fullpage.html?res=990CE4DB1030F932A35752C1A9679C8B63>

So someone had been in the Vault area with a crowbar and a blowtorch. But this means the vault must have been sealed still by the time the gold was recovered, right? Look carefully at what the "government official" (obviously either FBI or SS, the only two "official" groups on-site beside the local rescue teams) says though. They are being interviewed on the night of Oct.31st, but they say, "within the last two weeks" someone had tried to break into the "vault area." The "vault area" would not be the same exact thing as the tunnel itself. However, the "vault area" could only be accessed within the tunnel. So, someone would have had to have access by the tunnel to WTC4 from Church St. to get to the "vault area." And, we are told, this happened "within the last two weeks" (ie. 5 weeks subsequent to 9-11). Here's a good question: if the bandits had accessed the "vault area" 5 weeks after 9-11 by the tunnel via Church St. then why would they not have discovered the gold under WTC5? Hmm. Perhaps there's something even MORE important being overlooked.

Irish Examiner wrote:

Workers had toiled for two days to clear a delivery tunnel under 5 World Trade Centre, taking out tonnes of debris, a 10-wheeled lorry and several crushed cars. Watched by hundreds of heavily-armed Secret Service agents, FBI and police, they built a ramp to allow an armoured truck in late last night.

-source: <http://archives.tcm.ie/irishexaminer/2001/11/01/story16174.asp>

Now, imagine going from the "vault area" at the end of the tunnel under WTC4 down the tunnel back towards the hole where we'd come in. Now go even past that, beyond the hole we'd come in

through. Go down to the other end of the tunnel, towards Church St. We're about to enter the basement under WTC5 where the BNS \$230m was found. Just to get our bearings once more, we're now in the tunnel, between WTC4's underground Vault, and the basement of WTC5, where the gold was found. So, what do we find between these two very important locations?

UK Times Online wrote:

The gold, which was discovered on Tuesday, was being transported through the basement of the building on the morning of September 11. Recovery workers reached a service tunnel and discovered a ten-wheel lorry and a number of cars which had been crushed by falling steel.

-source: http://911research.wtc7.net/cache/wtc/evidence/timesonline_gold.html

Between WTC4 and WTC5, in the tunnel connecting the WTC4 Vault to Church St., we find several "crushed cars" and, specifically, a TEN-WHEELED TRUCK. Now, we can rule out the idea that these cars were the CIA impounded taxis under WTC6, and it's equally unlikely that cars, alone, would have been able to transport the \$230m from the BNS vault under WTC4 to where it was found in the basement of WTC5. The gold and silver would simply be too heavy. Hence, the need for the 10-wheeled truck, which, it is safe to assume, would not have been in the tunnel for any reason other than having something to do with transporting the gold. However, it might just be conjecture on the part of the reporter that the gold was being transported on the morning of 9-11 itself. It is known that the molten steel had pooled in the basements below WTC1 and 2, and that this continued to smoulder for 99 days. Perhaps the cars and 10-wheeled truck were crushed during late October, when the un-named "government official" speculated the break-in to the vault under WTC4 was attempted.

Loose Change wrote:

So let me get this straight, gold from World Trade Center 4 was found under World Trade Center 5 in an empty delivery truck, with an empty escort of cars. I think it is safe to say that they were running away from the South Tower. The question is, how did they know to flee from the stash when not even the firefighters inside the South Tower expected it to collapse.

-source:

https://home.pacbell.net/skeptica/loose_change_second_edition_a_critical_review_of_the_gold_story_segment.html

Again, the QUESTION IS: why would someone try to break into the WTC4 vault 5 weeks later when the gold was actually under WTC5? And the most likely ANSWER IS: they wouldn't. They would have seen the gold if they had entered the tunnel from the Church St. side, under WTC5. So, what are we looking at here, really? The most likely scenario is that the "blowtorch and crowbar" attempted break-in occurred at the same time as the cars and 10-wheeled truck were crushed and the gold was moved from the Vault under WTC4 to the basement under WTC5, on 9-11. We can even tell what time the cars would have been crushed. 10AM, when the South Tower (second to have been hit, first to fall) collapsed.

Okay, so, that's enough right now for the "crime-scene" itself. We have gold supposed to be in WTC4 found under WTC5, along with cars and a 10-wheeled truck that were crushed at 10AM, 9-11. However, apparently, by Nov. 1, when the gold is taken out from under WTC5, the vault door beneath WTC4 was still sealed up tight enough to withstand crowbar and blowtorch. We could say, well, since the vault was sealed, the location of the gold is a mystery. But then, the witness to the vault being sealed was an anonymous "government official" with a probably spurious timeline on the "attempted" break-in. There's no mystery at all if we simply exclude the anonymous official's testimony. If we say, the vault door was not intact, then all the rest adds up: your typical, old west-style bank-job.

Next, let's look at the fluid value, the worth of the loot.

UK Times Online wrote:

The Comex metals trading division of the New York Mercantile Exchange kept 3,800 gold bars — weighing 12 tonnes and worth more than \$100 million (70 million UKP) — in vaults in the building's basement. Comex also held almost 800,000 ounces of gold there on behalf of others with a value of about \$220 million. It also held more than 102 million ounces of silver, worth \$430 million. The Bank of Nova Scotia, which kept gold in the Comex vault, reported \$200 million of gold lost in the wreckage. Comex also held precious metals for Chase Manhattan Bank, the Bank of New York and Hong Kong and Shanghai Banking.

-source: http://911research.wtc7.net/cache/wtc/evidence/timesonline_gold.html

Okay, well, since this math can seem a little fuzzy, let me try to break this down as simply as possible. NYMEX kept gold in WTC4 (we know they held "at least" \$1b in Kuwaiti gold at the time of the 1993 basement bombing). NYMEX let some of the Vault space to their Comex metals trading division, who used it to store even more gold. These are the 3,800 gold bars, totalling 12 tonnes and worth "more than" \$100m. Comex then, in turn, leased some of their space in the Vault over to several other banking and credit institutions. Supposedly, this only totalled 800,000 ounces of gold, worth \$220m, and "more than" 102m ounces of silver, worth \$430m. Some of these banks included Chase Manhattan, Bank of New York and Hong Kong, Shanghai Banking and Canadian-based Bank of Nova Scotia (BNS). Now, aside from the holdings of these other, older, more prestigious and MUCH Wealthier banks, the holdings of gold, silver, platinum and palladium (precious metals) in the WTC4 Vault of BNS ALONE totalled ~ \$230m. As it turns out, this number, like every other figure I just listed, is a VAST UNDERSTATEMENT.


