

NEW NEED OF EXPLICIT

EXPLICIT

decisions
wh
gotta be made,
can't be let lie or settle

Esp. computer programs

AND YET THE LIKELIHOOD
of
~~not~~ non-explicit
interrelations & influences
→ CARELESS & POLITICAL choice

Prob of INFO COLLECTION
ACCESS
leave-around procedures

GRACELESS OPTIONS
of who knows,
sees & is
influenced by
what.

Notes: our big diff; causing psychological change
w/ huge marking on BREAKDOWN OF
OLD DISTINCTIONS.

Hypnotized — sober
Alive — dead
Same person — no person
Human — non-human
Sentient — non-sentient

Max-Act

12

Design
like in
kept, etc.
AUTOMATIC

Let us take the example of the automatic telephone exchange. The automatic telephone exchange, now in prototype operation, can do the following things:
connect a calling telephone to the number called; block or re-route the call according to the ~~previous~~ previous instructions of the person at the called number; unite any number of telephone lines for "conference calls"; all in millionths of a second. The system is not finished. One of the problems facing the phone

11

company is that of what kinds of functions it should be programmed to perform.

Any system of connections, re-connections, ~~indicators~~ indicators signals (like the ringing of a phone and the busy signal,) are possible.

What kinds of such services should it provide, and when? And what should it charge for which? The overhead is the same. ^{By the way are there infinite possibilities} What provisions should be

installed for wire-tapping? And by whom? And ~~who~~ should be signalled when

the wiretap is performed? ~~The supervisor?~~ (The home office?) Should calls

a record be kept of ~~such records~~ such records are useful for the company's own traffic studies. But who else should have access to them?

How long should it be kept before destruction? The sending of obscene messages-- that is,

speaking dirty words in interstate calls-- ~~is~~ is illegal. Machines

can be built now to monitor all calls and record the occurrence of obscene the interruption of disturbance of phone service-- can be instituted automatically.

To avoid bothering the police about this, simple punishments-- such as words./ Similarly, the content of messages could be mechanically analyzed for

political content, and records kept of who says what kinds of things-- also

automatically, ^(with some error, of course) by machines that exist today.

~~We dwell on this extreme example because it does not seem~~

^{if this example} so extreme to us, rather, it is the paradigm for our way of thinking about the

world's emerging condition. The fact that machines are not everywhere monitoring

our every movement, and that no one ~~intends~~ intends to put such systems into

operation, ignores the fact that ~~such~~ systems with these capacities, for relatively

innocent uses ~~to be sure,~~ are coming into universal operation. For instance,

the decennial census is taking vast and vast information about the movement of

population and their lives; the information is kept whole, and the histories

mechanically and movements of individuals/traced for tabulation and analysis. ^{More importantly, census}

information is, of course, privileged communication, and ~~unavailable~~ unavailable

to other ~~agencies~~ agencies and persons except in very gross ~~forms~~ forms

forms ~~forms~~. Considerations of cost and politeness limit the accuracy

with which these investigations may be pursued. But it must be observed

that these ~~are~~ are intramural safeguards, backed by a Congress but handled

~~only~~ only by people.

11

Handwritten notes on the right margin: "MTC - IDENTITY CHECKS in FAX" and other illegible scribbles.

19

71 [P]

FIRST we must talk about the technical possibilities that have come about.

The design and versatility of systems-- systems for doing anything-- has
reached an abruptly different stage. Whole dimensions of purposes and possible ends are a part of planning today; and (although the phasing and operations-research aspects of developmental programs do not see it that way) all features of possible contingencies can be called to mind when systems are being designed.

SYSTEM INTERLOCK

^{In some sense,}
A new generality of planning ability, then, has come into existence; not only the things a system is meant to do, but the things it might do, its relations to systems that might come to exist, the phases and currencies and signal-systems and divisions of function that might be planned into it-- all are available as considerations for the ~~system~~ planner. The development of automatic data-processing is at the heart of this, but the results are far from revealing themselves yet.

INTERLOCK

III

71
Jr

The interweaving of systems with one another is of the utmost importance.