First, let's look at some figures. Then we'll get down to the Bottom Line. First we ask, what was the value of the gold when it was lost. Then we ask, what was the value of the gold at the time it was recovered. Then we ask, what is the difference between these values. If the cost of gold has risen since then, interest can be charged on the missing gold during the time it was out of circulation. This is called "loss of potential revenue." So, let's get right down to this.

Q) what was the price of gold on 9-11-2001?

A) the morning of 9-11 the value on the gold market opened at about \$271.5 per ounce. However, by the close of the gold market for the day, the value had jumped to \$286.5. That's a jump of \$15 PER OUNCE.

Here's a nice little chart from our friends at Kitco bullion dealers, straight from the London Fix accountants themselves:

plate 29:



You can see the sharp SPIKE in the value of gold between the blue diamond for AM and the red square for PM on 11-Sep, for the year 2001. I think we all know why.

By comparison, here's a chart for the value of silver for the year 2001. Notice that silver is worth less than gold, but that it remains at a steadier rate most of the time than gold in its rough estimate of value.

plate 30:



We don't see the same sharp spike for 9-11, but, between 17-Sep and 20-Sep we see the value of silver turn over from holding steady at around \$4.20 per ounce to climb to nearly \$4.65 an ounce. That's a pretty steep slope for only a 4 day period. Still, it's pennies on the dollar beside the spike in the price of gold.

So, we can calculate the rough cost of gold and silver for the amounts given as holdings by BNS both on the morning and evening of 9-11, and compare these to their value at the time they were recovered and restored to market availability. Obviously, we'll see a significant difference, and thus the worth of BNS' investment grow drastically in less than 12 hours.

opening (9-11, 2001): 379,036 ounces of gold (held by BNS) valued at \$102,718,756.

closing (9-11, 2001): the same 379,036 ounces (held by BNS) valued at \$108,404,296.

accrued interest (9-11, 2001): the value of those 379,036 ounces (held by BNS) increases by \$5,685,540. In One Day.

In other words, on 9-11, BNS ALONE made \$5m. But wait. Who pays that? And more importantly, Why? Wouldn't it seem like, if you don't sell anything (ie. the gold itself at the increased rate), you can't make any profit by earnings? There's this loop-hole though, where the Insurance Company who holds the contract on the leased space has to kick in the difference. And that's the Blackstone Group, and we're back to Larry Silverstein again, who, himself alone, settled with the Insurance Company for \$2.2b. Let's toss out a couple more figures just to see how this all adds up.

value of silver on 9-11 = \$125,459,573.61 for 29,942,619 ounces (held by BNS)


combined value of gold and silver morning 9-11 held by BNS: \$228,367,847.61

combined value of gold and silver by close 9-11 held by BNS: \$233,863,869.61

So, we're talking about a difference in price of between \$228m and \$233m. This averages out to \$230m, which, we are told, is about what was recovered under WTC5 and then returned to BNS.

Now, we know the gold was recovered between Oct. 30th and Nov. 1st, but we also know it wasn't all accounted for by BNS until around Nov. 10th. So, let's look, again, at the value of gold between Nov. 1st and 10th, to see what the difference will be between the value on 9-11 and the value on Nov. 10th, when it was officially recirculated.

Nov. 12th, the first Monday following the gold's return, reads the market price of gold opening at \$277+ per ounce, but, by closing, that value has, again, jumped, up to a solid \$280 per ounce. Following this there is a steady decline in the value for the rest of the month, however, notably, the gold price in Nov. never drops below \$272 per ounce, the same value it was on Sep. 5th, before 9-11. Here's a kitco chart:



So, basically what we're seeing is the price of gold taking a "wild ride" between 9-11 and when

the BNS gold was recirculated. In late Sep, gold was peaking around \$294 per ounce, but had relatively stabilised around \$279 per ounce by early Nov. So, if I were a bank, and I wanted to maximize returns from an insurance company...

The difference in cost between gold per ounce on the morning of 9-11 and the evening of Nov. 8th, is a difference between potential gain of (at least) \$15 (AM to PM 11-Sep) and potential depreciation of \$9.5 (PM 11-Sep to AM 12-Nov). Result: no less than \$5.5 gain PER OUNCE above the initial value of 11-Sep AM. Paid for by the Blackstone Group's Insurance Company. So, one last round of math:

$$379,036 \text{ ounces} = \$271.5 \text{ per ounce} + \$5.5 \text{ per ounce}$$

This adds up to a value for the final investment equivalent to BNS having bought an additional 3,267.01009208103 ounces at its 9-11 AM price of \$271.5. Only they didn't buy it. They made it for free.

::: The Bottom Line :::

BNS starting sum: \$228,367,847.61(9-11, AM)

11.844875 tonnes (gold) = 379,036 ounces @ \$271.5 = \$102,908,274

935.70684375 tonnes (silver) = 29,942,619 ounces @ \$4.19 = \$125,459,573.61

BNS closing sum: \$229,254,840.85 (Nov. 12, AM)

11.844875 tonnes (gold) = 379,036 ounces @ \$277 = \$104,992,972

935.70684375 tonnes (silver) = 29,942,619 ounces @ \$4.15 = \$124,261,868.85

difference (9-11 AM to Nov.12 AM):

\$886,993.24 = 3,267.01009208103 ounces @ \$271.5

difference (Nov.12 AM value and \$230m):

\$745,159.15 = 2,690.10523465706 ounces @ \$277

combined differences (between 9-11 AM and \$230m):

\$1,632,152.39 = 6,011.61101289134 ounces @ \$271.5

\$1,632,152.39 = 5,892.24689530686 ounces @ \$277

BNS Total Income from 9-11:

\$1,632,152.39 = on average, 141.71259414522 ounces per day for 7 weeks.