We have talked in earlier chapters of the limitless possibilities for system design. There was an optimistic quality about that discussion which we now wish

We said first that to ~~repudiate~~ /Considered in the abstract, with full machine shops and everything and interactive properties in the world to design, the capacities/of the things that can be made are

miraculously broad. We then went on to emphasize, contrarily, that the existence and situations of things/in motion cut these options to a fraction, reducing the possibilities and capacities of the things that can be done, enormously.

In practice many decisions-- hasty and capricious-- are deep-seated and irreversible, conditioning developments forever afterward. The ~~site~~ site chosen

for a city determines where that city will remain, and by development, what difficulties will exist for those who live there. The decision to set off "Project Needles" in 1961 has consequences, ~~in~~ ~~not~~ ~~yet~~ ~~determined~~, for radio astronomy. The ~~decision~~ decision to use certain bands of the spectrum for

~~THE DECISION CONSIDERATIONS ARE NOT PARALLEL-- their comparability is forced.~~

radio and television systems has important consequences for the future development of other, only dimly ~~is~~ foreseen, ~~and~~ communication networks.

The interlocking of enterprises of this kind, and the depth and diversity of

consequences for other, later systems, is of the gravest importance.

These systems have consequences, of course, not ~~merely~~ merely for ~~the~~ various and scattered other systems, but for the fabric of our lives. Television, licensing and supervision procedures, even the basic decisions ~~that~~ that of long ago that made possible only a few television channels-- all have drastic "effects" on our lives-- though how far ~~one~~ one can call a thing an "effect" if it is just an unrealized possibility is questionable.

Many past and future decisions of ~~the~~ this kind ~~are~~ seem arbitrary, or would have been different had the possibilities been known, ~~and~~ Let us briefly examine what we consider to be the situation surrounding decisions today,

Gradual corruption of
TRANSC + ...

Work

The gradual corruption of
universals (accounting systems, axes)

DAYLIGHT SAVING TIME
as ex.

Work

Tragic

You do
sudden
pol,
all be
complet
area

152
6

UNIT
P

~~_____~~

This generality of consideration often

□

~~_____~~

it paralyzes steps in any direction, and then again it increases the danger that any steps toward decisions will have committed us in undesirable ways.

In many ways our old schematics of doing are breaking down.

~~Recent studies have emphasized~~

example.)
an

Administrative boundaries in geographical areas, for instance, multiply, as placed particular emphasis on Greater New York (Recent studies have ~~emphasized~~ along different lines for functional, historical and political reasons.)

KNOWING HERE: ... of a ... & War

People are ...

... & interlockingness

CHANGING

... & decisions

... & changing ways ...

Rather, we are concerned about the range of option

that is growing, in all its spread, and intricate versatility; we mean to

~~insist~~ insist that these changes are bringing into possibility new eras

and

of decision, in which the character, extent ~~and~~ of these decisions is

we are most concerned about

of the utmost importance; the distribution of these decisions, the ~~and~~

character of systems ~~of~~ of evolving decisions, and the kinds

of ~~things~~ things that must never be decided.

NORMATIVE - ...
→ INFORMATION ...
Likelihood ...

... & ...

... in new ...

GRENDIX: DWW

11/1

Chapter Eleven

STRANGE HORIZONS OF DECISIONS & PLANNING.

The new flux of possibilities we foresee calls into question and review not only every possible plan and way of doing things we now know, but more basically-- the whole scope of hopes, sentiments and carings of the human life we know.

In this chapter ~~we~~ we want to spread out, and apply some of our ideas to the coming world. We believe the world is changing drastically, in ways bizarre and hard to discern. We would like to talk about some of these changes, trying to communicate our sense of urgency and Apocalyptic potentialities. The writer whose sense of urgency most approaches ours is Herman Kahn; but we wish to talk about ~~xxxx~~ and more remote things.

or refer to a 2 page. en.

The new flux of possibilities we foresee ~~xxxx~~ call into question and review not only every possible plan and way of doing things we now know, ~~xxxxxxx~~ but-- more basically-- the whole scope of hopes, sentiments and carings of the human life we know. The philosophical model we have traced earlier may seem remote from ~~it~~ this discussion; it will appear here and there, with some explanations, but will no longer be the focus of our concern.