To put a blunt head on all this: on 9-11 BNS essentially "sold" \$228m worth of gold and silver to the insurance company covering the WTC towers, who "bought" the gold and silver for \$230m. However, rather than a "sale" this transaction was more like a "loan," and the difference between the initial sum and the final total can be thought of as "interest." So, in effect, BNS "loaned" the WTC insurers \$228m, which was, essentially, received, since it was taken out of circulation. The insurance company then paid this loan back to the bank plus the difference as interest.

So, BNS made \$1.6m on 9-11. So what, right? Well, who is accountable for this? Essentially, no one. The "value" of gold can be traded on the futures market only because of exchange rates. If gold weren't worth different amounts here or there, then the value would be fixed to its weight. So, who ate the difference on 9-11? Who paid in the extra nickles and dimes to create a credit-based international economy? Who created a market "shock" temporary "run" on gold by removing a massive amount from potential circulation (ie. from the "futures" market)? Who initiated this "reverse-Reaganomics" where, instead of the money "trickling down" from the few rich to the

many poor, now prices climb and currency values fall as tonnes of nickles and dimes in the form of ones and zeros trickle upward from the more and more poor to the fewer and fewer rich?

reuters wrote:

Guarded by a small army of heavily armed federal agents, city policemen and firefighters began the massive task of moving about 12 tonnes of gold and 30 million ounces of silver. The hoard was estimated to be worth at least \$230 million.

-source: <http://www.rediff.com/money/2001/nov/17wtc.htm>

Well then, what happened to the gold on 9-11?

We don't have to look very far to find the answer to this. It lies in a basement beneath WTC5 to the tune of around \$230m. And yes, we can definately make all kinds of excuses for why it was there; we could say, "the BNS itself must have been moving it on 9-11 to protect it when the tower fell" (how did they know the tower would fall?); we could say, "the insurance companies owed it to the banks to recover their losses, and the owners deserve recompensation for the deprivation of their property during the time it was lost" (are corporations people?); we could say, "even if BNS did skim \$1.6m, it doesn't mean Chase Manhattan, or Bank of NY and HK, or Shanghai Banking (or even Deutch Bank across Liberty Ave. from WTC4), or anyone else did likewise" (but there is ample proof of airline industry insider-trading using computers to process the transactions that were in the WTC towers themselves). So, yes, we can definately make all these excuses.

The only real problem is that I don't hear anyone having to make these excuses, because I don't hear anyone asking these questions. In 2005, ScotiaBank (BNS) reported assets in excess of \$286b. And they are only one relative newcomer. Where are the oversight committees responsible for monitoring the transactions made by international banks? BNS held \$230m under WTC4. \$230m was recovered under WTC5. Was this all the gold that went "missing" on 9-11? What about the \$430m in silver and \$220m in gold being stored for the other banks? What about the 12 tonnes of 3,800 gold bars stored in the Vault by Comex? What about the \$1b in Kuwaiti gold that had been stored there in 93 by NYMEX?

Between 1993 and 2001 the value of the dollar had declined 22 cents. Between 2001 and 2006, the value of the dollar declined an additional 13 cents. In other words, that \$1b in Kuwaiti gold stored under WTC4 in 1993 would be worth \$1,386,245,111.58 in 2006. That's an extra \$386 and a quarter million in value that has accrued for any item worth that much that does not simultaneously increase the intrinsic value of the item in itself. For example, gold is still gold now just as much as gold was gold 6000 years ago. However, in our current, increasingly credit-based economy, where currency-values are "floated" on interest from international loans that have elapsed into debt, there is an excess amount of "imaginary" money, and an excess shortage of any tangible value.

I just want to pause for a moment to accent the real impact of this fact:

Canadian international ScotiaBank's 2005 assets of \$286b are equivalent to \$261,154,710,087.95 in 2001. That's the Canadian Bank of Nova Scotia alone, in 2001, worth \$261b. Just one small problem. No one in 2001 was worth \$261b. In 1999, at the peak of his career and age 43, Bill Gates, the richest man in the world, was worth only \$90b. In other words, if there were a bank in existence in 2001 that was earning assets in excess of \$261b, then it certainly would not need to endanger its good standing in the banking and creditor community by a petty-larceny against a prominent and already over-drawn insurance company. However, because there were no banking OR business institutions in 2001 whose earnings and assets are comparable in concurrent dollars to those we have by now (only 6 years later), then there is a VAST expanse for motive to commit such a "petty" larceny as transporting the equivalent in today's dollars of \$260,445,312.19

(\$260m) several hundred feet underground while a building was collapsing above and around you.

However, instead of such enormous (and rapidly expanding) fiduciary discrepancies between tangible goods and services and "money" on-the-books being of great concern, we find, in our confused conundrum chrysalis, the opposite is true, and anyone who even SPECULATES the "gold-motive" is a "conspiracy theorist." I still believe NPT is 9-11 Truth. I still believe the "gold-motive" theory to be valid. Consider this:

The combined holdings (if all taken separately and none overlapping) of NYMEX and Comex, and under them of BNS, BoNY/HK, BoSh and Chase Manhattan, would have totalled (roughly) \$2,080,000,000 (including the Kuwaiti gold) in 2001. That's \$2,355,331,518.98, or \$2.36b in 2006 dollars. So, the precious metals divisions of all these banks together, we are supposed to believe, had less combined in the Vault under WTC4 than BNS' assets for 2005 alone? It is more likely, in my opinion, that the combined holdings of all the banks, creditors and merchants in the Vault under WTC4 on 9-11 were closer to \$147,478,177,573.3 in total, or "approximately" \$167b (as of 2006). While the paltry \$230m of BNS was recovered and recirculated on the "futures" market, the remaining \$1.85b of the (at least) \$2b known to have been in the Vault under WTC4 on 9-11 is, at least publically, unaccounted for. If the amounts that had been in the Vault on 9-11 were, as I speculate, in excess of this by some additional \$145,628,177,573. (~ \$145b; or 70X the maximum reported sum), and if these amounts subsequently went "poof," I think it is safe to say by now they have "trickled upward" to certain people all around the world.