Apocalyptic
1. CHANGE
make old work
people-systems of doing
SEE WHAT'S COMING
REVISE BETS
CATCH UP

4 page
single

It is our general contention, ~~however~~ that the world is changing in such ways that the old schematics are no longer applicable. The distinctions and divisions between my pussycat and your garden, between my fist and your nose, no longer have applicability ~~in a world of fumes, byproducts, enormous undertakings that interest the other human undertakings, risks to B incurred by A, and vastly capable systems of manipulation and control.~~

In ~~many~~ many ways changes are coming about that can only undercut the old ways we used to think of ~~xxxx~~

sets of interlocking behaviors w

the flow of things is natural
the world is not a machine
it is a process
it is a process of becoming

12

ARGUMENT, DOCTRINE, IDEA-SYSTEMS

FENX directions & rebuttals

COMMITMENTS

CHANGE within system

PLANNING & DECIS. ~~X~~ 'UNITY'

Unifying & command — The number possible arms, tools, facilities as well as duties & cons. sid.

FUNCTIONS — some get overlooked

PMC

terminal

SYSTEM

Skx?

Many things are impossible unless all transitional stages are wise to set their development.

E.g., ideas [or work] for be continuously suitable] during evolution of species.

NORX

Design of organism

What is the...

What is the... in medicine?

What are the...?

How can we...?

What are the... responses?

SOME OLD GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS [From SKX to NORX]

We would now like to turn to some of the more general features of these writings, and explain how these considerations of ~~the~~ systems analysis fit the earlier model.

It will be recalled that we use the term "schematic," rather ambiguously, to apply to any division into parts or ~~xxx~~ frameworks for description, and to any sets of criteria or measurement. We have been ~~not~~ treating schematics, as parts not fixed at a moment in time, of changing ~~xxxxxxx~~ networks of description and interacting references.

In the correspondence of a predicate to several sets of things we have sought criteria for unity, ~~xxxx~~ (As well as 'beingness' and construct validation in the technical sense.)

We have wanted to know when the application of these schematic networks was suitable, justifiable or appropriate; but we have come to search for the criteria of appropriacy in the relation of these networks to various sets of phenomena which differ for different kinds of studies.

While there is no room to explain the application of these principles to system design in detail, the following points may be made:

The unity of a ~~xxxx~~ domain on which systems analysis is appropriate is a function in some way of its sensitivity to further ~~xxx~~ marginal "reconsiderations." If ~~xxx~~ ~~xxxxxxx~~ further, more far-flung aspects of the problem at hand drastically revises the indicated ~~xxxxx~~ decisions, then clearly it is not

"unitary" in the sense that a Chinese puzzle is. (There may be clearly ~~with~~ the framework as it can best be narrowed, indicated solutions, or strict techniques toward a solution, or awfully good ways of proceeding, but that is another matter.)

The currencies and "monies" used for estimation may be unstable. We have not ~~xxx~~ paid much attention to the use of weighting^s and measures in design and choice, so ~~xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx~~ we will not devote space to it here. However, when these weightings are used-- particularly "utilities" and ~~xxxxxxxx~~ ~~xx~~ ordinal estimates of preference, though perhaps even probabilities can be subsumed here-- they are subject to all the/criticisms of ~~xxxxxxxx~~ philosophic measure theory that the schematic model applies to indices, for instance, in social science: they may not measure what we think they measure, or what we are assured they measure in another context; ~~xxxxxxxx~~ to the extent that they are precisely defined, they may be incapable of registering extra-schematic changes.

Perhaps most importantly, though, ~~xxxx~~ any pre-existing schematics may themselves be unstable. That is, if we have/assigned certain areas of ~~competence~~ ^{pre-} to devices, subsystems, agencies, etc., we may be altogether mistaken about how necessary this schematizing is. There is more than one way to skin a cat, that is the main point; and to be ~~xxxxxxxx~~ inflexible in the types of tools one expects to use, or even to be sure one wants a cat-skin at all, may hamper you severely in any number of ways.

Although there is an evolution, alluded to previously,

from "thing" planning to "system" planning, related roughly to the increasing generality of thought,

It should be pointed out that we ~~cannot~~ renounce any theory of the evolution of types of system,

There is no reason to suppose any tendency toward increasing modularity or interlock, as a principle of reasonable behavior/confronted with a system-design problem. The fact that modularity has been increasingly popular in commercial architecture, for example, is based on the particular kinds of use involved, the economics of building, and the constitution of the architectural profession and division of labor.