The entire economy is over-inflated. Like the proverbial "bull in a china shop," military spending has run amok. The value of the dollar is at an all-time low and is likely going to continue decreasing relative to the Euro and the Yuan. Many people are beginning to believe this is part of an ancient plan. Well, it is and it isn't. It was foreseen, but those who foresaw it did not prevent it, and those who would have prevented it did not foresee it. That much is painfully obvious. What is below the surface of this illusion, however, is an uncomfortable reality: The thermate used to "pull" the twin towers melted the steel and caused it to remain molten and smouldering in the underground basement infrastructure beneath the plaza for 99 days following 9-11. Steel melts at 3000°F. For the fires to have kept the steel molten the entire time, they would have had to have stayed at temperatures hotter than 3000°F for 99 days. This type of super-heating for such a prolonged duration would have acted like a pressure cooker on the gold in the Vaults below WTC4.

What if the gold was stolen from beneath the WTC like the ark was stolen from the temple? The ark of the covenant, some researchers now speculate, was the "lost" treasure purported to have been brought back to France from Jerusalem by the Templars. Laurence Gardner, a Rosicrucian historian, has put forth the premise that the ark, which was made of gold, was meant to be used as an electrical current conductor. He believes that the Rosicrucians, heirs to the Templar legacy, applied such a current to the ark in Chartres cathedral, causing it to implode and disappear to, he speculates further, another dimension or higher reality.

In the case of gold, however, a certain process must be followed to achieve this occurrence. First the gold must be rendered into a superconductor. Only after this can an electrical current be applied to it to cause it to "blink out of existence." To render the gold into a superconductor, first it has to be heated at what Gardner describes as (repeating from Dr. David Hudson) "near sun-surface temperature." The temperature of the surface of the sun is about 9941°F, or 3.3X the melting point of steel. Once the gold has been reduced to a fine, translucent powder by the super-heating, it is possible for it to bond to molecules and to, depending on the frequency of the current passed through it, channel-surf the multiverse.

We now know, from independent studies conducted on platinum-group metals, such as gold, silver, palladium and platinum, for example, before 1999, that gold molecules, when super-heated, develop "warped" atomic-spin states. The electro-magnetic, covalent bonding between the

molecules breaks down (causing the gold to render as fine dust) as probability-clouds of their orbital energy-level shells begin to distort. Ultimately, the negatively charged ions assume extremely regular patterns. At this point, if an electrical current is passed through the gold atoms, they will maintain the pattern of the charged field until acted upon by another such force. That's what "super-conductors" do: superconductors are just metals or alloys that, when energy is passed through them, allow negative viscosity between the energy and the convective substance; ie. superconductors speed energy up. The clouds of iron above the poles of the spiral-galactic black hole at the core of our Milky Way are superconductive, because they are moving energy through them out of the black holes' "white" poles at speeds faster than "c," the speed of light in a pure vacuum.

But, if you find it difficult to swallow that a cloud of iron can conduct energy with less friction than a vacuum of exclusively microwave background, "ZPE" radiation, then what will you make of it when I try to explain to you that ALL GOLD IS POTENTIALLY SUPERCONDUCTIVE. It Only Needs Two Ingredients: 1) HEAT. 2) ELECTRICITY.

Either way, whether it was smelted along with the steel in molten puddles below the WTC infrastructure, continuing to smoulder for 99 days, or whether this prolonged, extreme heat would have rendered the gold into ORMES (orbitally-rearranged, mono-atomic elements, aka. "white-powder" gold), it is indisputable that the gold is gone now. And whether it was valued at \$2b, as conservative estimates suggest, or at \$147b, as I would postulate, it really doesn't matter anyway, because, now that it does not exist anymore, they can say there was as much of it as they need to keep taking out loans using it as credit against them. The loans are real, but their credit is not. And this is EXACTLY what I mean when I disparage our "increasingly credit-based economy."

You see, there are two types of reality. One above, one below. Plato recognised this. He called one "idealism" and the other "realism." But these terms were not polarised enough for Persian Zoroastrian Mithraists 2000 years ago. They polarised the realms even further, creating the myth that Ahriman, a dark wizard, had created our reality, but that we were originally from the higher reality of Ahurah-Mazda, a wizard of light.

Likewise, there are two "realities" on 9-11. One above, one below. The one above ground is the NYC WTC South tower "impact" illusion at 9:03AM EST 9-11,2001. The one below ground is the WTC4 -> WTC5 gold-heist that ended abruptly at 9:59 when the South tower collapsed. The key to connecting both of these is, therefore, the South tower. If we can say who "pushed the button" to "pull" WTC2 (the South tower), then we know who was behind WESCAM, who was behind "taking the war game live," and who was probably "in on" the gold-heist as well.

I reckon it's ole GHWB, up to his wiley ways ag'in.

::: Final Solution(s) :::

techniques of truth suppression:

- 1) discredit the opposition.
- 2) physically intimidate the threat.

techniques of truth liberation:

- 1) group solidarity.
- 2) stamina by momentum.
- 3) trust in reality.

they spread fear because it is all they feel. we spread the truth because it is what we know. they are afraid of the truth. they are afraid of us. fear is a prison. the truth shall set us free. once we are free of fear, then we see the truth: there is no us or them. there is only one reality, and we are all a part of it.

until then, we must uncover the eyes of those whose fears blind them. in truth, there is no fear. Awake. it's time, now. the only thing to fear is fear itself. speak out, take action, we're all in this together.

soon, we'll reach a critical mass of awareness. soon, our whole species is going to super-saturate this entire chrysalis. soon, we're going to metamorphosise.

there is no "dominant paradigm." only the lie that might makes right, and the survival of those who can adapt to what is true.

never accept reality merely as it appears. all is not as it seems. we might not all be able to see it all the time, but we are making progress. the more frightened they become of what we know, the less we will fear their lies.

Unite for social democracy, speak out for global justice. we are going to win. the truth is on our side. Peace.

~G~

When the capacity for compassion evacuates the heart, then anger clouds the judgment. When the ability to experience human emotions is pushed aside, then another form of consciousness enters, one that is entirely alien to those of us who can still feel love and pain. This other self, this alter-personality, is pure evil from the point of view of anyone who can remain objective and sympathetic. It is completely cold-blooded, calculating and cruel. Even the word "demon" is too polite for this malevolent possession. The ancient word "Satan," meaning an "adversary" or "foe" is much more apt. When the limits of humanity are passed, then this "Satanic" consciousness rushes in to fill the vacuum. At that point, there is no turning back, you cannot ever regain what you have lost. You cease to be an innocent, and you become a murderer, a rapist, a sadistic, malignant cyst inside the husk of a "human" shell. This is what we are witnessing here. We are not only seeing an innocent man being murdered. We are seeing the Ancient of Days itself possessing the heartless, mindless, zombie-bodies of once living souls. But now these "cops," these servants and protectors of the people, have sacrificed their life-blood by proxy to a master of lies, manipulation, twisting, hurting and murder.

Fuck these pigs. They're already dead. The only thing motivating their unfeeling corpses like marionettes is the king of all darkness. And I think that, at this point, it should be fairly obvious who has opened up this rift between reality and what they think they are allowed to get away with. The king whose lies they believe will enable them to achieve omnipotence by blood-lust. It doesn't matter whether we call the faceless minions mere "demons" and this ruler over them all "Satan." They are all one hive-consciousness. It is the release of their spirit that fills them with loss, and to justify that to themselves they will do anything to anyone who crosses their path. That's true for anyone given free reign to abuse their authority.

As I've long said though, you can't fight the hydra by hacking away at its regenerating heads. No, to kill the monster you have to show it its own reflection. Only the last vestiges of shame and humility left in these heartless hellions will ever be able to free them from this possession by this alien evil. And then, the only way for them to be free will be self-sacrifice, like lemmings, en masse mercy-killing themselves. Once these fascist scabs see their true face in the mirror, they will all swallow shotguns on their own, and that will be that.

I only pray there is anything still good left inside these unclean swine to join their countless, forgiving victims in the realm of eternal rewards. Because if there isn't, and they are truly blind to all but the most immediate and carnal satisfactions, then they are truly in Hell already, and good for nothing but wasting our air. At that point, we would actually be doing them a favour by freeing them from their fleshly damnation. And if that is the case, then no one in their own right mind would ever dream of doing anything but thanking us.

It's great to see so many of us here. But this is just the beginning. Now we organise, next we get loud. The time for this cause has come. We cannot and we will not wait any longer for these corporate-cloned criminals to completely destroy our countries' laws and bleed dry the wealth of our whole world.

Tell everyone you know about this cause. Show them the reasons you believe in this. Let them know that there are only two types of people alive right now: heroes and traitors. The choice is up to them.

Get involved in absolutely every way you can imagine. Speak out about this in your schools, in your churches, in your jobs and in your homes. Stand up for yourself. Don't lose your faith. Do not give up on this or your children will pay for your missed opportunity for aeons to come.

Do Not Fear Reprisal. They Can't Stop Us All.

Speak Out! This is the Time, Right Here, Right Now. If you don't stand up for what's Right now, none of us will be able to later. They want us Dead. Why? Because we demand our Rights: we demand Justice, we demand Freedom.

Be Brave. Use the net. Meet people. Share our Hope.

What we want is not a crime, and we are NOT criminals for wanting it. Never give up. Never give in. Never cave. Never back down. We want Justice. We want it Now.

Contact as many people as you can. Let them know the time is Right Now. Tell them to Wake Up, because if they aren't with us, they're in danger from the real terrorists: the 9-11 insiders, evil liars, fake "patriot" traitors, peace haters, and death-buyers.

Peace. 9-11 Truth. Impeach. We Will Win.

~G~

Dilate your mind's eye.

Be a Sovereign Individual. What does this mean? It means the opposite of what it isn't. What is a sovereign individual not? A robot, a mind-controlled slave of psychic broadcasting of messages more strongly relayed through our minds. Who, then, are the ones that control these robots? From where do these more strongly relayed messages come?

You cannot point a finger at anyone to say, "you are, yourself, a mentally-manipulating liar who says two things at once and really means a third," because they are untouchable. The most we can hope to be able to do is point at a picture of the guilty parties and envy them as false "sovereign individuals." But a sovereign individual does not need to be at all aggressive, nor manipulate at all. By starting no fights, they create no enemies, and so everyone is grateful to do their bidding. There is no need to coerce their servile obedience by Machiavellian terror.

But this doesn't mean the sovereign individual has no emotions, only that they are in control of their own emotions, just as the emotions of those who remain in bondage to oil and telecommunications media remain under the complete control of these "good" and "service,"

private-sector financially controlled, megalomaniacal, multinational corporations. But the sovereign individual neither is such a psychically bonded individual nor is controlled by any such form of an individual. Instead they control themselves and choose to disassociate from those who would control others.

Once you do not merely believe in and faithfully hope for individual sovereignty, but expect and anticipate it, classically conditioned by countless experiences, then your mind becomes unclouded to those who phone-in remote-controlling you by long-distance. So Wake Up, and Wake Others Up. It is not a movie! It's actually going on everywhere around us all right now! Do not dissociate the self, disassociate the self away from the cunning forked tongues of professional liars.

Kill Your Television.

~G~

::: Appendix :::

Cache of gold found at WTC **Two truckloads retrieved through tunnel in rubble**

By GREG GITTRICH, THOMAS ZAMBITO and LEO STANDORA DAILY NEWS STAFF WRITERS With Richard Weir

Wednesday, October 31st 2001, 2:23AM

Quote:

As a small army of federal agents with shotguns and automatic rifles stood guard, city cops and firefighters yesterday retrieved two truckloads worth of gold from beneath the rubble of the World Trade Center.

The treasure was transported to an undisclosed location in separate deliveries last night.

Sources said the lode was found in the basement under 5 World Trade Center.

"They are taking gold out of there right now," one source said "They've brought in extra cops."

It wasn't immediately clear how much gold was buried under the complex after the Sept. 11 attacks. But the Toronto-based Bank of Nova Scotia has said its vault with more than \$200 million in gold and silver was buried under what had been 4 WTC.