(As a detailed counterexample, we are interested in the design of a house following which is completely modular -- where the/leading modular decisions would all be rescinded: flat walls and floors, movable furniture, in-the-wall wiring, combination of functions of light and ventilation (window), and combination of function of social closure, wind closure and burglar closure (door). In the particular design under discussion, the future occupant is himself to use his artistic sense and personal labor in welding the framework, forming the molds for the concrete, and welding domes and roofs. The functions most specially farmed out to professionals, carpentry, plastering and wiring, would be virtually eliminated or considerably reduced, but with a much larger farming-out to skilled labor of bulldozing and the pouring of concrete.) While this is no place to present such a design in detail, the principle is important enough to warrant this discussion.)

Another place in which there might seem to be an ascending evolution is in the locus of on-going attention and supervision; for instance, from the particular to a more general form of supervision, say, as between driving-- and having to start-- a very old car, with all sorts of bothersome details to be attended to, and driving a newer machine with automatic devices. But this seems to us also specious. The loci of supervision depend entirely on the particulars of the system choice made; and the use of computers and and high-integration high-sensitivity/controls may be more or less reasonable depending on all

the characteristics of the system. Overall planning, too, may be eliminated if there is a reasonable expectation that decentralized functions will work out best.

To talk about "advanced" systems may be quite misleading, then. Systems may "advance" to archaic states, for instance by collecting all the research scientists in a company at one particular place where they walk to their laboratories and to see each other, and find it easier to talk in person than over the telephone.

The obvious first case is the evolutionary transition, wherein one system becomes another by slow changes.

Next - SYSTEM TRANSITION
Example - Turn in skiing
- constant - to - variable
- discrete - to - continuous
- finite - to - infinite
- static - to - dynamic

(Phasing, as we have discussed it, is the attempt to plan such slow change.)

The other is the discontinuous transition, between two different phases of system development. The discontinuity here must be measured in terms of some other model of evolution, for we do not wish to imply that "service" by the system has to stop altogether, or that there is a necessary sequence in which some step is being eliminated.

Transitions

The discontinuous transition of systems is ~~one~~ which occurs when interlock relations are drastically changed: that is, ~~some~~ properties of component A, $a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n$ no longer interact with properties of component B, $b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_n$, but rather some new component X has entered the field, displacing or ignoring B, and interacting with components $a_{n+1}, a_{n+2}, \dots, a_p$.

That such changes ~~are~~ in interactive structure take place cannot be questioned. The ~~prime~~ prime example is in ecology, where an evolutionary change producing drastically different behavior by the organism x proves itself well suited to environmental conditions. (This is the transition from one ^{ecological} ecological niche to another.) Examples from ~~a~~ systems analysis and design research are less obvious; but we would cite, for instance, the eating of toothpaste sandwiches in Africa, the vast uses that have been found for polyethylene, and the possibilities of making a "leap" in the underdeveloped nations from no education to full education with the use of full-scale teaching-machine systems.

Vertical handwritten notes on the left margin, including "Evolutionary" and "Discontinuous".

'SYNOPSIS'

Now let us turn to the older notion of design and invention.

It is nostalgic, and only that, to think of designing a thing without reference to the universe in which it will be used.

"Synopsis," as we have used the term, ^{the act of} is/trying to take that universe into account, ^{in capitalia complex situations and contexts}

However, there are cases in which ~~you~~

*synopsis of this kind ~~will be~~ unnecessary or trivial.

1. ~~EXISTING~~ FITTING INTO THE EXISTING SYSTEM

In the design of a new motorcycle or automobile, for ~~example~~ instance, the existing system-- that of roads, traffic ~~control~~ control and the conventional use of such machines-- will dovetail adequately with the new. (In other cases, of course, ~~brilliant~~ excellent machines bring into existence complementary system components-- for instance, the telegraph, the phonograph, and telephones. In still other cases, the machine will bring an evolution of system components to catch up with it-- as the automobile did when introduced.)

LEADING TO DECISION

Sometimes, ~~however~~ however, as in the air-traffic example, there are no radical departures involved. Rather, a decision will be made-- a "leading" decision-- which will influence further development of the system, by its choice of some type of interlock (as in the radio beacon systems now used by airports,) or the choice of some module of measurement-- a radio frequency, a dimension of film card, or the speed 33 1/3 for a phonograph turntable. (Even ~~if~~ if there are radical departures involved, they may be radical departures in different directions-- like the choice of the Fleet Ballistic Missile over the B-70 bomber, if they were ever alternatives.)

In these examples, I have sought to emphasize certain synopses. Interlock, ~~is~~ dovetailing and modularity. things: / Let us now discuss them explicitly.

Interlock

Synopsis is the gathering of every relevant possibility into the design of a system. Naturally, there are cases in which possibilities are ruled out or ~~discarded~~ ignored. We may regard them as special cases; ~~discarded~~ they will come to our attention later.

M. P. P. is the design of things

Dovetailing is the interrelation of system components in such a way that features of one will complement particular features of the other. More precise than interlock, it is the fitting together of objects within the system.

Interlock is the integration of system components in such that behaviors by one will be responded to by behaviors of another. In our civil aviation example, the interlocking objects are ^{and} procedures, machines, / human supervision. They are capable of handling contingencies of high intricacy.

The ^{procedures} three may be regarded as ~~three~~ ~~levels of~~ increasing degrees of ~~matching and fitting together~~ matching and fitting, of which "interlock" is the most general case.

~~When we speak of fixed units of measurement to~~ which similar things conform, we will call it modularity; ~~this, we believe, conforms to usage in such areas as architecture and instrument planning.~~ When dissimilar objects act with reference to things of fixed measurements (such as the cranes, ships and trains,) we will speak of the more general dovetailing.)

The packaging system of ~~fixed-size~~ ^{dovetailing.} containers is, of course, a paradigmatic case of ~~fixed-size~~ All components have been made to complement one another. While there are few signals or compensations made by one unit for another, they ~~can~~ can be conveniently treated as pass in a pod.

3) Enemy missiles. From what is known in physics, bomb
 ballistics, rocketry, ~~and~~ command control, ~~and~~ and
 other applied sciences, what possible approaches could be
 (omitting strategic possibilities involving strikes against the enemy)
 made? A preliminary analysis might suggest some means be
 sought for neutralizing the warhead, either in transit or
 , and aircraft and anti-
 at detonation; anti-missile devices to destroy the enemy
 missile before it reached the target; and improved civil
 defenses. Let us follow one such possibility, the ~~antimissile~~
~~Counterweapon against~~

the striking device. The Nike system is such a counterweapon.
 Why is it called a system? In the advertisements it seems like
 a simple rocket. However, in fact the Nike is a collection
 of interacting devices, of which the rocket is ~~the~~ only the
 least part. There is a general scanning radar, which sweeps
 an area of the sky until it finds an aggressor vehicle; there
 are pinpointing radars, which make an accurate fix on the
 aggressor and guide the rocket to it; and ~~then~~ ^{lastly} there is the
 rocket itself. Further considerations show ~~for~~ the extent
 to which the Nike ~~is~~ may be called a "system." ~~There are~~
 The Nike was designed in several stages, the "Nike-^{su} ", the
 "Nike-Zeus" and the "Nike-Hercules." Each of these was
 "phased" to fit both the money available for its development,
 and the expected development of war technology at the time it
 would be ready. Thus the Nike-Hercules, ~~is the final~~

machine for intercepting the intercontinental missile, in its

late stages of development as a It is not a radical departure
 from the previous ~~xxx~~ Nike-systems, but rather a further develop-
 ment. When the Nike system was given its original approval,
 these possibilities of phased development were a part of the
 plan. It should be seen from this example what a range of
 things the systems developer must deal with.

1) Cargo is something that is going from one place to another. Under old systems of cargo handling, it was packed in whatever containers seemed most appropriate. Bicycles were packed in long ~~thin~~ rectangular cartons; household goods in ~~whatever~~ cartons and crates designed for the particular object; and so forth.

* The disadvantages of the system deserve special comment. Individual units were damaged or allowed to rot by ~~slippery~~ careless warehousing in transit; the cost of changing transport, as from train to truck to ship, handling either units, pallets, netful, etc., was exorbitant; ~~the~~ superintendency and inspection was costly and ineffective, ~~in~~ partly (for one instance) because longshoremen considered pilferage their right by long custom.