Bank spokeswoman Pam Agnew said last night that she was unaware any gold had been found.

Other companies are believed to have lost untold gold and cash in the disaster.

Construction workers directed by the feds had been clearing a delivery tunnel that runs under the complex for the past couple of days.

Officials got to the gold through that tunnel yesterday, after workers hauled out a 10-wheel truck, several crushed cars and mounds of other debris.

No bodies were recovered during the operation.

Authorities realized they were close to the gold yesterday morning and began restricting access to the north side of Ground Zero as FBI and Secret Service agents joined cops and firefighters at the site.

"If I tried to go down there, they would have shot me," said a construction worker shooed away from the tunnel.

A handful of heavy-machinery operators and other workers, under the watchful eyes of more than 100 armed officers, built and graded a ramp into the delivery tunnel early yesterday.

A small bulldozer then knocked down a wall inside the tunnel, and a Brink's armored truck drove in just before sunset.

It came out about 7 p.m. with the first load of gold, sources said. A second truck entered the tunnel a short time later and also was loaded with gold before leaving.

A handful of construction workers were held over from the day shift, which ends at 7 p.m., to help authorities in case the removal process got bogged down by falling debris or other problems.

"They sent most of us on our merry way," said one worker.

-source:

http://www.nydailynews.com/archives/news/2001/10/31/2001-10-31_cache_of_gold_found_at_wtc_t.html

A NATION CHALLENGED: THE VAULT Below Ground Zero, Silver and Gold

By JIM DWYER

November 1, 2001

Quote:

About two weeks ago, a security team spotted scorch marks on a basement doorway below 4 World Trade Center, on the east side of the ruined complex, according to officials.

Even in a place of mass devastation and death, those scorch marks got fast attention. They had not been noticed by a patrol team a few hours earlier, and behind the damaged -- but intact -- door were nearly a thousand tons of gold and silver. To security officials, it looked as if someone had tried to break in.

Within hours, a video surveillance system was installed to keep at least an electronic eye on the precious metals until their custodian, the Bank of Nova Scotia, had a chance to remove them. That work began this week.

A team of 30 firefighters and police officers are helping to move the metals, a task that can be measured practically down to the flake but that has been rounded off at 379,036 ounces of gold and 29,942,619 ounces of silver.

As layers of debris are peeled away, recovery workers are opening gangways to intact portions of a 16-acre basement that was largely unseen but was a place of spectacular scope in its own right. Just the basement area of the World Trade Center enclosed twice as much space as the entire Empire State Building.

Nearly a quarter of a mile below the spectacular vistas from the towers was their upside-down attic dropping 70 feet below the ground, a strange world with enough room for fortunes in gold and silver, for Godiva chocolates, assault weapons, old furniture, bricks of cocaine, phony taxicabs and Central Intelligence Agency files. With so many people still lost, the owners of this stuff have maintained a discreet silence during the recovery operations. But that doesn't mean they're not interested.

Beneath the Customs House -- 6 World Trade Center -- was an armada of government vehicles, including dozens owned by the Secret Service, in a fenced-off area. Within that area was a garage where a single armored limousine was parked under the tightest security.

The limousine was so long that it needed straight-line access to the street, because it could not clear tight corners in the basement.

That car had been used to carry heads of state visiting the city, said Tony Ball, a spokesman for the Secret Service. (The president's limousines are stored in Washington and flown everywhere he visits.)

In the 1993 trade center bombing, an armored Secret Service limousine was parked about 100 feet from a truck bomb. Although the bomb crashed through five stories of concrete and the concussion destroyed cars all over that floor, the Secret Service limousine "did not even have a broken windshield," according to a government official on the scene that day. The condition of the limousine after September's attack was not known yesterday. "We haven't gotten anything back yet," Mr. Ball said.

Asked about reports that his agency also kept what looked like ordinary taxis and telephone company trucks in the basement, Mr. Ball laughed. "What I would say is that it is not unusual for law enforcement agencies to have these kinds of things," he said.

Besides the Secret Service, the building named for the United States Customs Service also housed an office of the C.I.A.

That building is now partly collapsed, with a rubble pit 30 feet deep. Somewhere in there are drugs, weapons and contraband seized by the Customs Service at the region's airports. The Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms also lost two evidence vaults, according to a spokesman for that agency, Joseph Green. They have not yet been recovered.

"There could be several hundred weapons -- somewhere between 200 and 400, ranging from small-caliber semiautomatic pistols to assault rifles," Mr. Green said, adding that a few of the guns had been found. Agents plan to be on the scene when the remains of the building are demolished sometime in the next two weeks, he said.

"After that, we'll be working at the landfill to search for any important items that

are still missing."

For people who have seen the surface destruction, either in pictures or in person, it may be hard to imagine that anything is intact below ground. But engineers and recovery officials say that large parts of the underground perimeter are undamaged, even though the buildings above them are partly collapsed.

One area is below 4 World Trade Center, where more than two decades ago, Swiss Bank built a huge vault and storage area. The vault was reached from the Swiss Bank offices by a private elevator.

To reach the vaults, armored trucks would drive through what had once been the tunnels for the Hudson and Manhattan railroad, the predecessor of the PATH system. These tunnels had run as far east as Church Street, but were not needed when the trade center was built and the PATH terminal was set closer to the river.

The western stubs of the original tunnels, ringed with cast iron, were converted into roadways. These roads ran directly to a roll-down door in front of the Swiss Bank vault area. Inside was a loading dock.

By the time of the 1993 bombing, Swiss Bank no longer was using the vault, and shortly afterward, the bank relocated its remaining operations.

The next tenant of the vault space was the Bank of Nova Scotia, which estimated the value of the metals at \$200 million.

"We are in the process of relocating the contents of our vault at World Trade Center building No. 4 to another secure location, because authorities need to demolish the building," Pam Agnew, a spokeswoman for the bank, said yesterday by phone from Toronto.

Some of the metal is owned by the bank, and some by its customers, she said. She declined to say where the metals were being taken.

"The contents remain safe and intact," Ms. Agnew said. "The contents are fully insured. We're working very closely with local authorities to ensure a safe and secure relocation effort.