A particular solution is now coming into extensive use, the shipment module. Certain sizes of containers are built for the shippers, with no intermediate sizes available; the empty containers are filled by the manufacturer and sealed; ~~and then~~

they are then transported in special ships, special railway

with virtually identical handling,

2) The improvement of air-traffic control involves a vast number of problems, of which the following can by no means be a full list: the quantity of air traffic; the speeds of air vehicles; the freedom and range of private lightplanes; ~~the~~ developments and apparent possibilities in the sophistication of radar, and ^{the utilization of radio messages on the ground;} machine analysis of radar; kinds of warning-base ~~systems~~ that might be installed on the ground, requiring special ~~equipment~~ in the airplanes; kinds of broadcasting equipment that might be installed in the airplanes, with corresponding equipment on the ground; ~~the~~ bigger airports and more airports, with their growing cost and distance from metropolitan centers; the intelligibility, feasibility and enforceability of possible patterns for approach to airports and "stacking" of ~~planes~~ planes, as well as ~~their~~ priority systems for ~~letting~~ letting them land; ~~the~~ tail-parachutes and other devices for shortening ^{after} runways or landing time; ways of controlling ground traffic and getting planes out of the way to control ground collision; etc. The systems planner should even think now and then about telepathic control-tower crews and passengers-parachutes. This example speaks for itself. The range of possibilities is vast. ~~Any~~ Any possible system would have repercussions

for many of the aspects listed. The systems planner must satisfy himself that ~~within~~ among all the available choices he has a combination of maximized/those things which ~~are~~ are desirable and permitted the most of those things which are desirable and either possible or impossible, and inversely for the undesirable things.

QENORX

:SYSTEMS, MODULARITY, INTERLOCK, CUTOFF,
GENERALIZED SCHEMATIC OPERATIONS

There are a number of special problems to be taken into consideration when planning a system-- any system-- to do anything. We are going to discuss them.

The term "system," which many find a catchword, is not really one.

Its use has grown in recent times for quite good reasons.

Technological advance, and the need to do many kinds of things, and the cost and slowness of planning and development, and the interrelationship of things to be done, have all led to what is now called "systems" thinking.

It is a generalization in scope of the range of problems the inventor-- now a systems analyst-- must consider. He must turn his mind to classes and trends of problems, calling

before him all the possible alternatives; and if at least he produces one gadget, the reasons for it

in relation to the whole field before him will be

more carefully thought out. We wish to make the point that the design and invention of the type we used to know is not

somehow "more basic," but rather a special case.

These examples have been chosen to illustrate several synthesizing properties of system design: the synthesis of all relevant information; the leading decisions, and the choice of system components in a way to dovetail with other systems or features of the situation (of which "modularity" is a special case; the leading decisions, and choice of systems components, in that "interlock" with other such decisions in some larger system.

Consider if the notion of "systems" instead of "things" seems unclear or superfluous, the following problems:

1) cutting costs and losses in shipments of cargo over great distances;

2) the prevention of disasters in

in civilian airline traffic, while still permitting the greatest possible use of air travel; or

3) preventing enemy ^{atomic attack} missile from achieving missions of destruction in the American heartland, or mollifying their effect ^{of these attacks}.

There is no space here to analyze the problems in detail.

However, let us abstract some of the interesting points in each systematic problem.

AN INTRODUCTION (mechanical) to the study of how things act.

QΣ NORX I
(to introduce)
Skv H

THE TOPIC, THE SYSTEMS

- 1. Go and get there
Opportunities for management, looking
at up systems & arrangements
- SYSTEMS INTERFACES
 - 1. St? & desiderata
through that to maximize
 - 2. Necessary properties, etc
 - 3. Generalized exchanges & media
SUBSOMED BY INTERFACES
HERE
- 3. ~~TRANSITION~~ CHANGE
transition possibilities
Steps to new situations & a
systems

23. Things which must maintain property (save for NORX)
[make this]

NORX

From ~~conditions~~
& extreme conditions
& a system

It's categories & blame etc.
RESPONSIBILITY?
HUMAN FLOW
OF DECISION
SUPERVISION
& INITIATION