"The removal of the contents was not a priority for us because we've always known it was safe and secure," Ms. Agnew said.

Asked about what appeared to be an attempted break-in two weeks ago, Ms. Agnew said that she was unaware of it. Later, she called to reiterate that the metals were safe: "It would be factually incorrect to say there had been any attempt to steal the contents of our vault."

However, a government official involved in the recovery efforts said that there had clearly been an attempt within the last two weeks to enter the vault area. "It looked like they used a blowtorch, a crowbar," said the official, who spoke on the condition that neither his name nor his position be identified. "The Port Authority police began periodic patrols, and then a closed-circuit television system was put in."

The bank also engaged Kroll Inc., a security business based in New York, to supervise the relocation of the gold and silver, a process that began this week, The

Daily News reported yesterday.

Michael Cherkasky, the president of Kroll, declined to comment on his company's involvement.

Anyone trying to make off with the gold would not be able to run very fast: each ingot weighs 70 pounds.

Correction: November 7, 2001, Wednesday An article on Thursday about property of the C.I.A. and the Secret Service buried at the World Trade Center site misstated the nearby location of the two agencies' former offices. While the agencies stored vehicles beneath the Custom House, at 6 World Trade Center, their offices were in No. 7.

-source:

<http://query.nytimes.com/gst/fullpage.html?res=990CE4DB1030F932A35752C1A9679C8B63>

Armed guards watch as workers retrieve gold from twin towers

By Hugh Dougherty, New York

Quote:

RECOVERY workers at the World Trade Center have found a cache of gold which has already filled two lorries, it was revealed today. The precious metal find may be part of the vault containing more than £137 million in gold and silver which was buried under the twin towers when they collapsed.

The vault was owned by the Bank of Nova Scotia, which held the gold and silver as security for trading international currencies.

Yesterday work to get at the gold continued as the first two loads of the metal left under tight security.

Workers had toiled for two days to clear a delivery tunnel under 5 World Trade Centre, taking out tonnes of debris, a 10-wheeled lorry and several crushed cars.

Watched by hundreds of heavily-armed Secret Service agents, FBI and police, they built a ramp to allow an armoured truck in late last night.

Workers were moved away from the area while the operation to recover the cache was under way.

One construction worker told the New York Daily News: "If I tried to go down there, they would have shot me."

The gold was being taken to a location guarded by security firm Brinks and the search was under way for its owners. The Bank of Nova Scotia said it did not know if its gold had been found last night and other firms are believed to have valuables buried in the debris.

The find came as New York city bosses ordered police and firefighters to scale back their presence at the site. Just 24 police and 24 firefighters will sort through the rubble for the remains of the more than 4,000 still missing at the site.

But the plan has met with opposition from uniformed services unions, who said since bodies of police and firefighters were still being found they should be allowed to stay on.

Matty James, of the Uniformed Firefighters Association, told the New York Daily News: "The city may be ready to turn this into a construction job but we're not.

"We want our brothers back.

"By doing this the city is taking away from these families, these widows, these mother and fathers, any chance for closure.

"What are we supposed to do? Go to the Fresh Kills Landfill to look for our people?" Fresh Kills is the 3,000 acre site on Staten Island where the rubble is being sorted.

In the last week, 12 firefighters' bodies have been recovered and identified, allowing their families to have funerals.

One mother who lost her son, whose body was found hours before a scheduled memorial service, said she wanted to see the rescue effort continue.

Ronnie Roberts, whose son Mike was a firefighter, said: "To find the body I got such solace, such a feeling of peace. Our memorial mass became a funeral. It's just awful what they are trying to do - to deprive other women of that wonderful feeling."

But one senior fire official defended the move, saying firefighters were undertaking risky operations in the search for bodies.

"We don't need to be going to any more funerals," he said.

"The fact is, we need the group to be more tightly controlled and we need to put less people at risk."

-source: <http://archives.tcm.ie/irishexaminer/2001/11/01/story16174.asp>

Crushed towers give up cache of gold ingots

From Nicholas Wapshott in New York

Quote:

RECOVERY workers at Ground Zero have discovered hundreds of gold ingots, part of a billion dollar cache which was lost when the twin towers fell.

Workers clearing rubble in a service tunnel underneath one of the collapsed World Trade Centre buildings found themselves surrounded by more than 100 armed FBI and secret service personnel, who had been tipped off by the owners where the gold was buried.

The collapsed buildings contained a number of vaults and strongrooms, but the police were not saying who owned the gold.

The Comex metals trading division of the New York Mercantile Exchange kept 3,800 gold bars — weighing 12 tonnes and worth more than \$100 million (£70 million) — in vaults in the building's basement. Comex also held almost 800,000 ounces of gold there on behalf of others with a value of about \$220 million. It also held more than 102 million ounces of silver, worth \$430 million.

The Bank of Nova Scotia, which kept gold in the Comex vault, reported \$200 million of gold lost in the wreckage. Comex also held precious metals for Chase Manhattan Bank, the Bank of New York and Hong Kong and Shanghai Banking.

The vaults higher up the towers became burial chambers on September 11 as some workers tried in vain to protect themselves from the fire and smoke by taking refuge inside them.

The gold, which was discovered on Tuesday, was being transported through the basement of the building on the morning of September 11. Recovery workers reached a service tunnel and discovered a ten-wheel lorry and a number of cars which had been crushed by falling steel.

A temporary ramp was built to gain access to the tunnel and a small bulldozer was used to break through a wall. Then a team of police and firefighters arrived to put the gold into an armoured bullion lorry. Other workers were told to make themselves scarce. "If I tried to go down there they would have shot me," one said.

Eight years ago, when the World Trade Centre was bombed by terrorists, more than \$1 billion in gold was being kept in the basement vaults, the property of the Kuwaiti Government. The vaults withstood the blast.

At first police believed the terrorist attack was an attempted gold robbery. Since then the amount of gold kept under the World Trade Centre has been a carefully guarded secret.

-source: http://911research.wtc7.net/cache/wtc/evidence/timesonline_gold.html

(sorry, for this one I couldn't find the source archived on the official site. This is a mirrored-host of the original site's html)

Buried WTC gold returns to futures trade

Quote:

A fortune in gold trapped for seven weeks in the ruins of the World Trade Center officially returned to the global bullion trade Friday, but dealers had already closed the book on the tale of tragedy and buried treasure.

"You could in theory say that if things had gone much worse since September 11 and there had been rampant demand or something like that, that it might have been a story that affected the price. But at this point, I don't think it really was," said a metals specialist at a large commodity brokerage.

The \$230 million in precious metals has been moved from the basement vaults of ScotiaMocatta Depository at 4 WTC, where it was stored on behalf of the New York Mercantile Exchange when the September 11 attacks brought down the twin towers. All warehouse staff got out safely.

In a joint statement Friday, NYBOT and ScotiaMocatta, the metals trading division of Canada's Bank of Nova Scotia, said the metals had been relocated and were again available to guaranty delivery of futures contracts exchange traded at the COMEX metals division of the NYMEX.

"All of the silver, gold, platinum, and palladium stored in its vaults at 4 World Trade Center have been successfully relocated by an Exchange-approved carrier to a newly Exchange-licensed Brink's Inc depository in Brooklyn," they said.

Spurred by authorities who wanted to demolish the building, by the potential for crime, and by whatever has always driven men to hunt for gold, emergency crews dug through the rubble and got a first glimpse of the gleaming booty on Oct 30.

Guarded by a small army of heavily armed federal agents, city policemen and firefighters began the massive task of moving about 12 tonnes of gold and 30 million ounces of silver. The hoard was estimated to be worth at least \$230 million.

There were about 3,800 100-Troy-ounce registered gold bars in the underground COMEX warehouse. While gold is very dense, the task of loading the indestructible yellow metal onto armoured Brinks trucks was not nearly as cumbersome as moving the silver.

Experts said it would take some 50 tractor trailers to transport 30,000 1,000-ounce silver bars.

On top of that, it is believed that other treasures were kept in the vaults, including additional precious metals, jewels and securities. But there has been no information on whether these valuables were there or recovered.

ScotiaMocatta has applied to establish a new exchange-licensed depository in New York for silver, gold, platinum and palladium. Upon approval, the metal being held in ScotiaMocatta's custodial account at Brinks will be relocated to this facility, they said.

The NYMEX has another warehouse in Manhattan, operated by HSBC Bank USA.

Despite initial concern among precious metals dealers, the temporary lack of access to the metal hardly caused a ripple in the markets. The buried gold amounted to about 2 per cent of the 600-tonne-a-day global bullion market.

The metal was insured and supply was available from facilities at refiners elsewhere in the United States, though some may have been remelted into the 100-ounce bars of 99.5 per cent pure gold to meet COMEX delivery specifications.

The exchange said it plans to rescind its emergency rules which allowed an individual or firm taking delivery of metal under the terms of a futures contract to reject a warrant -- a document giving title -- for metal on deposit at the buried ScotiaMocatta vault.

1 - Rosen
1 - Liaison
1 - Nasca

Date: November 29, 1963

To: Director
Bureau of Intelligence and Research
Department of State

From: John Edgar Hoover, Director

Subject: ASSASSINATION OF PRESIDENT JOHN F. KENNEDY
NOVEMBER 22, 1963

Our Miami, Florida, Office on November 23, 1963, advised that the Office of Coordinator of Cuban Affairs in Miami advised that the Department of State feels some misguided anti-Castro group might capitalize on the present situation and undertake an unauthorized raid against Cuba, believing that the assassination of President John F. Kennedy might herald a change in U. S. policy, which is not true.

Our sources and informants familiar with Cuban matters in the Miami area advise that the general feeling in the anti-Castro Cuban community is one of stunned disbelief and, even among those who did not entirely agree with the President's policy concerning Cuba, the feeling is that the President's death represents a great loss not only to the U. S. but to all of Latin America. These sources knew of no plans for unauthorized action against Cuba.

An informant who has furnished reliable information in the past and who is close to a small pro-Castro group in Miami has advised that these individuals are afraid that the assassination of the President may result in strong repressive measures being taken against them and, although pro-Castro in their feelings, regret the assassination.

The substance of the foregoing information was orally furnished to Mr. George Bush of the Central Intelligence Agency and Captain William Lockwood of the Defense Intelligence Agency on November 23, 1963, by Mr. W. T. Forsyth of this Bureau.

1 - Director of Naval Intelligence

TELETYPE UNIT ☐

DEC. 9 1963

DEC 29 1 31 PM '63
REC'D-READING ROOM
FBI

91-152910
1650-112
1650-112
1650-112

REC-38 62-104060-1396

DEC 9 1963

11 23 50 03

DO NOT WRITE ON
FROM REC.D

plate 32:



*"L'an mil neuf cens nonante neuf sept mois
Du ciel viendra vn grand Roy d'effrayeur
Resusciter le grand Roy d'Angolmois,
Auant apres Mars regner par bon heur."
- Nostradamus:: X:72*

*In the year 1999 and seven months [July],
A great King of Terror will come from the sky.
He will bring back the great King Genghis Khan.
Before and after Mars rules happily.
- X:72 translation, John Hogue*

*"et habebant super se regem angelum abyssi cui nomen hebraice Abaddon graece autem Apollyon
et latine habet nomen Exterminans."
- Revelations:: 9:11., Latin Vulgate.*

*"And they had a king over them, which is the angel of the bottomless pit, whose name in the
Hebrew tongue is Abaddon, but in the Greek tongue hath his name Apollyon."
- Revelations:: 9:11, RSV.*

benpadijah wrote:

We could see all of this on 9-11 metaphorically, but it only lasted one single instant, and capturing that exact moment is pointless and impossible. What we saw, symbolically, was the same as the Tarot trump "the Lightning Struck Tower" - we see the division of the above and the below, and see the demiurge triumphant in this destruction, Samael the blind hung upside-down as Osiris/Orion's belt aligned to the Giza and Tenochtitlan pyramids. So, in 2001, some of us "ascended" (ie. died when the towers exploded) and some of us remained (ie. were "left behind" after "the rapture"). Tim LeHaye's fans run the White House now.

